HL-LHC and ILC Sensitivities in the Hunt for Heavy Higgs Bosons

Tim Stefaniak

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY Email: tim.stefaniak@desy.de

in collaboration with

H. Bahl, P. Bechtle, S Heinemeyer, S. Liebler, G. Weiglein [2005.14536]





QU H1+H2 interim meeting 28 September 2020

WHERE ARE THE OTHER HIGGS BOSONS?

Additional Higgs bosons are predicted in many BSM models.

- Higgs properties (charges, masses, couplings, decays, etc.) are model-dependent.
- many new physics ideas \Rightarrow many different LHC signatures!

⇒ motivates a *broad* BSM Higgs search program!

2

Limits and future projections can be presented in a model-independent way, but benchmark BSM models are useful for comparison of different experimental probes.



direct searches at the LHC:

$gg, b\bar{b} \to \phi \to \tau^+\tau^-$	official HL-LHC projection available			
$gg, b\bar{b} ightarrow \phi ightarrow b\bar{b}$				
$gg \to \phi \to t\bar{t}$	model-dependent (signal-BG interference)			
$pp \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow hh \rightarrow \dots$				
$pp ightarrow \phi_2 ightarrow \phi_1 Z$ or hZ				
$pp \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow W^+W^-, ZZ, \gamma\gamma$				
$pp \rightarrow \phi^{\pm} tb, \phi^{\pm} \rightarrow tb, \tau \nu, \phi W$				

indirect (model-dependent) probes of BSM Higgs bosons:

- Higgs signal rate measurements
- Flavor physics measurements (e.g., $b \rightarrow s\gamma$).

3

direct searches at the LHC:

 $\begin{array}{l} gg, b\overline{b} \to \phi \to \tau^+ \tau^- \\ gg, b\overline{b} \to \phi \to b\overline{b} \\ gg \to \phi \to t\overline{t} \\ pp \to \phi \to hh \to \dots \\ pp \to \phi_2 \to \phi_1 Z \text{ or } hZ \\ pp \to \phi \to W^+ W^-, ZZ, \gamma\gamma \\ pp \to \phi^\pm tb, \phi^\pm \to tb, \tau\nu, \phiW \end{array}$

official HL-LHC projection available

model-dependent (signal-BG interference)

indirect (model-dependent) probes of BSM Higgs bosons:

- Higgs signal rate measurements
- Flavor physics measurements (e.g., $b \rightarrow s\gamma$).

In this work: use MSSM benchmark scenarios for comparison of future direct and indirect probes.

THE MSSM HIGGS SECTOR

- 2 Higgs doublets \Rightarrow 5 Higgs bosons: h, H, A, H^{\pm}
- · At tree-level, fully described by two parameters: $M_{\rm A}$, tan $\beta \equiv v_2/v_1$
- Radiative corrections very important to achieve $M_h \simeq 125 \text{ GeV}$.
- BSM Higgs phenomenology depends on many SUSY parameters.

THE MSSM HIGGS SECTOR

- 2 Higgs doublets \Rightarrow 5 Higgs bosons: h, H, A, H^{\pm}
- At tree-level, fully described by two parameters: M_{A} , tan $\beta \equiv v_2/v_1$
- Radiative corrections very important to achieve $M_h \simeq 125 \text{ GeV}$.
- BSM Higgs phenomenology depends on many SUSY parameters.

Decoupling limit ($M_A \gg M_Z$): Light Higgs couplings become SM-like

		strength at M_A (for tan $\beta = 10$)		
Coupling		$300 \ {\rm GeV}$	$500~{\rm GeV}$	$1\mathrm{TeV}$
hVV	$\propto \sin(eta-lpha)$	0.99984	0.999979	0.9999987
hbb, h $ au au$	$\propto \sin(eta-lpha) - aneta\cos(eta-lpha)$	0.820	0.935	0.984
htt	$\propto \sin(eta-lpha)+\coteta\cos(eta-lpha)$	1.0016	1.0006	1.00016

with $\sin^2(\beta - \alpha) \simeq 1 - \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{M_Z}{M_A}\right)^4 \sin^2 4\beta$ in decoupling limit $(M_A \gg M_Z)$.

