

Forward Spectrometer Update 10/11/20

Kyle Fleck and Dr. Gianluca Sarri



Contents

- Edge finding with FIR filters
- Deconvolution of high xi signal
- Energy deposition in LANEX screen



Deconvolved Spectrum – xi = 0.31





FIR Filter – First Derivative of Gaussian



 $Edge = 4.22 \pm 0.29 \text{ GeV}$

 $Edge = 3.92 \pm 0.29 \text{ GeV}$



0

FIR Filter – First Derivative of Gaussian

FIR - FDOG $\sigma = 1.0$, N = 20 Reconstructed Photon Spectrum Reconstructed Photon Spectrum Entries 25 Entries 25 4 3 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 Mean 742.1 Mean 8.525 200 Std Dev 0 Std Dev 100 -100 -3 -200 -4 🗖 2 з 5 6 2 3 5 6 7 4 Energy [GeV] Energy [GeV]

 $Edge = 4.22 \pm 0.29 \text{ GeV}$

 $Edge = 5.98 \pm 0.29 \text{ GeV}$

FIR - FDOG σ = 10.0 , N = 20



HICS w0 = 5000nm , peak xi = 3.10





HICS 5000nm Deconvolution

HICS 16.5 GeV 50 μm - 25 bins

HICS 16.5 GeV 50 µm - 50 bins





Deconvolution – Extra Details, 25 Bins





Energy Deposition in LANEX screen





Summary

- FIR filters work well with deconvolved spectrum can provide Compton edge value to within one energy bin for a good set of parameters
- Looking into determining how optimum parameters should be chosen
- Deconvolution method preserves the shape of the incident photon beam but there is a scaling issue – determining possible sources
- Energy deposition in LANEX screen not exponential in nature from FLUKA
- Working on testing for different incident energies and implementing in the estimation of the electron spectrum for deconvolution