YOLO – a fast object detector

Alexandr Ignatenko Hamburg, 27.01.2020



HELMHOLTZ RESEARCH FOR GRAND CHALLENGES

Overview

- Introduction
- Popular object detectors
- YOLO
- Application for classification of diffraction patterns in SPI experiments
- Conclusions

Introduction

Object detection among computer vision tasks



Object detection: given an input image, predict the locations of a certain class of objects in the image

Locations are usually represented using bounding boxes

Historic object detection

Out of scope



Object detection before 2012:

Define region proposals \rightarrow Calculate features for each region \rightarrow Classify each region

- Handcrafted features:
 - Haar-like
 - Histograms of Oriented Gradients (HOG)
 - ...

- Region proposals
 - Sliding window
 - Selective search
 - ...

CNN era

Object detection after 2012:

- 2-stage methods
 - R-CNN
 - Fast R-CNN
 - Faster R-CNN
 - ...
- Single-shot methods
 - SSD
 - YOLO
 - ...





Understanding of CNN

CNN for classification



- Feature learning part is trained on the largest annotated data set
- Classification part is trained for custom classes

Understanding of CNN

Convolutional layer



Understanding of CNN

Pooling layer

Single depth slice



max pool with 2x2 filters and stride 2



Other pooling functions: min, average, L2 norm ...

Popular object detectors





R. Girschick et al. (2014)

- Extract region proposals (Rol) via selective search
- Classify regions with SVM





- Extract proposals via selective search
- Extract features and classify with CNN

Faster R-CNN



http://cs231n.stanford.edu/ Modified picture from Sh. Ren et al. (2015)

- Region Proposal Network is introduced
- Extract proposals, compute features and classify with CNN

Jointly train with 4 losses

SSD



• Predict class and bounding box at each feature scale

YOLO

Coarse spatial representation



"Backbone" - pretrained CNN for feature extraction

Coarse spatial representation





Visualizing the corresponding regions of each "pixel" in the 7x7 feature maps with the original 224x224 image

Rough object center



Ο

Anchor boxes



5 bounding box priors (anchor boxes) for each grid cell. Each of 5 bounding boxes specializes in detecting objects of a specific size and aspect ratio

YOLOv2 predicts offsets to each of the anchor boxes instead of predicting arbitrary bounding boxes

Bounding box descriptor

7 x 7 x 2(5+C)



Filter the predictions to only consider bounding boxes which has a p_{obj} above some defined threshold

Non-max suppression

Repeat with next highest confidence prediction until no more boxes are being suppressed

For each class...



After filtering out low confidence predictions, we may still be left with redundant detections

Select the bounding box prediction with the highest confidence

Calculate the IoU between the selected box and all remaining predictions

Remove any boxes which have an IoU score above some defined threshold





YOLOv2



Add 2 convolutional layers to make S×S ×B(5+C) predictions

S=13

B=5

C=4

YOLOv3



YOLO v3 network Architecture

- Residual network
- Detection at multiple scales

YOLO compared to other object detectors



Application for classification of diffraction patterns in **SPI experiments**

Single Particle Imaging (SPI)

- SPI is a method for native structure determination
- Particles are injected and delivered into X-ray beam
- Forward scattering wave front propagates and is recorded by detector
- Large amount of data is collected



Data pre-processing \rightarrow filtering \rightarrow classification \rightarrow object structure reconstruction

SPI experiment @ LCLS in 2018

- AMO beamline at LCLS
- Sample bacteriophage PR772, expected size 60-75 nm
- E = 1.7 keV (λ = 7.29 Å)
- Sample-detector distance = 125 mm
- Detector pnCCD, a half of it was operational



SPI experiment @ LCLS in 2018

Positive examples:



Negative examples:



Subtracted background, photon counts

Training and validation sets

Training set

Positive set

165 patterns in the size range
 60 -75 nm

Negative set

 373 carefully selected patterns across all runs

Validation set

Positive set

53 patterns in the size range
 60 -75 nm

Negative set

 200 carefully selected patterns across all runs

Training and model choice



Metrics

$$egin{aligned} F_eta &= (1+eta^2) \cdot rac{ ext{precision} \cdot ext{recall}}{(eta^2 \cdot ext{precision}) + ext{recall}} & ext{Recall} & ext{Recall} & ext{Recall} & ext{Recall} & ext{TP} + FN \end{aligned}$$
 $F_1 &= 2 \cdot rac{ ext{precision} \cdot ext{recall}}{ ext{precision} + ext{recall}} & ext{Precision} &$

Results

Model run on ~18k patterns filtered by particle size



Summary & outlook

- CNN-based selection of single hits is promising it was possible to make reasonable selection
- Further steps:
 - Supplement training and validation data with simulated examples
 - Use residual network
 - Use intensity values instead of 3D color scheme as an input

Acknowledgements

Coherent X-ray Scattering and Imaging Group at DESY

Prof. Dr. I. A. Vartanyants

N. Mukharamova

D. Assalauova

D. Lapkin

R. Khubbutdinov

Dr. J. Carnis Dr. Y-Y. Kim Dr. A. Ignatenko Dr. L. Gelisio Dr. M. Rose



Prof. Dr. E. Weckert (DESY)

S. A. Bobkov (National research Center "Kurchatov Institute")

SPI consortium @ LCLS

A. Aquila (LCLS)

Helmholtz Associations Initiative and Networking Fund and the Russian Science Foundation grant HRSF-0002/18-41-06001 Science

Thank you for your attention