EPS-HEP Conference 2021

European Physical Society conference on high energy physics 2021

Online conference, July 26-30, 2021

Searches for additional Higgs bosons in ATLAS



M. Florencia Daneri Universidad de Buenos Aires on behalf of the **ATLAS** Collaboration

26th July 2021

maria.florencia.daneri@cern.ch



Introduction

So far excellent agreement of measurements with predictions from Standard Model (SM) Higgs boson assumptions.

The SM is not the ultimate theory of nature since there are serious shortcomings (hierarchy problem, baryon asymmetry, dark matter/energy...).

⇔many Beyond Standard Model (BSM) theories predict **modified and extended Higgs sectors with additional Higgs.**

⇔e.g. Two-Higgs-Doublet models (2HDM) and Three-Higgs-Doublet models (3HDM), which predict neutral and charged Higgs bosons.

 \hookrightarrow these bosons can decay into a SM **Higgs boson pair (HH)**, motivating these resonant production searches.

 \hookrightarrow any deviation from the SM predictions would open a window to new BSM physics.

Lots of searches for additional Higgs bosons have been performed at LHC with Run 2 dataset, in a wide mass range.



ATLAS search program

Many searches for additional Higgs bosons were performed and some of them include: July 2021 പ

 \hookrightarrow Searches for singly or doubly charged Higgs bosons:

 $H^{\pm} \rightarrow cb$ (ATLAS-CONF-2021-037) NEW

 $H^+ \to tb$ (JHEP 06 (2021) 145) $H^{\pm\pm} \to W^{\pm}W^{\pm}/H^{\pm} \to W^{\pm}Z$ (JHEP 06 (2021) 146)

 \hookrightarrow Searches for additional neutral Higgs bosons in all possible decay modes $(ZZ, WW, Z\gamma, \gamma\gamma, hh, \tau\tau, tt, bb).$

\hookrightarrow Di-Higgs production:

VEW $HH \rightarrow b\bar{b}\tau^+\tau^-$ (ATLAS-CONF-2021-030) $HH \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$ (ggF) (ATLAS-CONF-2021-035)

 $HH \rightarrow bb\gamma\gamma$ (ATLAS-CONF-2021-016) $HH \rightarrow b\bar{b}\tau^+\tau^-$ (boosted) (JHEP 11 (2020) 163) $HH \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$ (VBF) (JHEP 07 (2020) 108)

3





$H^{\pm} \to cb$

Search for a **light charged Higgs** produced in top decays $t \rightarrow H^{\pm}b$ and decaying to $H^{\pm} \rightarrow cb$. $\hookrightarrow 2/3$ HDM features two charged Higgs bosons H^{\pm} that can be lighter than the top quark $m_{H^{\pm}} < m_{top}$. $\hookrightarrow m_{H^{\pm}}$ between 60 GeV and 160 GeV. \hookrightarrow first time for a search in this channel within ATLAS.

Signal signature: 1 isolated e^- or $\mu + \ge 4$ jets (≥ 2 b-tagged). \hookrightarrow regions based on number of jets (4j,5j,6j) and the number of b-jets (2 + $\ge 1b$ loose, 3b, $\ge 4b$).

Main background comes from SM $t\bar{t} \rightarrow WbWb$ \hookrightarrow split in flavour components: $t\bar{t} + \ge b$, $t\bar{t} + \ge c$ and $t\bar{t} + light$.





[ATLAS-CONF-2021-037]



$H^{\pm} \to cb$



To discriminate signal and background a **NN classifier** is built:

 \hookrightarrow trained with signal events against $t\bar{t}$ events in \geq 4j,3b regions.

 \hookrightarrow input variables: jets and lepton kinematics, b-tagging information, invariant mass variables. \hookrightarrow NN parametrized as a function of $m_{H^{\pm}}$ and trained all signals together.

\Rightarrow Perform binned profile likelihood fit over discriminant output across 3b and 4b

regions to calibrate background and reduce the impact of systematic uncertainties.



$H^{\pm} \to cb$



Upper limits on $\mathscr{B}(t \to H^{\pm}b) \times \mathscr{B}(H^{\pm} \to cb)$ @ 95% CL.

⇒ The observed (expected) limits vary between 0.15%(0.09%) and 0.42%(0.13%) depending on $m_{H^{\pm}}$. \hookrightarrow The observed exclusion limits are consistently weaker than the expectation.

