





# Measuremet of azimuthal decorrelation angle between the leading jet and the scattered lepton in deep inelastic scattering at HERA

I.Pidhurskyi<sup>1</sup>, M.Shchedrolosiev<sup>1</sup>, J.Nam<sup>2</sup>, A.Quintero<sup>2</sup>, B.Surrow<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, <sup>2</sup>Temple University

#### 22 October 2019

- 1. Event selection
- 2. Control plots
- 3. Unfolding
- 4. Check wider  $\eta_{Jet}$  range
- 5. Summary
- 6. Backup

We present measurements of the azimuthal decorrelation angle between the leading jet and scattered lepton in deep inelastic scattering. Azimuthal angular decorrelation has been proposed to study the  $Q^2$  dependence of the evolution of the transverse momentum distributions (TMDs) and understand the small x region, providing unique insight to nucleon structure with an electron-ion collider. Previous decorrelation measurements of two jets have been performed in proton-proton collisions at very high transverse momentum these measurements are well described by perturbative QCD at next-to-leading order. The kinematic region covered by the HERA ep DIS data is revisited with the emphasis on the physics leading to azimuthal decorrelation. These measurements were obtained by the ZEUS experiment during the HERA II data-taking period, corresponding to integrated luminosities of 330 pb<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The measurements showing good agreement with predictions from QCD calculations.

## From the previous presentation

- Use MC sample with diffractive processes included
- Estimate PHP contamination
  - $\circ\,$  Ari\_inc no cuts: 23.7E7, with cuts: 2.08E7 (ratio  ${\sim}0.1)$
  - $\,\circ\,$  Pythia PHP no cuts: 26.0E7, with cuts: 0.06E7 (ratio < 0.01)
- ► Check cut 45 < Empz
- $\blacktriangleright$  Hadron vs Detector plots for pt, Q<sup>2</sup> and jet multiplicity

# Event selection

# Data

- $\blacktriangleright$  040506e  $\sim$  189pb<sup>-1</sup>
- ▶ 0607p ~ 143pb<sup>-1</sup>

# MC

- ari\_incl\_nc\_DIS\_lowQ2\_040506e
- ari\_incl\_nc\_DIS\_lowQ2\_0607p

#### Phase space

- ▶  $10 < Q^2 < 350 \text{GeV}^2$
- ▶ y<sub>el</sub> < 0.7 && y<sub>jb</sub> > 0.04

# **Cleaning cuts**

- -40 < Zvtx/cm < 40
- $45 \text{GeV} < E p_z < 65 \text{GeV}$
- $Cal_pt/\sqrt{Cal_et} < 2.5$

# **Electron cuts**

- 10 GeV < Energy (Siecorr)</p>
- ▶  $140^{o} < \theta < 180^{o}$
- Electron position  $\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} > 20$
- Sienin[0] > 0.1\*(Siein[0]+Sienin[0])
- Chimney cut
- Siprob[0], the lepton with hightest prob (> 0.9)

# Triggers

- SPP02 (Tltw[2] &  $(1 \ll 1)$ ) for 0405e
- > SPP09 (Tltw[2] &  $(1 \ll 8)$ ) for 06e and 0607p

#### Jet selection

- $E_T > 2.5$  GeV &&  $P_T < 30$  GeV
- ▶  $|\eta| < 1.0$
- Using jet with highest  $E_T$

data: 8428266 events MC: 18976059 events











our measurement starts at  $\Delta arphi > 1.5$ 

## Summary

- Minor decrease in high  $E_T^{Jet}$  and high  $P_T^{Jet}$  matching
- Improvement in  $\eta^{Jet}$  matching
- $\blacktriangleright$  Better decorrelation angle matching for  $\Delta \varphi < 2.3$  rad
- \* see backups for control plots with previous setup

#### TUnfold

- method: TUnfoldDensity::ScanLCurve()
- ▶ kRegModeCurvature :<=> regularize the 2nd derivative of the output distribution
- kEConstraintArea :<=> enforce preservation of the area
- kDensityModeBinWidth :<=> regularisation scale factors to construct the matrix
  L are taken from multidimensional bin width
- $\blacktriangleright \ N_{bins}^{true} = 20, \ N_{bins}^{reco} = 180$



**Note**: these are not preliminary plots

#### L-curve

$$L_1 = (y - Ax)^T V_{yy}^{-1} (y - Ax),$$
  

$$L_2 = \tau^2 (x - f_b x_0)^T (L^T L) (x - f_b x_0)$$

$$\begin{split} L_x^{curve} &= \log L_1, \\ L_y^{curve} &= \log \frac{L_2}{\tau^2} \end{split}$$

#### Stefan Schmitt's advice

Eigenvalue analysis of the error matrix:

- run TUnfold without limits on au
- extract covariance matrix V of the unfolded data
- determine the eigenvalues  $\lambda$  of this matrix

limit 
$$au$$
 with:  $au_{min} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{max}}}, \ au_{max} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{min}}}$ 

# Unfolding (constrained $\tau$ )



**Note**: these are not preliminary plots

# Unfolding, 4D correlation of $(\Delta \varphi, p_T)$



## Summary

- ▶ 1D unfolding yields satisfactory result,
- but further investigation should be performed due to
  - $\circ\,$  doubts about shape of an L-curve (see backups), and
  - $\circ~({\sf maybe})$  underestimated uncertainties
- ► 2D unfolding is in progress

Wider  $\eta_{Jet}$  range data: 12675772 events MC: 29241421 events

► 
$$-1.5 < \eta_{Jet} < 1.8$$











our measurement starts at  $\Delta arphi > 1.5$ 

# Summary

#### **Control plots**

- Minor decrease in high  $E_T^{Jet}$  and high  $P_T^{Jet}$  matching
- Improvement in  $\eta^{Jet}$  matching
- ▶ Better decorrelation angle matching for  $\Delta \varphi < 2.3$  rad

#### Unfolding

- 1D unfolding yields satisfactory result,
- but further investigation should be performed due to
  - doubts about shape of an L-curve (see backups),
  - $\circ~$  (maybe) underestimated uncertainties
- 2D unfolding is in progress

# Wider $\eta_{Jet}$ range

- still good matching at low  $\eta$
- divergence at high  $\eta$  (known to exist), and
- it has no significant influence on  $\Delta \varphi > 2.3$

# Backup

# Unfolding, L-curves for $N_{Jet} \geq 1$



# Unfolding, correlation matrix ( $N_{Jets} \ge 1$ )

Hadron vs detector  $\Delta \phi$ , 1 jets yx projection



# Unfolding, correlation matrix ( $N_{Jets} \ge 2$ )

Hadron vs detector  $\Delta \phi$ , 2 jets yx projection



# Unfolding, correlation matrix ( $N_{Jets} \ge 3$ )

Hadron vs detector  $\Delta \phi$ , 3 jets yx projection



# Unfolding, correlation matrix ( $N_{Jets} \ge 4$ )

Hadron vs detector  $\Delta \phi$ , 4 jets yx projection





# Old setup

data: 9823230 events MC: 18246736 events

- MC: Ariadne\_Low\_Q2\_NC\_DIS
- ▶  $35 < E p_z < 65$











our measurement starts at  $\Delta arphi > 1.5$