#### Virtualization Users Workshop Report

Owen Synge D-Cache Desy

# Outline

- Virtualization Basics.
  - What products.
- Implications.
  - What does/could this mean for us in HEP.
- Virtualization Workshop
  - Who was thier?
  - What happened at our meeting?
  - Notable comments?

## Virtualization Basics

- Unix runs with one OS per host
  - Is this the right model for grids?
- Virtualization products exist
  - Xen, Vmware, Solaris containers, UML,Vserver
- Most favor Solaris and Xen solutions
- Available and production grade solutions exist now.
  - Some sites already using Virtualization extensively.

## Implications

- Little performance overhead with Xen.
- Virtualization for availability
  - Not my area (See Thomas Finnern's talk)
  - Migrating/pausing services
- Virtualization for security
  - Isolation of hardware access.
- More operating systems to manage.
  - More than one concurrent operating system flavor is now possible

## Workshop: Who was present?

- Virtualization Users
  - It was called the Virtualization user workshop
- Solution developers
  - Globus, Metacentre, Universitaet Karlsruhe
- LCG Grid integrators
- OSG/LCG Grid Administrators

### What was presented?

- Using Virtualization for deployment
  - Grid Ireland : Trinity College Dublin
- Using Virtualization for testing
  - Dcache : Desy , gLite certification: CERN
- Using Virtualization on worker node
  - PBS and Xen : MetaCentre
  - Torque and Xen : Universitaet Karlsruhe
  - GridView : Trinity collage Dublin
- Globus Workspaces
  - WSRF control of worker nodes

#### What was demonstrated

- GridView for managing Xen images
  - Web interface for managing images
- Cerns
  - Cluster of virtual machine management application
- Desys
  - xen-image-manager
    - Simple image management application

#### What was talked about?

- Benefits of virtualization in a Grid.
- Worker node models and their use cases
- How HEP Grid could be best served?

## **Benefits of Virtuliastaion**

- Greater job throughput (Performance)
  - Running in 32/64 bit mode correctly.
- Greater Job isolation (Security)
  - Memory/OS is not shared.
- Backfilling (Utilization)
  - Pausing jobs while parallel jobs run.
- Management (Administrator)
  - Pausing, and migration of jobs would help.

# Benefits of Virtuliastaion 2

- Hardware OS, user OS isolation.
  - Admin runs OS they want, user get OS they want
- Security
  - Eases state management
    - Wiping nodes
  - Root on VM cannot escape VM
  - Deamon jobs and proxy steeling impossible
- Dynamic OS selection
  - Could be different OS per Job

- One persistent VM with single image.
  - Benefit
    - Job isolation from base image
    - No user access to DOM0 hence DOM0 is consistent
    - Eases hardware abstraction.
  - Cost
    - Performance (Slight)
    - Maintenance (Two OS's)
    - Not integrated as a solution for large sites.

- multiple persistent VM, multiple images.
  - Benefits
    - Currently in production at 3 sites
    - Can support "Back filling"
    - Memory is not shared between VM's.
  - Penalties
    - Lots of images, lots of integration
    - Memory is not shared between VM's.
- Model 2 has Cost/Benefits of 1

- Non persistent Virtual machine.
  - Benefits
    - Greatly enhanced security
      - Jobs demonized and job cleaning automatic
    - Minimal modification to batch system required
  - Costs
    - VM boot time for jobs and image installation
      - 45 seconds typically using rsync
- Model 3 has all Cost/Benefits of 1 & 2

- Non persistent VM's with a library of Images.
  - Benefits
    - Great flexibility
    - Experiments don't have to coordinate (eg SL3/SL4)
  - Costs
    - Additional integration for Batch system and VM
    - Information systems need changes
    - JDL needs changes
    - Not all batch queues will be integrated quickly
- Model 4 has all costs benefits of 1,2 & 3  $\,$

- User defined Images on VM's
  - Benefit
    - No need for anyone to agree OS
  - Cost
    - New infrastructure needed (Picking OS image)
    - Need to define images (For sites to generate)
    - User supplied raw image is potentially dangerous
- Model 5 has all Cost/Benefits of 1,2,3 & 4

## Models for Worker node Summary

- Model 4 seems to cover all current HEP use cases, as VO's are relatively large.
  - Dynamic image generation not seen as needed right now
- Security greatly enhanced with non persistent VM's
- Model 5 might be needed in long term.
  Will wait and see user demand.
- Expect to support at least 3 Worker node Operating systems eg (i386/x64/sl4/sl5)

# Work required and expected before Virtualization on WN

- For Short term use
  - VM integration for most Batch schedulers
  - Automated deployment
  - Recipes for deployment
  - Good kernel support in OS layer (RHEL5)
- For long term
  - Labeling and defining images for dynamic images. (eg ALI/rpath)

#### Root and the VM

- Should we give end users "root" privileges on non persistent VM's?
  - Leads to poor deployment practices
  - Dangers with rfio,dcap and nfs
  - Advantages in users will be able to setup things like at their site.
  - Conclusion
    - Resist users getting root unless we need them too and don't tell them about it being possible

# Sites bringing virtulisation to the Grid worker node

- Following Model 2
  - Metacenter
  - Universitaet Karlsruhe
- Following Model 5
  - Globus

## Workshop Conclusions

- Virtualization on the grid is an inevitable development in the next 5 years.
  - Dues to benefits for admins and users.
- Virtualization provides increased job isolation and security.
  - Memory and hardware resource sharing can be enforced
- Heterogeneous Operating system support ton same hardware is major advantage.