Physics-Based Deep Neural Networks for Beam Dynamics in Charged Particle Accelerators

AMALEA Helmholtz Innovation Pool project

Andrei Ivanov Hamburg, 17.12.2020





Overview

01 ML for High-Level Control in PETRAIV

02 Theory of the developed model

- From Taylor maps for ODEs to deep neural networks (TM-PNN)
- Regularization when learning dynamics with small datasets

03 Experiments on PETRAIII

- Orbit correction
- Tune recovering
- Optics measurement (data analysis in progress)

04 Other applications

- RL for transmission problem
- UCI datasets (beyond accelerators)

04 Next steps

- Optimizations of the TM-PNN
- Optics measurement data processing
- Agent-based architecture for experiments and operation

01 ML for High-Level Control in PETRAIV

Upgrading to 4th generation light sources (PETRA IV) needs advanced High-Level Control for operation

While PETRAIII is stable in operation and don't require ML, the 4th generation rings (PETRAIV) faces certain difficulties:

- The resolution gap between 1-10 nanometers
- Reliability demands grow (95% -> 99%)
- Machines are more sensitive with larger number of components
- High nonlinearities



Big Data is all about finding correlations

The model will be only as good or as bad as the data you have

- well-posed problem
- high performance computing
- ubiquitous data

In the real-world, application of ML is more difficult than in research:

- learning on the real system from limited samples
- high-dimensional continuous state and action spaces.
- safety constraints that should never or at least rarely be violated
- tasks that may be partially observable, alternatively viewed as non-stationary or stochastic
- system operators who desire explainable policies and actions
- inference that must happen in **real-time** at the control frequency of the system



https://xkcd.com/1838/

02 Theory of the developed model

- From Taylor maps for ODEs to deep neural networks
- Regularization when learning dynamics with small datasets

with the following key features:

- accurate simulation of dynamics without training
- model fine-tuning with limited measurements

with the following key features:

- accurate simulation of dynamics without training
- model fine-tuning with limited measurements

The key idea: If the dynamics of a system approximately follows a given differential equation, the Taylor mapping technique can be used to initialize the weights of a polynomial neural network



fine-tuning of the NN with one or a few training samples



fine-tuning of the NN with one or a few training samples



Translating lattices of the storage rings into deep NN

FODO: neural network with 12 layers represents resonance





Initialized NN accurately represents the parametric dependency of dynamics on magnet strength, such as the appearance of a third-integer resonance

Translating lattices of the storage rings into deep NN

FODO: neural network with 12 layers represents resonance





Initialized NN accurately represents the parametric dependency of dynamics on magnet strength, such as the appearance of a third-integer resonance

PETRAIII: deep neural network with 1519 layers represents ideal lattice with fair accuracy

• 2,3 km length with 1519 magnets

• 210 horizontal and 194 vertical correctors





Robustness to noise and physical consistency

Loss function for data-driven training contains a regularization term and avoid overfitting

$$Loss = \underbrace{\sum_{i=0}^{246} ||\mathbf{X}(0)_i^{\text{TM-PNN}} - \mathbf{X}(0)_i^{\text{BPM}}|| + \lambda \underbrace{\sum_{j=0}^{1519} S(W_1^j, W_2^j)}_{\text{depends on training data and weights}} \det \underbrace{\mathbf{A}_{j=0}^{1519} S(W_1^j, W_2^j)}_{\text{depends only on weights}}$$

Regularization aims to reduce the number of free parameters (weights) of the NN to ovoid overfitting. The traditional methods (L1-L2 norms) do not reflect physics and just try to reduce the absolute magnitude of the weights during training.

$$W_1 = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0.099 & -7.64 \text{E} - 06 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.000 & -1.54 \text{E} - 04 & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

To handle problem of limited observations we implemented special regularization methods

Symplectic regularization

For Hamiltonian systems representing single-particle beam dynamics, the symplectic property can be used. The Hamiltonian structure of each layer is preserved for all new inputs which has a large impact on generalization.

