# **Generative Models For High Granularity Calorimeters**

Machine Learning for Jets Workshop, New York University

Erik Buhmann, Sascha Diefenbacher, <u>Engin Eren</u>, Frank Gaede, Gregor Kasieczka, Anatoli Korol, Katja Krüger

15.01.2020





CLUSTER OF EXCELLENCE QUANTUM UNIVERSE







## **Calorimeters in a HEP Experiment**

- Incoming particle initiates the showers and **secondary particles** are produced
- These secondary particles further produce other particles until the full energy is absorbed



#### One type of EM calorimeter: sampling calorimeter

- Alternating layers of passive absorbers and active detectors
- Only **fraction** of particle energy is recorded (visible energy)

## **High Granularity Calorimeter**

#### Very fine segmentation of channels

- Reconstruct all individual particle showers
- Optimised for Particle Flow Approach (PFA)
  - ✓ Improve overall precision

#### Examples:

- ILD detector at ILC (Higgs Factory):
  - \* Si-W ECAL (5x5mm) + Scintillator-Steel HCAL (30x30mm)
- CMS High Granularity Calorimeter (HGCAL)



## **Shower Simulation**

- Particle showers in the calorimeter are simulated by Geant4
  ✓ First-principle **physics** based simulation
- Very CPU intensive, due to large number of interacting particles

### Goal:

- Reproduce accurate shower simulations with a faster, powerful generator; based on state-of-the-art generative models
- Enormous amounts of CPU time could be potentially saved!



Figure from D.Costanzo, J.Catmore, LHCC meeting

CALOGAN: Simulating 3D high energy particle showers in multilayer electromagnetic calorimeters with generative adversarial networks

Michela Paganini, Luke de Oliveira, and Benjamin Nachman Phys. Rev. D **97**, 014021 – Published 30 January 2018

Simulator	Hardware	Batch size	ms/shower
Geant4	CPU	N/A	1772
CaloGAN		1	13.1
	CPU	10	5.11
		128	2.19
		1024	2.03
		1	14.5
		4	3.68
	GPU	128	0.021
		512	0.014
		1024	0.012 ¥

## **Training Data**

#### **Geant4 Simulation**

- Shooting photon perpendicular to the ILD-ECAL (Si-W)
  - Constant incident point
  - 85.000 photon showers
  - Photon Energy: 10-100 GeV, continuous!
  - 30x30x30 pixels, centered on beam

30x30x30 data (3D)







## **Challenges**

#### **Quality measures:**

- Reproduce Geant4 showers
- <u>Shower shape variables have to be examined, especially:</u>
  - Number of hits (i.e occupancy)
  - Radial & longitudinal profile
- Differential energy distributions: shape & accuracy

#### **Energy conditioning**

- Condition generator / decoder on incoming particle's energy
  - Not same as visible (or reconstructed) energy!



# **Generative Model:** Wasserstein GAN

## Wasserstein GAN (Gradient-Penalty)

#### An alternative to traditional GAN training. Helps improve the stability of learning

- Label conditioning: Provide information on shower we are simulating (energy of incoming photons)
- Add loss term to the generator to reconstruct energy of generated showers.



 $\tilde{x} = g_{\theta}(z, y_{\text{label}})$ 

## Wasserstein GAN (2D Data)



# 20

10

10<sup>2</sup>

 $10^{1}$ 

 $10^{0}$ 

W-GAN shower 50 GeV

0



50 GeV Photons

GEANT 4

### Trained only on 50 GeV

- (No energy-conditioning) •
- Very good agreement with G4!

## WGAN-GP (2D Data)

0.30

normalized



#### Trained on full spectrum

WGAN-GP (2D Data)

- Great linearity
- Energy shape broken



# **Generative Model: BIB-AE**



#### Variational Autoencoder

- MSE for reconstruction
- KLD for individual latent distr. shape





#### Variational Autoencoder

- MSE for reconstruction
- KLD for individual latent distr. shape
- Latent critic for global latent distr. shape





#### Variational Autoencoder

- MSE for reconstruction
- KLD for individual latent distr. shape
- Latent critic for global latent distr. shape
- MSE problematic for sparse images
- Critic network



### BIB-AE CS-paper: arXiv:1912.00830

#### Input Sampling **Bounded Information Bottleneck Autoencoder** MSE for reconstruction Х Ζ Encoder $\sigma$ • KLD for individual latent distr. shape • Latent critic for global latent distr. shape KLD Latent- MSE problematic for sparse images Critic +MMD $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ Critic network

- Information in Latent space needs
  reconstruction
- → Difference Critic



## **Bib-AE (3D Data)**



## **Bib-AE (3D Data)**

#### Best results so far

- Overall good agreement with G4!
  - Except for Sparcity and lower cell energies



## **Bib-AE (3D Data)**

**Energy conditioning** 

0.30

0.25

0.20

0.15

0.10

0.05

0.00

500

normalized

- Tested on different energies: Working  $\checkmark$ 

60 GeV Photons

1500

2000

- GEANT 4

— BiBAE

1000

energy sum [MeV]





## Conclusion

- High granularity calorimeters will play key role for future experiments
- Application of generative models to high resolution EM Shower Simulation
- Architectures:
  - WGAN (2D)
  - Bib-AE (3D) (**New!**)
- Goals:
  - Shower shapes
  - Energy distribution
  - Conditioning



# Thank you

# **Backup Slides**

### VAE-GAN (2D Data) 0.30 Best results so far 0.25

#### **Overall good agreement :**

- energy shape and sparsity are not optimal
- Radial energy is in a very good agreement





24



**Challenges** 



### Distance between eyes ?

### Length of a nose ?

NVIDIA paper : <u>arXiv:1710.10196</u>



#### Similarity measures via MSE Loss:

- Is a simple element-wise metric
- Might not be suitable for image data.

#### Instead of MSE Loss in the VAE:

• Use adversarial network

#### Adversarial critic :

- Wasserstein critic!
- Does not perform reconstruction
- No information in latent space