The M_h^{125} and $M_{h,EFT}^{125}$ scenario:

[Bagnaschi et al. '18, Bahl, Liebler, TS '19]

- · "standard" scenarios with all SUSY particles heavy \Rightarrow "2HDM-like";
- no competing non-standard heavy Higgs decays (up to $M_A \simeq 1.9 \text{ TeV}$) \Rightarrow maximal reach for $H/A \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ searches.
- acceptable light Higgs mass (within theory unc.):

$$\begin{split} & M_h^{125} \text{ scenario: } \quad M_h \gtrsim 122 \text{ GeV for } \tan\beta \gtrsim 6 \quad (\text{fixed SUSY mass scale } M_S) \\ & M_{h,\text{EFT}}^{125} \text{ scenario: } \quad M_h \simeq 125 \text{ GeV everywhere } \qquad (M_S \text{ adjusted}) \end{split}$$

The $M_h^{125}(\tilde{\chi})$ and $M_{h,EFT}^{125}(\tilde{\chi})$ scenario:

- $\cdot\,$ light neutralinos and charginos (M_1 = 160 \,\,{\rm GeV},\,M_2 = \mu = 180\,\,{\rm GeV})
- competing H/A decays to electroweakinos can become sizable \Rightarrow reduced reach for "conventional" BSM Higgs searches ($\tau^+\tau^-, b\bar{b}, \dots$).
- light chargino loop-contributions to $h \to \gamma \gamma$ decay.

MSSM BENCHMARK SCENARIOS (II/II)

A scenario with maximal reach for $gg, b\overline{b} \to H/A \to b\overline{b}$ searches? Bottom-quark Yukawa coupling: $h_b \sim \frac{m_b}{1+\Delta_b}$ with $\Delta_b \propto \mu \tan \beta$.

 \Rightarrow large negative $\mu \rightarrow$ enhanced $h_b!$

6



Modified M_h^{125} scenario with $\mu = -2 \text{ TeV} \Rightarrow M_h^{125,\mu-}$ scenario $N_h^{125,\mu-}$

THE HIGH-LUMINOSITY (HL)-LHC



Physics potential reassessed in 2018/19 in

Workshop "The physics of HL-LHC, and perspectives on HE-LHC".

Prospects on SM and BSM Higgs physics: [Cepeda et al. '19]

7

HL-LHC PROSPECTS ON BSM HIGGS PHYSICS



"YR18 syst. uncert.": theory uncertainties halved, careful estimates with reasonable assumptions of experimental systematic uncertainties.

- Implemented ATLAS and CMS projections for Higgs rates (per production × decay mode, including correlations) in HiggsSignals;
- Use CMS 6 ${\rm ab}^{-1}$ projection for $gg/b\bar{b} \to \phi \to \tau^+\tau^-$ limit.
- Other direct searches $(b\bar{b}, hh)$ naively projected from current limits.

HL-LHC SENSITIVITY TO HEAVY MSSM HIGGS BOSONS

M_h^{125} scenario:



$M_{h,\text{EFT}}^{125}$ scenario (low tan β region):



Modified M_h^{125} scenario (varying μ):



 \Rightarrow H/A $\rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ searches always more sensitive than H/A $\rightarrow b\bar{b}$ searches. Higgs mass & rates impose an (M_A-dependent) upper limit on tan β .

Tim Stefaniak (DESY) | HL-LHC/ILC sensitivities to heavy BSM Higgs bosons | QU meeting | 28 September 2020

9

 M_h^{125} scenario (as shown before):



9

HL-LHC SENSITIVITY TO HEAVY MSSM HIGGS BOSONS

$M_h^{125}(\tilde{\chi})$ scenario:





• HL-LHC sensitivity (at 2σ): δ BR($h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$) $\lesssim 2.6\%$.

[Cepeda et al. '19]

- Maximal sensitivity for $M_2 \approx \mu$ and low tan β .
- \Rightarrow Complementarity to direct LHC searches for neutralinos/charginos!

We consider two future HL-LHC \oplus ILC scenarios:

```
"ILC250": HL-LHC \oplus ILC at 250 GeV with 2 \rm ab^{-1}
```

"ILC500": "ILC250" \oplus ILC at 350/500 ${\rm GeV}$ with 0.2/4.0 ${\rm ab}^{-1}$

All ILC runs assume $e^-(e^+)$ polarization of -80% (+30%).

