




FDS performance

Borysova Maryna (KINR)
27/08/20
LUXE weekly technical meeting

LUXE

LYSO calorimeters




- * The scintillators are modelled as a 15x5x2 cm (x:y:z) layer of lyso material
- * The crystal (bin) size of the scintillators are 2 x 1 mm (finer segmentation in x; the deflection direction) giving 25 x 300 bins.

hhxycell_edep

Crash	111265
Mean x	-4.77
Mean y	11.68
Std Dev x	85.67
Std Dev y	4.648

Edep, GeV
100 BX



Compton MC2019 r for 1J (xi=2.6), 17.5 GeV electrons. G4: tungsten foil of 10 um as a target, magnet 1T and 1.5m distance from magnet to LYSO .

If we take distribution of deposited energy the values around maximum are $\sim 5e3$ GeV.




To convert it to Gy, convert it to J: $\sim 8e-7$ J and then divide it to the mass of crystals in kg. $Gy = J/kg$

The density is 7.1 g/cm³, volume $0.1 * 0.2 * 2 = 0.04$ cm³. Mass $7.1 * 0.04 = 0.284$ g.

Finally $8e-7J / 0.284e-3 = 2.8e-3$ Gy per BX.

Assuming 1Hz collisions rate we get the dose of 1000Gy in LYSO crystal in about $1000 / 2.8e-3 = 3.6e6$ s which is 41,6 days.


If we have collisions at 10 Hz then it translates to 4 days....




Number
of
particles
per BX
per
mm²

Back up

Luxe Set-up




The distance between IP and Compton Target = 6.5 m






Specifications from FLUKA

From Kyle:

Technical Specifications	
Target	
Material	W
Thickness (z)	10 μm
Width (y)	20 cm
Height (x)	20 cm
Collimators	
Material	Pb
Length	50 cm
Inner Radius	0.4 cm
Outer Radius	5.0 cm
Separation	50 cm
Magnet	
Field Strength	Up to 1.4 T
Effective Length (z)	98 cm
Effective Width (y)	18 cm
Effective Height (x)	5 cm
Yoke Material	Fe
Coil Material	Cu (hollow; water cooled)
Total Length (z)	128 cm
Total Width (y)	73.75 cm
Total Height (x)	97 cm
Detector	
Material	LYSO Scintillator
Crystal Size	0.5 mm \times 2 mm
Screen Size	30 cm \times 10 cm






C-shape magnet




C-shaped magnet implementation in Geant

- * Field strength 0.5T
- * 10 Gev electron



LYSO calorimeters






LYSO Ce scintillation crystal, Cerium doped Lutetium Yttrium Silicate scintillation crystal, LYSO Ce scintillator crystal, 2 x 2 x 20mm

\$39.00

- * The scintillators are modelled as a 8x5x2 cm (x:y:z) layer of lyso material (a 5cm thick layer of kapton should be behind)
- * the length in x is only 8cm to avoid the 'peaks' in electron, positron and photon density as these may overwhelm the scintillators.
- * The crystal (bin) size of the scintillators are 2 x 1 mm (finer segmentation in x; the deflection direction) giving 25 x 80 bins.
- * It's possible to increase this to 25 x 100 bins using 2 x 0.8 mm crystals.
- * This is not completely finalised


LYSO calorimeters






- * The scintillators are modelled as a 15x5x2 cm (x:y:z) layer of lyso material
- * The crystal (bin) size of the scintillators are 2 x 1 mm (finer segmentation in x; the deflection direction) giving 25 x 300 bins.

Control plots


Energy spectrum



Energy spectrum



Gamma Monitor & Beam Dump: new design




* Distance between Monitor and Dump 10 cm

* Beam Pipe R 1.9 cm

* Beam Dump: R=15 cm, L=50 cm; Insert AL 6.5 cm

* Absorber Pb 4 cm


* GM Support: No



* Beam Pipe R 1.9 cm

* Beam Dump: R=30 cm, L=100 cm Insert Air, 1.9 cm, 15 cm length

* GM Support: Stainless Steel of 1 cm thickness




* No beam pipe

* Beam Dump: R=30 cm, L=100 cm Insert Air, 1.9 cm

* GM Support: Stainless Steel of 1 cm thickness

Performance


* 100 BX At high laser intensities $\xi = 2.6$ (1J)



* Depending on exact chemical composition of LG blocks (TF1 Or TF101) max acceptable dose could be in the range of 5-100 Gy, which roughly means for

* 1st configuration 75-1500 hours

* 2nd Configuration 180-3600 hours




* 3d Configuration 59-1200 hours

* The environment is more harsh without beam pipe;