 \Rightarrow The largest excess in data is seen at $m_{H^{\pm}}$ = 130 GeV with a local (global) significance of $\sim 3\sigma (2\sigma)$.

The behavior of the limits vs. $m_{H^{\pm}}$ is consistent with the $m_{H^{\pm}}$ mass resolution.



$HH \to b\bar{b}\tau^+\tau^-$



Resonant production \Rightarrow decay of scalar resonances produced in ggF into **SM Higgs pairs** \hookrightarrow heavy neutral scalar of the 2HDM used as benchmark, in the narrow width approximation $\hookrightarrow m_X$ from 251 GeV up to 1.6 TeV



 $\rightarrow bb\tau\tau$ final state has relatively large branching fraction (7.3 %) and clean signature.

Signal signature: two b-tagged jets and two τ with opposite charge

 \hookrightarrow both decay combinations are considered $\tau_{had} \tau_{had}$ and $\tau_{lep} \tau_{had}$

 \hookrightarrow 3 categories based on different decay modes and trigger selections (HadHad, SLT, LTT) \rightarrow 3 signal regions (SR)

Channel	Triggers	Properties		
HadHad LepHad (SLT) LepHad (LTT)	single- $ au$ and di- $ au$ triggers single-lepton trigger lepton+ $ au$ trigger	high purity high acceptance, large $t\overline{t}$ background lower p_{T}^{ℓ} increases low-mass sensitivity		

[ATLAS-CONF-2021-030]

$HH \to b\bar{b}\tau^+\tau^-$



Backgrounds

 $\hookrightarrow t\bar{t}$ with true τ_{had} and $Z \to \tau\tau + \text{HF}$ modeled with simulation and normalizations determined from control regions (CR) in the final fit (*bbll* channel).

 \hookrightarrow in HadHad, fake τ from $t\bar{t}$ and QCD background estimated from data-driven methods (scale factor and fake factor methods).

MVA-based analysis → Parametric Neural Network (PNN)

- \hookrightarrow signal classifier for all signal hypothesis.
- \hookrightarrow separate trainings for the 3 signal regions (HadHad, LepHad SLT and LepHad LTT).

\Rightarrow Binned profile likelihood fit to the MVA scores in 3 SRs and $m_{\ell\ell}$ in the Z+HF CR.



[ATLAS-CONF-2021-030]



Cross-section upper limits on resonant (ggF) production of HH.



Resonant

 $HH \rightarrow b\bar{b}\tau^+\tau^-$

 \Rightarrow observed (expected) upper limits on the HH cross-section are set between 26 and 950 fb (12 and 850 fb) depending on the mass region.

⇒ the largest excess in the resonant search is observed at a resonance mass of 1 TeV, with a local (global) significance of $3.0\sigma(2.0^{-0.4}_{-0.2}\sigma)$.

[ATLAS-CONF-2021-035]



Search for **resonant di-Higgs** production.

 $HH \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$

Two benchmark signal models considered: \hookrightarrow **spin-o** narrow width scalar (*X*), e.g. new scalar from 2HDM. \hookrightarrow **spin-2** Kaluza-Klein graviton (G_{KK}^*) with $k/\bar{M}_{Pl} = 1$.

Both assumed to be produced via gluon-gluon fusion, decay to two SM Higgs bosons.

Largest branching ratio of HH is to $b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$ (~34%).

Searches are split into 2 complementary channels:

Resolved \rightarrow 251 GeV $\leq m_X \leq$ 1.5 TeV



 \rightarrow these channels are statistically combined in the overlapping region.

10

Background dominated by QCD multi-jet processes.







[ATLAS-CONF-2021-035]

NEW

Control Region: background enriched, used to derive background estimate. Validation Region: "closer to" the signal region, used to assess uncertainties. Signal Region: final search done here.

×10⁴

 $HH \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$

regions are defined in the 2 Higgs candidate mass plane

Resolved channel

For each H: two b-tagged jets of R=0.4 size.

Data-driven background estimate using neural network

 \hookrightarrow derived using events with 2 b-tagged jets.

 \hookrightarrow BDT based jet pairing algorithm.

Fit to m_{HH} corrected so

$$m_{H_1} = m_{H_2} = 125 \text{ GeV}.$$

Boosted channel For each H: merged R = 1.0

calorimeter jet.

b-tagging applied to variable radius track jets

 \hookrightarrow three signal regions: 4b, 3b, 2b. Data-driven background estimate

 \hookrightarrow derived using low-tag events that fail the b-tagging requirement.