- Higgs signal strength projections taken from [de Blas et al. '19] and implemented in HiggsSignals.
- Assume theory uncertainty on $e^+e^- \rightarrow Zh \ (\nu\bar{\nu}h)$ cross section of 0.5% (1.0%).
- Other theory uncertainties same as in HL-LHC projection.

Assume measurements consistent with SM.

M_h^{125} scenario:



 \Rightarrow Each ILC scenario improves sensitivity by \sim 100 GeV in M_A .

Assume measurements consistent with SM.

 $M_h^{125,\mu-}$ scenario:



 \Rightarrow Each ILC scenario improves sensitivity by \sim 100 GeV in M_A .

Assume measurements consistent with SM.

$M_h^{125}(\tilde{\chi})$ scenario:



 \Rightarrow Each ILC scenario improves sensitivity by \sim 100 GeV in M_A .

PARAMETER DETERMINATION AT HL-LHC AND ILC

Assume measurements consistent with MSSM ($M_A = 1 \text{ TeV}, \tan \beta = 8$).

 M_h^{125} scenario:

"Wäscheleinen-Plot"



 κ_i projection taken from [de Blas et al. '19]

 \Rightarrow ILC measurements provide upper limit on M_A (i.e., discrimination from SM).

ILC precision determination of various Higgs couplings (κ_b , κ_τ , ...) is essential for establishing evidence for a BSM signal.

PARAMETER DETERMINATION AT HL-LHC AND ILC

Assume measurements consistent with MSSM ($M_A = 1 \text{ TeV}, \tan \beta = 8$).

 $M_h^{125,\mu-}$ scenario:

"Wäscheleinen-Plot"



 \Rightarrow ILC measurements provide upper limit on M_A (i.e., discrimination from SM).

ILC precision determination of various Higgs couplings (κ_b , κ_τ , ...) is essential for establishing evidence for a BSM signal.

PARAMETER DETERMINATION AT HL-LHC AND ILC

Assume measurements consistent with MSSM ($M_A = 1 \text{ TeV}, \tan \beta = 3$).

 $M_{h,\text{EFT}}^{125}(\tilde{\chi})$ scenario:

"Wäscheleinen-Plot"



 κ_i projection taken from [de Blas et al. '19]

 \Rightarrow ILC measurements provide upper limit on M_A (i.e., discrimination from SM).

ILC precision determination of various Higgs couplings (κ_b , κ_τ , ...) is essential for establishing evidence for a BSM signal.

We studied the future sensitivity to heavy neutral MSSM Higgs bosons of future HL-LHC and ILC scenarios.

We defined new $M_h^{125,\mu-}$ scenario: maximal reach of $H/A \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ searches.

The HL-LHC will probe entire parameter space below $M_A \lesssim 1 \, {
m TeV}$ (and more).

Assuming SM-consistent measurements, the ILC improvement of the exclusion reach in the MSSM parameter space is rather weak (due to the decoupling limit). Yet, it still provides an important check of possible (statistically-caused) LHC excesses.

If BSM signal is realized, the ILC precision determination of various couplings $(\kappa_b, \kappa_\tau \text{ etc.})$ is needed to establish convincing evidence, and provides a crucial consistency test of any possible BSM model interpretation.

We studied the future sensitivity to heavy neutral MSSM Higgs bosons of future HL-LHC and ILC scenarios.

We defined new $M_h^{125,\mu-}$ scenario: maximal reach of $H/A \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ searches.

The HL-LHC will probe entire parameter space below $M_A \lesssim 1 \, {
m TeV}$ (and more).

Assuming SM-consistent measurements, the ILC improvement of the exclusion reach in the MSSM parameter space is rather weak (due to the decoupling limit). Yet, it still provides an important check of possible (statistically-caused) LHC excesses.

If BSM signal is realized, the ILC precision determination of various couplings $(\kappa_b, \kappa_\tau \text{ etc.})$ is needed to establish convincing evidence, and provides a crucial consistency test of any possible BSM model interpretation.

Thank you very much for your attention!

Backup Slides

Improved mass calculation in $M_h^{125,\mu-}$ scenario



[Bahl, Sobolev, Weiglein '20]

LHC with 150 ${\rm fb}^{-1}$

HL-LHC with 3 ab^{-1}



[Djouadi, Ellis, Popov, Quevillon '19]