# Recent ATLAS PDF-related results and xFitter users' wishlist

F. Giuli (on behalf of the ATLAS Collaboration)

xFitter External Meeting – DESY, Hamburg 26/02/2020





Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare



### **Overview of useful PDF-related analyses**

- W and Z at 7 TeV 4.6 fb<sup>-1</sup> precision measurement arXiv:1612.03016
  Provides the ATLASepWZ16 PDFs available in LHAPDF
- > Top data at 8 TeV arXiv:1511.04716 and arXiv:1607.07281
  - > Fit including W,Z and top data  $\rightarrow$  ATLAS epWZtop18 available in LHAPDF
  - ATLS-PHYS-PUB-2018-017
- ➢ W charge asymmetry at 8 TeV 20.2 fb<sup>-1</sup> arXiv:1904.05631
- > Triple differential Z cross section (Z3D) at 8 TeV arXiv:1710.05167
- Direct-photon production at 8 and 13 TeV arXiv:1901.10075
  - NNLO predictions are available, but not NNLO grids yet
- > Jets 7, 8, 13 TeV inclusive arXiv:1304.4739, arXiv:1706.03192 and arXiv:1711.02692
  - > High  $\chi^2$  for NLO fits when correlations between rapidity bins are used
  - Decorrelation models explored and recommendations allow  $\chi^2$  /ndp ~ 260/149 for 8 TeV – <u>Chris Young's talk at DIS19</u>
  - NLO APPLgrids are available waiting for NNLO jet grids
- Photon + heavy flavour arXiv:1710.09560
  - New data analysis on 8 TeV data is now published
  - Photon + charm is not very discrimination for intrinsic charm
  - >  $\gamma$  + b favours 5F not 4F schemes

### **Overview of useful PDF-related analyses**

#### > W+jets 8 TeV data - arXiv:1711.03296

- Fit available and sent to DIS19 epWZWjets19
- ATL-PHYS-PUB-2019-016
- ► NNLO cross section is available for this in NNLOJET (arxiv:1712.07543) → grids will become available at some point
- > Z+jets and possibly  $Z(p_T)$  at 8 TeV arXiv:1907.06728
  - Include them in a fit with the W+jets data epWZVjets20 out soon
  - NNLO predictions are available in NNLOJET (arxiv:1507.02850) much better agreement than at NLO

#### W/Z + heavy flavour

- New data analysis coming through the system: W+c and W+D\* at 13 TeV
- Unclear how to properly include fragmentation in a theoretically consistent manner
- Z+jets and Z(p<sub>T</sub>) at 13 TeV arXiv:1702.05725 and arXiv:1912.02844
  - Pythia8 predictions with parameters tuned to 7 TeV data describe the 13 TeV data very well at low p<sub>T</sub>
  - Fixed-order NNLOJET predictions with and without NLO EW effects describe data very well in the high-p<sub>T</sub> regime
- > Top data at 13 TeV arXiv:1908.07305 and arXiv:1910.08819
  - Lepton+jets and dilepton already available
  - Fully hadronic final state data should be available really soon

## **Overview of useful PDF-related analyses**



## **ATLAS PDF fits**

- DIS HERA data are the backbone of ATLAS PDF fits we add ATLAS measurements on top of them
- LHC cross sections from fastNLO and APPLgrid
  NNLO QCD accuracy achieved via k<sub>F</sub>, or NNLO grids from fastNLO
- PDF parametrisation:

$$\begin{aligned} xd_{v}(x) &= A_{u_{v}}x^{B_{u_{v}}}(1-x)^{C_{u_{v}}}(1+D_{d_{v}}x+E_{d_{v}}x^{2})\exp F_{d_{v}}x\\ xu_{v}(x) &= A_{d_{v}}x^{B_{d_{v}}}(1-x)^{C_{d_{v}}}(1+D_{u_{v}}x+E_{u_{v}}x^{2})\exp F_{d_{v}}x\\ x\bar{d}(x) &= A_{\bar{d}}x^{B_{\bar{d}}}(1-x)^{C_{\bar{d}}}(1+D_{\bar{d}}x+E_{\bar{d}}x^{2})\\ x\bar{u}(x) &= A_{\bar{u}}x^{B_{\bar{u}}}(1-x)^{C_{\bar{u}}}(1+D_{\bar{u}}x+E_{\bar{u}}x^{2})\\ x\bar{s}(x) &= A_{\bar{s}}x^{B_{\bar{s}}}(1-x)^{C_{\bar{s}}}(1+D_{\bar{s}}x+E_{\bar{s}}x^{2})\\ xg(x) &= A_{g}x^{B_{g}}(1-x)^{C_{g}}(1+D_{g}x+E_{g}x^{2})+A_{g}'x^{B_{g}'}(1-x)^{C_{g}'}\end{aligned}$$