* The bigger hole in the dump could further improve the performance



Gamma Monitor & BeamDump: new design




- * The implementation of FDS in Luxe geometry with the LG Gamma Monitor made of new LG blocks in front of Cu Dump with a hole of 15 cm,
- * LG w/ measures $3.8 \times 3.8 \text{ cm}^2$, length is 45 cm
- * Wrapped with Aluminium foil of 0.016 mm (typical household foil; no account for air)



* Distance between Monitor and Dump 10 cm

- * Beam Dump: $R=30 \text{ cm}$, $L=100 \text{ cm}$
- * GM Support: Stainless Steel of 1 cm thickness













Photon fluxes in Geant 4 setup



Simulation and Performance



Simulation and Performance



*

Depending on exact chemical composition of LG blocks max acceptable dose could be in the range of 5-100 Gy, which roughly means 75-1500 hours of usage on a distance of 10 cm with lead absorber from the beam pipe.


*

Air support was used; using the real support made from Al(?) could further improve the performance

Outcomes


- * Energy measured in GM of back-scattering particles is 4-6 orders of magnitude smaller than initial beam energy. Initial flux $\sim 10^{12}$ GeV in GM depending on geometry $\sim 10^6 - 10^8$ GeV
- * Considering the high energy deposit in the inner layer of the GM, it is reasonable to have only one layer with LG blocks placed around beam pipe in a circle.
 - Possible sensitivity to the beam asymmetry
 - Uniform radiation load
 - Several replacements sets of LG blocks ($6 \times 8 = 48$)
- * Depending on exact chemical composition of LG blocks max acceptable dose could be in the range of 5-100 Gy, which roughly means 200-4000 hours of usage on a distance of 10 cm from the beam pipe.
- * Considering the fact that no actual beam dump is foreseen and beam will be dumped into the wall, we can consider to design dump/reflector with needed properties

Gamma Monitor




- * The implementation of FDS in Luxe geometry with the LG Gamma Monitor made of new LG blocks in front of Al-Cu Dump,
- * LG w/ measures $3.8 \times 3.8 \text{ cm}^2$, length is 45 cm
- * Wrapped with Aluminium foil of 0.016 mm (typical household foil; no account for air)



Beam Pipe , $R = 19.0 \text{ mm}$, thickness = 1.65 mm



- * Distance between Monitor and Dump 2 cm




Photon spectrum from Geant4




At the detector plane

Energy spectrum



Uncertainties estimation

Histogram of deposited energy vs Ngamma LG+AlCu 1.0J



Minimizer is Linear


Chi2	=	4.02143		
NDf	=	13		
p0	=	2.30176e+11	+/-	9.80548e+09
p1	=	57763	+/-	28404.7

*
Minimizer is Linear

Chi2	=	49.2879		
NDf	=	13		
p0	=	6.79976e+10	+/-	3.97264e+09
p1	=	12940.5	+/-	282.483

$\partial N / \partial E$

Histogram of deposited energy 1.0J 100BX




$N(E)$ number of photons

$$\Delta N = \frac{\partial N}{\partial E} \Delta E \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\Delta N}{N} = \frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial N}{\partial E} \Delta E$$


$$N = 2.5 * 10^{11} \quad \partial N / \partial E = 57763$$

$$\frac{\Delta N}{N} = \frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial N}{\partial E} \Delta E = 6.8 * 10^4 * 1.3 * 10^4 / 2.5 * 10^{11} = 3.5 * 10^{-3}$$



Outline

- * Intro
- * Experiment layout in GEANT4
- * Simulation results
 - ~ deposited energy on number of incoming photons
 - ~ uncertainties estimation
 - ~ degradation of optical properties studies



I measure HICS energy spectrum.

- Use low X_0 target ($\sim 10^{-6} X_0$) for gamma to electrons/positrons conversions followed by spectrometer;
- determine kinematic edges;
- detailed shape.

II measure absolute number of photons on event-by-event basis.

- Spectra normalisation;
- Be sensitive to angular distribution of HICS photons (if possible)

Inputs

❖ MC for HICS + trident to model $e + n\omega \rightarrow e + \gamma$ process (A. Hartin)

❖ $E_e = 14$ and 17.5 GeV


❖ Different laser intensities ξ

J	ξ
0.01	0.26
0.1	0.82
0.2	1.16
0.35	1.54
0.6	2.02
1.0	2.6

❖ the estimated rates of electrons, positrons and photons in the various detector regions for e-laser setup and $E_e = 17.5$ GeV

The Idea:

