



Automatic LO and NLO calculations with HELAC-PHEGAS framework



Malgorzata Worek Bergische Universität Wuppertal

Monte Carlo Meeting, 4 December 2009, Karlsruhe

Outline of the Talk



- LO + LL: **Helac-Phegas**
- NLO: HELAC-NLO
- Real emission: **HELAC-DIPOLES**
- Virtual contributions: **OPP, CUTTOOLS, HELAC-1LOOP, ONELOOP**
- Some Results
- Summary & Outlook

In collaboration with:M. Czakon (RWTH Aachen)A. van Hameren (INP Krakow)C. G. Papadopoulos (IN Athens)R. Pittau (University of Granada)+ 3 postdocs:G. Bevilacqua (INP Athens)M.V. Garzelli (University of Granada)P. Draggiotis (University of Valencia)+ 2 phd students







Features of HELAC-PHEGAS

http://helac-phegas.web.cern.ch/helac-phegas/



 $pp \rightarrow W + jets @ LHC$





ALPGEN – angular-ordered PS in HERWIG with MLM matching

- ARIADNE matrix elements MadGraph, p_T ordered dipole PS with CKKW-L, **PYTHIA**
- HELAC mass-ordered PS in PYTHIA with MLM matching

MADEVENT – mass-ordered PS in PYTHIA with MLM matching

SHERPA – mass-ordered PS with CKKW matching, PYTHIA

J. Alwall et al. '08



Backgrounds @ LHC

$$qq \rightarrow W^+ W^- qq, qq \rightarrow W^+ Z qq$$

- VBFNLO Warped Higgsless Kaluza-Klein model of narrow spin1 resonances
- HELAC-PHEGAS Most prominent background processes
- Full off-shell and finite width effects for final states with two tagging jets and four leptons
- Double forward jet-tagging techniques
- Dedicated cuts on the observable jets and charged leptons
- Substantial sensitivity to strong interactions in EWSB sector



VBFNLO

K. Arnold et al. '09 http://www-itp.particle.uni-karlsruhe.de/~vbfnloweb/

Englert, Jager, Worek, Zeppenfeld '09





Real radiation



HELAC-DIPOLES

http://helac-phegas.web.cern.ch/helac-phegas/

- Complete, publicly available automatic implementation of Catani-Seymour dipole subtraction
 - phase space integration of subtracted real radiation and integrated dipoles in both massless and massive cases Catani, Seymour '97 & Catani, Dittmaier, Seymour, Trocsanyi '02
- Extended for arbitrary polarizations Czakon, Papadopoulos, Worek '09
 Monte Carlo over polarization states of external particles
- Phase space restriction on the dipole phase space $\alpha_{max} \in [0,1]$

Nagy, Trocsanyi '99 & Nagy '02 Campbell, Ellis, Tramontano '04 Campbell, Tramontano '05 Czakon, Worek, Papadopoulos '09

- \Rightarrow Cuts off dipole function for phase space regions away from singularity
- \Rightarrow Less dipoles subtraction terms needed per event
- \Rightarrow Increased numerical stability by decreasing size of dipole phase space
- \Rightarrow Reduced missed binning problem
- \Rightarrow Large cancellations between dipoles subtracted real radiation and integrated dipoles

Cutoff Dependence

Bevilacqua, Czakon, Papadopoulos, Pittau, Worek '09



Virtual corrections



$$A = \sum_{I \in \{1,2,\dots,n\}} \int \frac{\mu^{4-d} d^d \bar{q}}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{\bar{N}_I(\bar{q})}{\prod_{i \in I} \bar{D}_i(\bar{q})} \qquad \bar{D}_i(\bar{q}) = (\bar{q} + p_i)^2 - m_i^2, \quad i = 1,2,\dots,n$$
$$A = \sum_i d_i Box_i + \sum_i c_i Triangle_i + \sum_i b_i Bubble_i + \sum_i a_i Tadpole_i + R$$

- Amplitude can be expressed in basis of known integrals such 4-, 3-, 2-, 1-point scalar integrals
- In order to calculate one loop amplitude three main building blocks are needed:
 - \Rightarrow Evaluation of numerator function N(q) HELAC-1LOOP van Hameren, Papadopoulos, Pittau '09
 - \Rightarrow Determination of coefficients via reduction method **OPP, CUTTOOLS**

Ossola, Papadopoulos, Pittau '07 & '08 http://annapurna.ifj.edu.pl/~hameren/

 \Rightarrow Evaluation of scalar functions – **ONELOOP**





- Reduction at integrand level OPP method implemented in CUTTOOLS
- Solved using method resembling generalized unitarity computing numerator functions for specific values of loop momenta

$$D_i(q) = 0,$$
 for $i = 0, \dots, M - 1$

Customary to refer to these equations as quadruple (M=4), triple (M=3), double (M=2) and single (M=1) cuts

$$\begin{split} N(q) &= \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} \left[d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{m-1} D_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} \left[c(i_0 i_1 i_2) + \tilde{c}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2}^{m-1} D_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1}^{m-1} \left[b(i_0 i_1) + \tilde{b}(q; i_0 i_1) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1}^{m-1} D_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0}^{m-1} \left[a(i_0) + \tilde{a}(q; i_0) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0}^{m-1} D_i \\ &+ \tilde{P}(q) \prod_{i}^{m-1} D_i . \end{split}$$
Ossola, Papadopoulos, Pittau '07 & '08

HELAC-1LOOP





Typical collection of possible contributions

van Hameren, Papadopoulos, Pittau '09

- Calculating N(q) for specific loop momenta
- Possibility to use tree level amplitudes
- Collecting all contributions with given loop propagator
- Calculated as part of tree level amplitude with n+2 particles in 4 dimensions