Additional constraints for the central fit from sum rules

► 
$$A_{\overline{u}} = A_{\overline{d}}$$
,  $B_{\overline{s}} = B_{\overline{u}} = B_{\overline{d}}$ , with  $A_{\overline{s}}$  and  $C_{\overline{s}}$  free,  $C'_g = 25.0$  and  $s(x) = \overline{s}(x)$ 

> Central fits with 16 parameters with  $\alpha_s = 0.118$  and  $Q_0^2 = 1.9 \text{ GeV}^2$ 

# W+jets data at 8 TeV

- QCD fit to W+jets at 8 TeV data added on top of DIS data from HERA and the W,Z data at 7 TeV
- Some differences and improvements wrt the ATLAS epWZ16 fit to accommodate or exploit the new data:
  - More parameter variations and extended central parametrisation, consistent with ATLAS epWZtop18 fit
  - The new fit uses the W,Z data at 7 TeV before the combination (electron and muon decay channel uncombined) in order to correlate common sources of systematic uncertainties to those of the W+jets data
  - Variation of the minimum Q<sup>2</sup> selection of 10 GeV<sup>2</sup> (rather than 7.5 Gev<sup>2</sup>) to exclude the low-Q<sup>2</sup>, low-x HERA data which may be more adversely affected by large-logs, higher twist effects etc.
- NNLO corrections included as k-factors
- ATL-PHYS-PUB-2019-016
- Ongoing effort to include Z+jets data at 8 TeV in the same QCD fit - epWZVjets20 fit out soon



<u>ATL-PHYS-PUB-2019-016</u>

## Fit quality

Fit	ATLASepWZ19U	ATL	ASepWZ19U + $p_{\rm T}^W$	ATLASepWZ19U + $p_{T}^{\text{leading}}$
Total $\chi^2$ /NDF	1310 / 1106		1354 / 1140	1365 / 1152
HERA partial $\chi^2$ /NDF	1123 / 1016		1132/1016	1141 / 1016
HERA correlated $\chi^2$	48		49	50
HERA log penalty $\chi^2$	-18.38		-22.4	-24.72
ATLAS W, Z partial $\chi^2$ /NDF	117 / 106		116 / 106	109 / 106
ATLAS W + jets partial $\chi^2$ /NDF	-		18/34	43 / 46
ATLAS correlated $\chi^2$	40		62	47

- Fits including the W+jets data showing no tension with the HERA data or inclusive W,Z data
- Slightly better  $\chi^2$  when including the  $p_T^W$  spectrum
- New PDF fit released: ATLAS epWZ-Wjets19
- Clear improvements in the description of the data in the large-p<sub>T</sub> region with the new fits



#### ATL-PHYS-PUB-2019-016

## New fits results



#### Compared to ATLAS epWZ16 fit:

- > Softer  $d_v$  at medium-x
- $\succ$  Harder  $\bar{d}$
- Softer strange PDF at high-x

 u-quark and gluon distributions essentially unchanged



Х

r<sub>s</sub>

# ATLAS 'global' fit

- Fit to complement the current V+jets and recent top fits
- 'Global' in the sense of multiple ATLAS data sets NO data from multiple LHC experiments
- > Attempt to simultaneously fit as many useful ATLAS data sets as possible
  - HERA data for constraining low-x and Q<sup>2</sup> region
  - LHC data provide stronger constraints at higher x and Q<sup>2</sup>
- > Time scale: aiming for the end of 2020 or shortly after
- > So far, on top of the **epWZtop18** fit (<u>ATLS-PHYS-PUB-2018-017</u>) we added:
  - W+jets at 8 TeV arXiv:1711.03296
  - Z+jets at 8 TeV arXiv:1907.06728
  - Z3D asymmetry and cross section arxiv:1710.05167
  - W asymmetry at 8 TeV arXiv:1904.05631
  - W,Z data at 2.76, 5.02 TeV arXiv:1907.03567, arXiv:1810.08424
  - 13/8 TeV photon ratios arxiv:1901.10075
  - Top data at 13 TeV (lepton+jets decay channel) arXiv:1908.07305
  - HERA inclusive and dijets data

11

## Including jet data in the global fit

- > We would like to include jet data in the ATLAS global fit
- Previous attempts in 2017 appeared extremely promising, but were stymied by systematics
- > Each  $\eta$  region could be fitted separately, but  $\chi^2$  poor when including all the regions due to correlated  $\eta$  inter-calibration



