Simulation TF

Noam, Gianluca, Tony

WEIZMANN INSTITUTE OF SCIEN



Sep 29 2020

- (but see a point below about the new TTree setup)
- discussed by Maryna last time
- **Tony**: found a bug in the e+laser signal (input for G4!) spread of beam electrons was too large
- doesn't look to sparse as in the first attempt
 - [™] in parallel, check if we can start with much more macro-particles (not 10⁹ but close to that...)
- **Kyle:** LANEX profiler will melt within <1 h and it isn't clear if it won't quench until this happens
 - think about cooling but not sure if this solves the problem which is at the core of the beam 貒
 - another technology (Maryna)
- Discussions from the analysis side:
 - * convoluting signals with the detectors' responses (see talks from this <u>morning</u>)
 - \ll producing the rates vs { ξ, χ } as well as the Compton edges * in the individual systems
 - * combine later as much as possible (e.g. screen+Cherenkov)
 - Sasha is changing the G4 output TTree structure

Recap

Sasha: with minor changes to the model (e.g. screens) we freeze this version and launch the 2nd bkg campaign

Maryna+Sasha: add another version of the model where there is a beampipe all the way to the last dump as

Tony: agreed to check the G4 response with 10x more BXs (signal is already done) to see if the <u>bkg</u> occupancy

* could be that with the version where the beampipe goes all the way to the last dump we can solve this with

Sep 29 2020



2