Bootstrapping Monodromy Defects in the Wess-Zumino Model

Aleix Gimenez-Grau

DESY Hamburg

Based on:

AGG, Liendo: 2108.05107.

See also:

- AGG, Liendo, van Vliet: 2012.00018 (Philine's talk).
- Barrat, AGG, Liendo: 2108.13432 (Julien's talk).

Wess-Zumino model

The Wess-Zumino model has one complex scalar and a Weyl fermion:

$$L_{\rm WZ} = (\partial_{\mu}\bar{\phi})(\partial_{\mu}\phi) + \psi^{\dagger}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi + \frac{g}{2}(\psi\psi\phi + \psi^{\dagger}\psi^{\dagger}\bar{\phi}) + \frac{g^{2}}{4}(\phi\bar{\phi})^{2}.$$

Interesting properties:

- Supersymmetric and preserves four supercharges.
- Continuum limit of a lattice model.
- ► A nice example of emergent supersymmetry.
- Might be realized experimentally at the boundary of a topological insulator.

Wess-Zumino model

The Wess-Zumino model has one complex scalar and a Weyl fermion:

$$L_{\rm WZ} = (\partial_{\mu}\bar{\phi})(\partial_{\mu}\phi) + \psi^{\dagger}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi + \frac{g}{2}(\psi\psi\phi + \psi^{\dagger}\psi^{\dagger}\bar{\phi}) + \frac{g^{2}}{4}(\phi\bar{\phi})^{2}.$$

Notice:

- Free in d = 4.
- ▶ Non-trivial fixed point in d = 3.
- Perturbation theory in $d = 4 \varepsilon$.

We will not use the Lagrangian description!

Monodromy defect

Since the theory has a U(1) symmetry, we can impose a monodromy: [Söderberg '17; Giombi, Helfenberger, Ji, Khanchandani '21]

$$\phi(r,\theta+2\pi,\vec{y}) = e^{2\pi i v} \phi(r,\theta,\vec{y}) \,.$$

It breaks conformal symmetry: $SO(d+1,1) \rightarrow SO(d-1,1) \oplus SO(2)$. The monodromy defect preserves two supercharges in the WZ model.

There are two new observables that define any defect

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O} \\ \bullet \end{array} \ = \langle \! \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle \! \rangle \propto a_{\mathcal{O}} \,, \qquad \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O} \\ \bullet \end{array} \ \bullet \end{array} \ \bullet \ \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O} \\ \bullet \end{array} \ = \langle \! \langle \mathcal{O} \widehat{\mathcal{O}} \rangle \! \rangle \propto b_{\mathcal{O} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}} \,. \end{array}$$

The defect data $\{a_{\mathcal{O}}, b_{\mathcal{O}\widehat{\mathcal{O}}}\}\$ is the analog of $\{\lambda_{\mathcal{O}_1\mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_3}\}\$ in regular CFT.

Crossing equation

The simplest correlator not fixed by conformal symmetry is:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \phi \bullet \\ \\ \bar{\phi} \bullet \end{array} = \langle \! \langle \phi(x_1) \bar{\phi}(x_2) \rangle \! \rangle \sim \mathcal{G}(z, \bar{z}) \, . \end{array}$$

There are two possible OPEs

$$\phi\times\bar{\phi}\sim\sum\lambda_{\phi\bar{\phi}\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{O}\,,\qquad\phi\sim\sum b_{\phi\widehat{\mathcal{O}}}\widehat{\mathcal{O}}\,.$$

Their equality is the crossing equation: [Billo, Goncalves, Lauria, Meineri '16]

$$\mathcal{G}(z,\bar{z}) = \sum_{\mathcal{O}} \lambda_{\phi\bar{\phi}\mathcal{O}} a_{\mathcal{O}} F_{\Delta,\ell}(z,\bar{z}) = \sum_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}} |b_{\phi\widehat{\mathcal{O}}}|^2 \hat{F}_{\hat{\Delta},s}(z,\bar{z}) \,.$$

To bootstrap the correlator, information flows from bulk to defect.

Inversion formula

Thanks to the inversion formula, the discontinuity $\operatorname{Disc} \mathcal{G}(z, \overline{z})$ is sufficient to reconstruct the full correlator: [Lemos, Liendo, Meineri, Sarkar '17]

$$\int d^2 z \, I_{\hat{\Delta},s}(z,\bar{z}) \operatorname{Disc} \mathcal{G}(z,\bar{z}) = -\sum_{\widehat{O}} \frac{b_{\phi\widehat{O}}^2}{\hat{\Delta} - \hat{\Delta}_{\widehat{O}}}$$

If the bulk OPE is $\phi\times\bar\phi\sim\sum_\ell {\cal O}_\ell,$ a simple calculation shows

$$\operatorname{Disc} \mathcal{G}(z,\bar{z})|_{O(\varepsilon)} \sim \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (\lambda_{\phi\bar{\phi}\mathcal{O}_{\ell}} a_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell}})_{\operatorname{free}} \gamma_{\ell} \tilde{F}_{\Delta_{\mathcal{O}_{\ell}},\ell}(z,\bar{z}) \,.$$

At this order, the discontinuity depends only on free theory coefficients and bulk anomalous dimensions (independent of the defect!).