Location	particle type	rate for $\xi=2.6$	rate for $\xi=0.26$
e- detector	e-, $E < 16$ GeV	5.9e+9	2.4e+07
e+ detector	e+	61.07	0.0
Photon	γ	2.4e+11	3.8e+07
Photon	e+ and e-	2.3e+07	4.2e+04
Photon	e+ and e-	5.8e+5	3.8e+03



Experimental setup in GEANT4

Distance from IP to Dump ~ 17 m

Distance from IP to Compton detector ~ 10 m

shielding

IP

FDS

Compton Detector

Dump


Magnet

e^+

e^-

W Foil or Wire target

Shielding Al - Fe - Al
30 + 30 + 30 cm




Lead glass blocks found in Hera West @ DESY

- * New TF-1 LG blocks! Not irradiated, w/ measures $3.8 \times 3.8 \text{ cm}^2$, length is 45 cm , ~50
- * Will give the possibility to determine precisely coordinates and energies
- * Spare modules for GAMS found in Hera West thanks to Sergey Schuwalow
- * There is a preliminary agreement to move it to the LUXE Lab




Gamma Monitor




- * The implementation of FDS in Luxe geometry with the LG Gamma Monitor made of new LG blocks in front of Al-Cu Dump,
- * LG w/ measures $3.8 \times 3.8 \text{ cm}^2$, length is 45 cm
- * Wrapped with Aluminium foil of 0.016 mm (typical household foil; no account for air)

Beam Pipe , $R = 19.0 \text{ mm}$, thickness = 1.65 mm




- * Distance between Monitor and Dump 2 cm




Simulation and Performance


Deposited energy versus true number of photons. Each point is one BX



- The (almost) linear dependence of deposited energy on number of incoming photons in GM allows the usage of backscatters for monitoring the photon flux
- For small ξ the HICS spectrum is softer and soft photons produce less backscatters. This is the reason of small deviation from linearity in Edep on E_γ dependence



Uncertainties estimation



$N(E)$ number of photons



$$\Delta N = \frac{\partial N}{\partial E} \Delta E \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\Delta N}{N} = \frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial N}{\partial E} \Delta E$$

$$N = 2.5 * 10^{11} \quad \partial N / \partial E = 12940$$



$$\frac{\Delta N}{N} = \frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial N}{\partial E} \Delta E = 6.8 * 10^4 * 1.3 * 10^4 / 2.5 * 10^{11} = 3.5 * 10^{-3}$$

Minimizer is Linear

Chi2	=	49.2879	
NDf	=	13	
p0	=	6.79976e+10	+/- 3.97264e+09
p1	$\partial N / \partial E$	= 12940.5	+/- 282.483



Degradation of the optical properties of the lead glass (TF1 & TF101) by radiation



1 rad = 0.01 Gy

TF101 -
radiation
hardened
with
addition
of 0.2%
cerium



[https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-9002\(94\)90990-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-9002(94)90990-3)

If, we require the decrease of transmission over the detector depth of 45 cm LG block to be less than $1/e$, the tolerable accumulated dose in TF101 should be about 10^4 rad = 100 Gy or a little higher.

($\Rightarrow 5 \times 10^2$ rad = 5 Gy In TF1)

tolerable accumulated doses in the individual blocks

✳ At high laser intensities $\xi = 2.6$ (1J)






At 10 Hz rate the energy deposition accumulates the dose of 5 Gy in the whole TF1 block which ranges from 3 hours up to 45 hours

Adding absorber




✱ 2Months ~1460 hours

✱ To try:

✓ absorber (Al or Pb, 4 cm) in front of the Monitor



Energy deposit, 48, $\xi = 2.6$



- ✳ Moving further from the dump the deposit in inner layer twice less, which prolonged the usage of inner layer up to 7 hours
- ✳ Adding 4 cm Al absorber between dump and monitor prolongs up to 10 hours for the inner layer

Summary


- ☒ Measuring total energy of back-scattering particles can be used to monitor the flow of incoming photons. Existing (@DESY 4free) lead glass blocks might be a good choice for the calorimeter.
- ☒ The estimated uncertainty on number of measured photons is $\sim 10^{-3} - 10^{-2}$ in case of HICS.
- ☒ Can be used also for bremsstrahlung using the convolution of response function with the spectrum.
- ☒ If we consider the usage of existing (@DESY 4free) lead glass blocks the radiation degradation could be an issue but it could be mitigated.
- ☐ Degradation of optical properties studies
- ☐ Use more realistic LUXE geometry which has been partly implemented and consider specific (or different) detector techniques implementation.

Energy dependence of deposited energy in Gamma monitor

20 Runs* 100000 photons with mono energies: 1,2,4,6,8,10,12,14,16 and 17.5 GeV

Added lower energies 0.0001, 0.1, 0.5 GeV

energy scan



* Profile