QUBO-based regularization (quadratic unconstrained binary optimization)

QUBO problem

Since physical systems that are described by ODEs often lead to sparse weights, this should be preserved during training:

$$W_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1.58E - 05 & 0 & 6.11E - 10 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -4.80E - 04 & 0 & 2.47E - 08 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Problem: fit weights with data and maximize number of zero elements

Solution: combinatorial problem that can be solved with Quantum Annealers

02 Experiments on PETRAIII

- Orbit correction
- Tune recovering
- Optics measurement (data analysis in progress)

One-shot learning of PETRAIII in experiments

Beam threading

- 1. All corrector magnets are switched off
- 2. Beam is able to travel through only a part of the ring
- 3. <u>Neural Network predicts</u> an optimal control policy for beam propagation



One-shot learning of PETRAIII in experiments

Beam threading

- 1. All corrector magnets are switched off
- 2. Beam is able to travel through only a part of the ring
- 3. <u>Neural Network predicts</u> an optimal control policy for beam propagation

Tune recovering

- 1. Tune is the main multi-turn frequency of beam oscillation in the storage ring
- 2. The affected magnets cause the tune change from the designed values.
- 3. <u>Neural Network</u> is trained with only a <u>single-turn measurement</u> and estimates tunes with 95% accuracy.





Optics measurement



Optics measurement on PETRA III

LOCO as a benchmark

Linear response matrix measurement for closed optics



Fine-tuning of the proposed NN architecture

Measurements on December 9, 2020 are not analyzed yet.

04 Other applications

- RL for transmission problem
- UCI datasets (beyond accelerators)

Problem formulation

beam transmission: 2 actuators (correctors), 1 objective, sextupoles and apertures



nonlinear response concerning the random misalignments of magnets



Numerical optimization

using traditional optimizers one can iteratively find out optimal corrector's values









Numerical optimization

using traditional optimizers one can iteratively find out optimal corrector's values









RL for control

NN is trained with historical data and learns an optimal policy



Observations (transmission rate and correctors)

RL for control

It is hard to achieve meaningful results with black-box models



During each epoch NN is trained with simulated data for the given random misalignments and tries to maximize initial state (orange line). After max. 40 iterations the procedure begins again for new random misalignments.

RL for control enhanced by physics-based NN

Incorporate a priory knowledge in form of a trainable NN

real lattice with random misalignments ideal lattice quad guad RL agents 4 with traditional NN s [m] s [m] 0.0075 0.0050 0.0025 correctors misalign 0.0000 -0.0025 ments sextupole quadrupoli -0.0050 -0.0075 -0.0100-0.002 0.000 0.002 0.004 0.006 0.0075 0.0050 0.0025 0.0000 -0.0025 observations -0.0050 -0.0075 -0.0100

DESY. Physics-based Deep Neural Networks | Andrei Ivanov

-0.002

0.000

0.002

0.004

0.006

RL agent recovers misalignments distribution from data and provides an optimal strategy

Similar to a traditional optimizer that utilizes knowledge from historical data and uses adaptive steps during objective maximization



UCI benchmarks

If dataset generated by a physical system then developed model can be applied for a generalpurpose regression problem without a prior knowledge about ODEs

- Airfoil Self-Noise Data Set: NASA data set, obtained from a series of aerodynamic and acoustic tests of two and three-dimensional airfoil blade sections conducted in an anechoic wind tunnel.
- Yacht Hydrodynamics Data Set: Delft data set, used to predict the hydrodynamic performance of sailing yachts ٠ from dimensions and velocity.



middle of training data





Prediction outside of the range of training data

UCI benchmarks

If dataset generated by a physical system then developed model can be applied for a generalpurpose regression problem without a prior knowledge about ODEs

- Airfoil Self-Noise Data Set: NASA data set, obtained from a series of aerodynamic and acoustic tests of two and three-dimensional airfoil blade sections conducted in an anechoic wind tunnel.
- Yacht Hydrodynamics Data Set: Delft data set, used to predict the hydrodynamic performance of sailing yachts from dimensions and velocity.

	Interpolation			Extrapolation		
	MSE	MAE	R2	MSE	MAE	R2
Linear Regression	0.16	0.12	0.25	0.47	0.48	<0
Polynomial Regr.	0.08	0.05	0.82	0.34	0.33	<0
SVR	0.09	0.07	0.80	0.28	0.28	<0
XGB	0.02	0.01	0.99	0.27	0.28	<0
DNN	0.01	0.01	0.99	0.11	0.10	<0
TM-PNN	0.01	0.01	0.99	0.02	0.02	0.84

Performance of models on test sets for Yacht data:

04 Next steps

- Optimizations of the TM-PNN
- Optics measurement data processing
- Agent-based architecture for experiments and operation





European Conference on Artificial Intelligence



Physical Review AB

Next steps

01 Optimizations of the TM-PNN

02 Optics measurement data processing

03 Agent-based architecture for experiments and operation



Contact

DESY. Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron Andrei Ivanov andrei.ivanov@desy.de

www.desy.de