We obtained γ_{ℓ} using standard bootstrap methods:

$$\Delta_{\ell} = 2\Delta_{\phi} + \ell + \gamma_{\ell} = 2\Delta_{\phi} + \ell + \frac{2}{3} \frac{(-1)^{\ell}}{\ell + 1} \varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2) \,.$$

Wess-Zumino monodromy defect

Summary:

Extract the defect data by computing the inversion formula integral.

The leading defect family is of the form $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_s \sim (\partial_{\perp})^s \phi$:

$$\begin{split} \hat{\Delta}_s &= \frac{d-1}{3} + |s| + \varepsilon \hat{\gamma}_s^{(1)} + O(\varepsilon^2) \,, \quad \hat{\gamma}_s^{(1)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } s > 0 \,, \\ \frac{2(v-1)}{3|s|} & \text{for } s < 0 \,. \end{cases} \\ b_{s>0}^2 &= 1 + \frac{-(2|s|+1-v)H_{|s|} + (|s|+1-v)H_{|s|+1-v} - (1-v)H_{1-v}}{3|s|} \varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2) \,, \\ b_{s<0}^2 &= 1 + \frac{-(2|s|+v-1)H_{|s|} + (|s|+v-1)H_{|s|+v-1} - (v-1)H_{v-1}}{3|s|} \varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2) \,. \end{split}$$

Wess-Zumino monodromy defect

Summary:

- Extract the defect data by computing the inversion formula integral.
- Resum the defect channel to obtain the full correlator.

The full result is not particularly simple:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{G}_{\rm WZ}(x,\bar{x}) &= -\frac{\varepsilon}{3} \frac{\sqrt{x\bar{x}}}{(1-x\bar{x})} \bigg[\\ &+ x^v(1-v) \big(j_{2v-1,v}(\bar{x}) - j_{v,v}(x) - H_{v-1} \Phi_v(x) + \Phi_v(x) \log(x\bar{x}) \big) \\ &+ \bar{x}^{1-v}(1-v) \big(j_{1-v,1-v}(\bar{x}) - j_{2-2v,1-v}(\bar{x}) + H_{1-v} \Phi_{1-v}(\bar{x}) \big) \\ &+ x^v \frac{H_{v-1} - H_{2v-2} + \Phi_v(x) - \Phi_{2-v-1}(x)}{1-\bar{x}} \\ &+ \bar{x}^{1-v} \frac{H_{-v} - H_{1-2v} + \Phi_{1-v}(\bar{x}) - \Phi_{2-2v}(\bar{x})}{1-\bar{x}} \\ &- x^{1-v} \bar{x}^{2-2v} \left((v-1) J_{2-2v,1-v}(\bar{x},x) + \frac{\Phi_{2-2v}(\bar{x}) - x\Phi_{2-2v}(x\bar{x})}{1-\bar{x}} \right) \\ &+ x^{2v-1} \bar{x}^{v-1} \left((v-1) J_{2v-1,v-1}(x,\bar{x}) - \frac{\Phi_{2v-1}(x) - \bar{x}\Phi_{2v-1}(x\bar{x})}{1-\bar{x}} \right) \\ &- \bar{x} x^{v+1} \left((v-1) J_{v+1,1}(x,\bar{x}) - \frac{\Phi_{v+1}(x) - \bar{x}\Phi_{v+1}(x\bar{x})}{1-\bar{x}} \right) \\ &+ x \bar{x}^{2-v} \left((v-1) J_{2-v,1}(\bar{x},x) + \frac{\Phi_{2-v}(\bar{x}) - x\Phi_{2-v}(x\bar{x})}{1-x} \right) \bigg] \,. \end{split}$$

Wess-Zumino monodromy defect

Summary:

- Extract the defect data by computing the inversion formula integral.
- Resum the defect channel to obtain the full correlator.
- Extract the bulk OPE coefficients.

For the leading bulk operator $\mathcal{O}=(\phi\bar{\phi})$ we find:

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{\phi\bar{\phi}(\phi\bar{\phi})}a_{(\phi\bar{\phi})} &= \frac{v(v-1)}{2} - \varepsilon \frac{2v^3 - 4v^2 + 2v - 1}{6v} \\ &+ \frac{\varepsilon}{3}(v-1)(2v-1)\left(H_{2v} + H_{-2v} - H_v - H_{-v}\right) \\ &+ O(\varepsilon^2) \,. \end{split}$$

We can similarly extract other operators.

Conclusions

Our techniques are very general and do not rely on supersymmetry. With similar ideas we bootrapped two-point functions with:

- Monodromy defect in the O(N) Wilson-Fisher fixed point.
- ► A supersymmetric boundary in the WZ model (see Philine's talk).
- ▶ The Maldacena-Wilson line in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM (see Julien's talk).

In the future, one can look at other interesting setups:

- Monodromy defects in the O(N) model at large N.
- Surface defects in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM at strong coupling.
- ► Half-BPS Wilson lines in ABJM theory.
- And hopefully many more!