Upper limits on the production cross-section times branching ratio to Higgs boson pairs for spin-0 and spin-2 benchmark models.

The largest excess is observed at a mass of 1.1 TeV with a local significance of 2.6 σ for the spin-0 signal and 2.7 σ for the spin-2 model.

 \hookrightarrow its global significance is 1.0 σ for the spin-0 signal model and 1.2 σ for the spin-2 signal model.

Spin-2 bulk RS model is excluded for graviton masses between 298 GeV and 1440 GeV.



Summary

Presented latest ATLAS Run-2 results for additional Higgs bosons searches.

Light charged Higgs boson $H^{\pm} \rightarrow cb$

 \hookrightarrow search on this channel for the first time in ATLAS

Di-Higgs production with $b\bar{b}\tau^+\tau^-$ and $b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$ decays.

 \hookrightarrow complementary with other Run-2 results.

Better object identification and reconstruction, optimization of analyses: including better background modeling and sequences of (deep) neural networks to better discriminate signals from backgrounds led to improvement beyond the gain from luminosity.

\Rightarrow No significant excess in data seen.

Significant update of constraints on various BSM models.

More results will be available soon!





Back-Up

$H^{\pm} \rightarrow cb$

NN score in 3b and \geq 4b regions



$HH \to b\bar{b}\tau^+\tau^-$

Systematic uncertainties

	Uncertainty source	Non-resonant HH		Resonant $X \to HH$	
			$300~{\rm GeV}$	$500 {\rm GeV}$	$1000~{\rm GeV}$
	Data statistical	81%	75%	89%	88%
	Systematic	59%	66%	46%	48%
	$t\bar{t}$ and $Z + HF$ normalisations	4%	15%	3%	3%
	MC statistical	28%	44%	33%	18%
	Experimental				
	Jet and $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$	7%	28%	5%	3%
	<i>b</i> -jet tagging	3%	6%	3%	3%
	$ au_{ m had-vis}$	5%	13%	3%	7%
	Electrons and muons	2%	3%	2%	1%
	Luminosity and pileup	3%	2%	2%	5%
	Theoretical and modelling				
	Fake- $\tau_{\rm had-vis}$	9%	22%	8%	7%
	Top-quark	24%	17%	15%	8%
	$Z(\to au au) + \mathrm{HF}$	9%	17%	9%	15%
	Single Higgs boson	29%	2%	15%	14%
	Other backgrounds	3%	2%	5%	3%
variables	Signal	5%	15%	13%	34%

MVA input variables

Variable	$\tau_{\rm had}\tau_{\rm had}$	$\tau_{\rm lep}\tau_{\rm had}$ SLT	$\tau_{\rm lep}\tau_{\rm had}$ LTT
m_{HH}	1	1	1
$m_{ au au}^{ m MMC}$	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
m_{bb}	\checkmark	\checkmark	1
$\Delta R(au, au)$	\checkmark	\checkmark	1
$\Delta R(b,b)$	\checkmark	\checkmark	
$\Delta p_{ m T}(\ell, au)$		\checkmark	\checkmark
Sub-leading <i>b</i> -tagged jet $p_{\rm T}$		\checkmark	
$m_{ m T}^W$		\checkmark	
$E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$		\checkmark	
$\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}} \phi$ centrality		1	
$\Delta \phi(au au,bb)$		1	
$\Delta \phi(\ell, {f p}_{ m T}^{ m miss})$			\checkmark
$\Delta \phi(\ell au, {f p}_{ m T}^{ m miss})$			\checkmark
$S_{ m T}$			✓1



$HH \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$

Regions definition

Resolved channel

Signal
$$X_{HH} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{m_{H_1} - 120 \,\text{GeV}}{0.1 m_{H_1}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{m_{H_2} - 110 \,\text{GeV}}{0.1 m_{H_2}}\right)^2} \cdot X_{HH} < 1.6,$$

Validation
$$R_{HH}^{VR} \equiv \sqrt{\left(m_{H_1} - 1.03 \times 120 \,\text{GeV}\right)^2 + \left(m_{H_2} - 1.03 \times 110 \,\text{GeV}\right)^2} < 30 \,\text{GeV}.$$

Control
$$R_{HH}^{CR} \equiv \sqrt{\left(m_{H_1} - 1.05 \times 120 \,\text{GeV}\right)^2 + \left(m_{H_2} - 1.05 \times 110 \,\text{GeV}\right)^2} < 45 \,\text{GeV}.$$