#### JHEP 05 (2018) 195 Jet data at 13 TeV



> NNLO predictions agree with data much better than NLO

> Hopefully even if NNLO grids are not available, we can use NNLO  $k_F$  in the fits

# Z p<sub>T</sub> and $\phi^*$ at 13 TeV

- Pythia8 predictions with parameters tuned to 7 TeV data describe the 13 TeV data very well at low p<sub>T</sub>
- Fixed-order NNLO predictions from NNLOJET with and without NLO EWK corrections describe data in the high-p<sub>T</sub> regime within uncertainties
- ► NNLO+N<sup>3</sup>LL RadISH prediction agrees well with data within 1-3% uncertainties over the full p<sub>T</sub> spectrum → log(m<sub>II</sub>/p<sub>T</sub>)-resummation IS crucial



# **ATLAS grids for PDF fits**

- ATLAS grids are now being distributed via the ploughshare website: <u>http://ploughshare.web.cern.ch</u>
- All the ATLAS and non-ATLAS available grids can be found here: <u>https://ploughshare.web.cern.ch/ploughshare/download.php</u>
- > NNLO top grids from Czakon et al. available in APPLgrid format



## **ATLAS xFitter users' desiderata**

- > Fix the issue with partial  $\chi^2$  to be 0 when statistical correlation matrices in use
- > A tool to convert HEPData tables to xFitter data files format needed
- > TOP++ interfaced to xFitter as an alternative to Hathor
- Perform QCD + EW fits
- > When fitting data sensitive to  $\sin^2 \vartheta_W$ , a recipe to access uncertainty due to this input parameter
- > Any plan to interface xFitter to Plugshare?
- Strategy for sharing/generating common grids
- In(1/x)-resummation for low-mass DY (once theory is ready) and small-q<sub>T</sub> resummation for low p<sub>T</sub> (e.g. interface to DYTurbo)

### Conclusions

- > New ATLAS measurements at  $\sqrt{s}$  = 13 TeV coming out soon  $\rightarrow$  ready to exploit possible potential to constraint PDFs
- A fit to DIS HERA data, ATLAS W/Z at 7 TeV and V+jets at 8 TeV should be presented at DIS2020
- Ongoing effort of a much more 'global' ATLAS PDF fit longer timescale e.g. aiming for the end of 2020 or shortly after
- xFitter and the Oxford PDF fitting code are the main framework for PDF analyses
- > ATLAS grids distributed via the plougshare website



# **Backup Slides**

## Fit including the W+jets data



Compared to previous ATLAS epWZ16 fit:

- $\succ$  Softer  $d_v$
- $\succ$  Harder  $\bar{d}$
- $\succ$  *u*-quark distributions unchanged
- Softer strange PDF at low-x

#### ATL-PHYS-PUB-2019-016

### How strange is the proton?



#### Light-quark asymmetry



## Isolated photons data at 13 TeV

Dominant production:  $gq \rightarrow q\gamma$ dσ/dE<sup>γ</sup> [pb/GeV ATLAS Data 10<sup>2</sup> Constraint on gluon at medium x e.g.  $x \simeq 0.1$ √s = 13 TeV, 3.2 fb<sup>-1</sup> • |η<sup>γ</sup>|<0.6 Range: 125 GeV <  $E_T \leq 2$  TeV 10  $\circ 0.6 < |\eta^{\gamma}| < 1.37 (x 10^{-1})$ ■ 1.56<|η<sup>γ</sup>|<1.81 (x10<sup>-2</sup>) Dominant systematic uncertainties: □ 1.81<|η<sup>γ</sup>|<2.37 (x10<sup>-3</sup>) Energy scale 10 **Background correlations** 10 Theory/Data 10 ATLAS NLO QCD (JETPHOX):  $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}, 3.2 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ MMHT2014 10 - luminosity uncertainty CT14 NNPDF3.0 10<sup>-5</sup>  $10^{-6}$ NLO QCD (JETPHOX) MMHT2014 PDF  $10^{-7}$ • Data ( $|\eta^{\gamma}| < 0.6$ ) • Data (0.6< $|\eta^{\gamma}|$ <1.37) 10 200 400 500 300  $E_{T}^{\gamma}$  [GeV] Theory/Data Phys. Lett. B 770 (2017) 473 • Data (1.56< $|\eta^{\gamma}|$ <1.81)  $\Box$  Data (1.81< $|\eta^{\gamma}|$ <2.37) JetPhox: low in normalisation (~20% lower than data) Result independent from the PDF set in USE NLO predictions: large scale 400 500 400 500 200 300 1000 200 300 uncertainties  $E_{T}^{\gamma}$  [GeV]  $E_{T}^{\gamma}$  [GeV]