# **Bloch-Nordsieck restoration for** $ll \rightarrow tt$

Abstract: In standard perturbative calculations elementary particles are used to describe scattering experimental data. But it leads to an existential dilemma from the quantum field theoretical point of view, since these states are gauge-dependent. The solution to this dilemma is provided by the Fröhlich-Morchio-Strocchi (FMS) mechanism, where physical states in the electroweak case are composite objects, which effectively reduce to the elementary ones on-shell. This has far-reaching implications off-shell. As an example of the s-channel annihilation in  $ll \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ . This process is of particular interest for future linear colliders, where otherwise Bloch-Nordsieck violations at high energies would be significant according to standard perturbative calculations.

### Starting point

- Higher order calculations of scattering processes
- Resummation  $\rightarrow$  divergences
- Solution: cancellations of divergences due to BN theorem

### Bloch-Nordsieck theorem and violation <sup>[1]</sup>

- IR-divergent terms are only sub-results  $\rightarrow$  sum over all Feynm diagrams that contribute to final state
- Bloch-Nordsieck (BN) theorem: sum over indistinguishable fi states

$$\sum_{f} \left| S_{if} \right|^2$$

- Sum is finite due to cancellations between members of (gauge multiplets
- Perturbation theory in electroweak physics: "Spontaneous" electroweak symmetry breaking" allows to prepare individual members of gauge multiplets as initial and final states: Cancellations are no longer possible
- Typical example:  $ll \rightarrow t\bar{t}$  Both initial and final states are not multiplets
- In the SM: Affects only left-handed particles

#### References:

[1] M. Ciafaloni et al. NPB 589 (2000) [2] J. Fröhlich et al. PLB 97 (1980), NPB 190 (1981) [3] A. Maas, Prog. Nucl. Part. Phys. 106 (2019)

- [4] A. Maas et al. PRD 102 (2020)
- [5] V. Afferrante, et al. SciPost Phys
- [6] S. Fernbach et al. PRD 101 (2020)

## Franziska Reiner and Axel Maas

Institute for Physics, NAWI Graz, University of Graz, Universitätsplatz 5, 8010 Graz, Austria

	<b>Resolution: Composite states</b> <sup>[2, review in 3]</sup>
	<ul> <li>Field theory: Elementary states are not suitable as of a Brout-Englert-Higgs effect. → Asymptotic stat</li> </ul>
	• Elementary fields for left-handed fermions: $\psi^L$ =
	• Corresponding composite state: $\Psi^L = X^{\dagger} \psi^L$
nan	where $X = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_2^* & \phi_1 \\ -\phi_1^* & \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}$ is build from the Higgs
nal	<ul> <li>Such asymptotic states automatically fulfil the Bloc the same on-shell properties as the elementary or</li> </ul>
	• Fröhlich-Morchio-Strocchi mechanism: Expand $\phi$ -
ge)	$\langle \overline{\Psi}_L \Psi_L \rangle_{22} = v^2 < \overline{e}_L e_L >$
	<ul> <li>Same to leading order in vev – same on-shell behav</li> </ul>
l I	<ul> <li>Can be extended beyond leading order in a systema</li> </ul>
full	Verification of the FMS mechanism <sup>[3,5]</sup>
	Can be systematically tested in lattice     Calculations
10 (2021)	<ul> <li>Though not (yet) for the full standard model</li> <li>But also for non-standard model</li> <li>theories</li> <li>In all cases the FMS results have been confirmed</li> </ul>
	20

symptotic states, even in presence tes need to be composite!

$$= \binom{\nu^L}{e^L}$$

doublet  $\phi_i$ 

ch-Nordsieck theorem and have nes.

- $\rightarrow v + \eta$
- +0(v)
- viour
- atic way <sup>[4]</sup>



### $l\bar{l} \rightarrow t\bar{t}^{[3]}$

- involving the rest of the multiplet

### **Estimate of impact**

- the strong corrections [1]
- May yield additional effects <sup>[4]</sup>
- searches!

### Outlook

- Calculation of full matrix element
- Eventually: Predictions for ILC/CLIC





• Scattering process described by matrix element  $\langle \Psi_i^L \Psi_i^L \overline{T_k} T_l \rangle$ 

• To leading order in the vev just the ordinary matrix element

• When resumming requiring also higher orders in the vev

Summation restores the Bloch-Nordsieck theorem

Bloch Nordsieck violation leads to double-logarithmic grow due to non-cancelled Sudakov logarithms *L(s)* <sup>[1]</sup>

• Assessment of the impact:  $\left(\frac{\Delta\sigma_{e\bar{e}}}{\sigma_{e\bar{e}}^{H}}\right)^{L} = \left(\frac{\sigma_{v\bar{e}}^{H} - \sigma_{e\bar{e}}^{H}}{\sigma_{e\bar{e}}^{H}}\right)^{L} \left(\frac{1 - e^{-2L(s)}}{2}\right)^{L}$ 

• For  $l\bar{l} \rightarrow t\bar{t}$  at 1 TeV center of mass this leads to a sub-leading correction of order 3.7  $\alpha_W/\alpha_S$  and is thus of the same order as

Effect is absent in the full FMS calculation

Additional standard-model background for new physics

• LHC could be affected by similar processes <sup>[6]</sup>