Virtual corrections



Procedure to calculate one-loop amplitude fully automatically

- Construction of all numerator functions using HELAC-1LOOP, all flavours within SM can be included either as external or internal (loop) momenta, all particles can have arbitrary masses
- Each numerator function reduced using **CUTTOOLS**, part of rational term is obtained
- N(q) calculated for particular q given by **CUTTOOLS** via **HELAC-1LOOP**
- Rational term with special Feynman rules
 Draggiotis, Garzelli, Papadopoulos, Pittau '09
 Garzelli, Malamos, Pittau '09
- Construction of all UV counter term contributions needed to renormalize amplitude

Efficiency & Precision



- Monte Carlo over color
- Full color available!
- 0.5 seconds per event
- Reweighting

$$\sigma_{ab}^{LO+V} = \int dx_1 dx_2 d\Phi_m f_a(x_1) f_b(x_2) |\mathcal{M}|^2 \left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{L}^* + \mathcal{M}^*\mathcal{L}}{|\mathcal{M}|^2} \right)$$

- Much less points to evaluate (200 000 for permille accuracy in our case)
- Based on smothness argument
- Avoids numerical instabilities
- Gauge check for each phase space point to certify precision

Motivation for $pp \rightarrow ttbb$

On the theoretical side

- NLO corrections to $2 \rightarrow 4$ processes current technical frontier
- The complexity of such calculations triggered creation of special experimenters' wishlists
- ttbb production ranges among the most wanted candidates
- NLO QCD corrections to ttH
 Beenakker, Dittmaier, Krämer, Plümper, Spira, Zerwas '01
 Reina, Dawson '01 & Dawson, Orr, Reina, Wackeroth '03
- NLO QCD corrections to ttbb Bredenstein, Denner, Dittmaier, Pozzorini '08 & '09
- Confirm published results
- Demonstrate power of system based on HELAC-PHEGAS, HELAC-1LOOP, CUTTOOLS,
 ONELOOP, HELAC-DIPOLES in realistic computation with 6 external legs and massive partons

Comparison



- Cross sections for $pp \rightarrow ttbb + X$ at the LHC at LO and NLO
- Scale choice $\mu_{\rm F} = \mu_{\rm R} = m_{\rm t}$

Bevilacqua, Czakon, Papadopoulos, Pittau, Worek '09 Bredenstein, Denner, Dittmaier, Pozzorini '08 & '09

Process	$\sigma_{[23, 24]}^{\rm LO}$ [fb]	$\sigma^{\rm LO}$ [fb]	$\sigma^{\rm NLO}_{[23, 24]}$ [fb]	$\sigma_{\alpha_{\max}=1}^{\text{NLO}}$ [fb]	$\sigma_{\alpha_{\rm max}=0.01}^{\rm NLO}$ [fb]
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow t\bar{t}b\bar{b}$	85.522(26)	85.489(46)	87.698(56)	87.545(91)	87.581(134)
$pp \to t\bar{t}b\bar{b}$	1488.8(1.2)	1489.2(0.9)	2638(6)	2642(3)	2636(3)

- K = 1.77, reduced to K = 1.2 by introducing veto on extra jet
- For qq initial state K = 1.03 only

Scale Dependence



• Scale dependence at the LHC for $\mu_{R} = \mu_{F} = \xi \cdot m_{t}$ at LO & NLO

$\xi \cdot m_t$	$1/8 \cdot m_t$	$1/2 \cdot m_t$	$1 \cdot m_t$	$2 \cdot m_t$	$8 \cdot m_t$
$\sigma^{\rm LO}$ [fb]	8885(36)	2526(10)	1489.2(0.9)	923.4(3.8)	388.8(1.4)
$\sigma^{\rm NLO}$ [fb]	4213(65)	3498(11)	2636(3)	1933.0(3.8)	1044.7(1.7)

$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}b\bar{b}}^{\text{LO}}(\text{LHC}, m_t = 176.2 \text{ GeV}, \text{CTEQ6L1}) = 1489.2 + 1036.8 (70\%) - 565.8 (38\%) \text{ fb}$$

$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}b\bar{b}}^{\text{NLO}}(\text{LHC}, m_t = 176.2 \text{ GeV}, \text{CTEQ6M}) = 2636 + 862 (33\%) - 703 (27\%) \text{ fb}.$$

• Varying scale up or down by a factor 2 changes cross section by 70% in LO & 33% in NLO

Scale Dependence





Distributions





- Differential cross sections at the LHC for $pp \rightarrow ttbb + X$
- Invariant mass distribution of bb pair
- Transverse momentum of bb pair
- Rapidity distribution of bb pair
- Transverse momentum of b quark
- LO
- NLO
- All distributions for $\alpha_{max} = 0.01$
- Large corrections, relatively constant

Dynamical K-factor



- \blacksquare Ratio of NLO and LO distributions at the LHC for pp \rightarrow ttbb + X
- Relatively small variation when compared with their size





Summary & Outlook



- **HELAC–PHEGAS:** Framework for high energy phenomenology at LO + LL
- Automated approaches for NLO build around HELAC-PHEGAS
 HELAC-1LOOP, CUTTOOLS and HELAC-DIPOLES, ONELOOP
- First results have been obtained. More $2 \rightarrow 4$ processes in preparation
- Contribute to ATLAS and CMS generator groups in all stages (interfacing, validation, tuning, installation, configuration, user help, physical analysis...)
- Make **HELAC-PHEGAS** an option for the **LHC** !