Boosted channel

Signal
$$X_{HH} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{m_{H_1} - 124 \,\text{GeV}}{0.1 m_{H_1}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{m_{H_2} - 115 \,\text{GeV}}{0.1 m_{H_2}}\right)^2}.$$
 $X_{HH} < 1.6$

Validation
$$R_{HH}^{VR} \equiv \sqrt{\left(m_{H_1} - 124 \,\text{GeV}\right)^2 + \left(m_{H_2} - 115 \,\text{GeV}\right)^2} < 33 \,\text{GeV}.$$

Control $R_{HH}^{CR} \equiv \sqrt{\left(m_{H_1} - 134 \,\text{GeV}\right)^2 + \left(m_{H_2} - 125 \,\text{GeV}\right)^2} < 58 \,\text{GeV}.$

$HH \to b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$

Boosted channel



Ile containter cotomoner	Relative impact (%)			
Uncertainty category	$280{\rm GeV}$	$600{\rm GeV}$	$1600{ m GeV}$	
Background $m(HH)$ shape	12	8.7	1.3	
Jet momentum/mass scale	0.6	0.1	1.5	
Jet momentum/mass resolution	2.1	1.5	7.4	
b-tagging calibration	0.7	0.4	1.8	
Theory (signal)	0.6	0.6	1.6	
Theory $(t\bar{t} \text{ background})$	N/A	N/A	0.7	
All systematic uncertainties	16	11	13	

Systematic uncertainties

More searches for additional Higgs bosons

[ATLAS-CONF-2021-016]

$HH \rightarrow bb\gamma\gamma$

Search for resonant ggF production of a narrow-width 9 www..... scalar particle.

 \hookrightarrow benefits from large $bb\gamma\gamma$ branching ratio and excellent $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ resolution.

Two **BDTs** are trained to better separate the signal from $\gamma\gamma$ and single Higgs backgrounds.

 \hookrightarrow combined BDT score is used to select events.

Maximum likelihood fit of $m_{\gamma\gamma}$.

 \Rightarrow The observed (expected) limits on the cross section $X \rightarrow HH$ range from 610-47 fb (360–43 fb) in 251 GeV $\leq m_X \leq$ 1000 GeV.





6

20

 $H^+ \rightarrow tb$

 $tbH^+ \rightarrow tbtb$ is explored from 200 GeV $\leq m_{H^+} \leq$ 2000 GeV.

 \hookrightarrow 1 isolated e^{-}/μ +jets events are categorized according to the number of jets and b-tagged jets : 5j(3b), 5j(≥4b), ≥6j(3b) and ≥6j(≥4b).

⇔a Neural Network is used to discriminate between signal and background events.

 \hookrightarrow background dominated by $t\bar{t}$ (estimated from simulation but corrected in data control regions).

Exclusion limits for the production cross-section times branching ratio of a charged Higgs boson as a function of its mass.

⇔they range from 3.6 pb at 200 GeV to 0.036 pb at 2000 GeV at 95% CL (improvement by 5% to 70% w.r.t. 2016 results).

\hookrightarrow the results are interpreted in the hMSSM and M_h^{125} scenarios.

 \hookrightarrow some values of tan beta (0.5-2.1) excluded for 200-1200 GeV.



[JHEP 06 (2021) 145]

arXiv:2102.10076







 $H^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow W^{\pm\pm}W^{\pm\pm}/H^{\pm} \rightarrow W^{\pm}Z$

arXiv:2101.11961

Doubly and singly charged Higgs bosons are predicted in Type II seesaw model $\hookrightarrow H^{\pm\pm}$ pair production / $H^{\pm\pm}$ and H^{\pm} associated production

Three final states: two same-charge leptons ($2\ell^{sc}$), three leptons (3ℓ) and four leptons (4ℓ).

Backgrounds

ightarrow leptons from prompt leptonic decays of *W* and *Z* bosons (estimated with simulations normalized to the SM cross sections). ightarrow WZ normalization corrected using data, with a dedicated CR. ightarrow Electron charge-flip and Non-prompt leptons (data driven methods using fake factor in dedicated channels)

Profiling likelihood ratio test, constructed by Poisson statistics ⁶ of counting experiments for different channels.

 \hookrightarrow each channel as an individual bin of a histogram.



