



## Physics Beyond the Standard Model with the J-PET detector

**EPS-HEP2021** online conference

Elena Perez del Rio on behalf of the J-PET Collaboration Jagiellonian University

## Outline

- Positronium (Ps) system
  - The Ps properties
- The J-PET (Jagiellonian PET) detector setup
  - Tomography scanner for medicine, physics and more
- Studies with J-PET
  - CPT- and CP-symmetry tests
  - Mirror matter

## Positronium





Symmetric under exchange of particles and anti-particles  $\rightarrow$  eigenstate of the charge congugation operator C

C  $|Ps > = (-1)^{L+S} |Ps >$ C  $|n\gamma > = (-1)^n | n\gamma >$ 



 $\text{o-Ps} \rightarrow \text{(2n+1)} \ \gamma$ 



Due to charge conjugation both isospin states of the Ps decays are even or odd in the number of decay photons

 $|S_0\rangle \rightarrow 2\gamma, 4\gamma, \dots$ 

 $^{3}S_{1} \rangle \rightarrow 3\gamma, 5\gamma, \dots$ 

Even number of photons

Odd number of photons

## Positronium system

Acta Phys. Pol. B 50, 1319 (2019)

- Hamiltonian eigenstates of P, C, CP operators
- The lightest known atom and anti-atom
- The simplest atomic system with charge conjugation eigenstates.
- Electrons and positrons are the lightest leptons hence, they do not decay into lighter particles via weak interaction
- Weak interaction leads to the violation at the order of 10-14.

(M. Sozzi, Discrete Symmetries and CP Violation, Oxford University Press (2008))

- No charged particles in the final state (radiative corrections very small 2 \* 10<sup>-10</sup>)
- Light by light contributions to various correlations are small

(B. K. Arbic et al., Phys. Rev. A 37, 3189 (1988)) (W. Bernreuther et al., Z. Phys. C 41, 143 (1988))

- Purely Leptonic state
- Breaking of T and CP was observed but only for processes involving quarks.
- So far, breaking of these symmetries was not observed for purely leptonic systems.
- 10-9 vs upper limits of 3 \*10-3 for T, CP, CPT

(P.A. Vetter and S.J. Freedman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 263401 (2003))(T. Yamazaki et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 104 (2010) 083401)

•  $10^{-9}$  vs upper limits of  $3*10^{-7}$  for C



## J-PET scanner at the Jagiellonian Universit





### J-PET (Jagiellonian-PET TOMOGRAPHY)





#### First Positron Emission Tomography scanner built from plastic scintillator

- Multidisciplinary detector
- Whole body PET
- •3 + 1 layer arrangement
  - 192 scintillator modules 7 × 19 × 500 mm 3 arranged in 3 layers read out by vacuum tube photomultipliers (PMs) with radius of 42.5 cm and length of 50 cm
  - 4-th layer modular JPET: 312 plastic scintillator strips in 24 modules with dimensions of 6 × 24 × 500 mm 3 read out by matrices of silicon photomultipliers (SiPM)

#### •High timing resolution

- •High acceptance and angular resolution
- •Trigger-less and reconfigurable DAQ system
  - Data has no filters: all data acquired is unfiltered
- GPS trilateration reconstruction





### Discrete symmetry tests with o-Ps $\rightarrow$ 3y decays

- Discrete symmetries are scarcely tested with leptonic systems
- Prominent results from neutrinos oscillation experiments
  - Dirac phase,  $\delta CP \sim 3\sigma$  level [T2K, Nature 580 (2020) 339]
- Electron EDM < 1.1x10<sup>-29</sup> [ACME, Nature 562 (2018) 355]
- Positronium so far the only system of charged leptons used for test of CP and CPT
- Certain SME-based searches for CPT violation were proposed with **positronium spectroscopy** [Phys. Rev. D92 (2015) 056002]

#### Searches for non-vanishing symmetry -odd correlations:



### Discrete symmetry tests with o-Ps $\rightarrow$ 3y decays

[P. Moskal et al., Acta Phys. Polon. B47 (2016) 509]

Operators for the o-Ps $\rightarrow$ 3 $\gamma$  process, and their properties with respect to the C, P, T, CP and CPT symmetries.

	operator	С	Р	Т	СР	CPT
	$ec{S}\cdotec{k_1}$	+	-	+	-	-
Using o-Ps spin	$ec{S}\cdot(ec{k_1} imesec{k_2})$	+	+	-	+	-
	$(ec{S}\cdotec{k_1})(ec{S}\cdot(ec{k_1} imesec{k_2}))$	+	-	-	-	+
Using photon polarization $\longrightarrow$	$ec{k_2}\cdotec{\epsilon_1}$	+	-	-	-	+
	$ec{S}\cdotec{\epsilon}_1$	+	+	-	+	-
)perators involving spin used in .1-PET	$ec{S} \cdot (ec{k}_2  imes ec{\epsilon}_1)$	+	-	+	-	-

Operators involving spin used in J-PET Event-by-event spin estimation using extensive annihilation medium

$$ec{S} \cdot (ec{k_1} imes ec{k_2})$$
 T

T & CPT-violation sensitive

 $ec{S}\cdotec{k_1}$  c

CP-violation sensitive

$$\hat{S} \cdot (\vec{k}_1 \times \vec{k}_2) / |\vec{k}_1 \times \vec{k}_2| = \cos\theta \qquad \underline{f^{\vec{S}}}$$

Standard asymmetry:

$$A = \frac{N_{+} - N_{-}}{N_{+} + N_{-}} \quad N_{+} \Leftrightarrow \cos\theta > 0$$



 $|\vec{k}_1| > |\vec{k}_2| > |\vec{k}_3|$ 

is generalized by the **mean value of cos**9:

$$\frac{\int N(\cos\theta)\cos\theta}{\int N(\cos\theta)}$$

J-PET is sensitive to the full range of this operator

Effective polarization depends on o-Ps vertex resolution

9



### **Evaluation CPT-asymmetric observable**

#### Expected effect with CPT-asymmetric simulations



Evaluated efficiencies using MC are symmetric in  $\cos\theta$ 



### Result using 2 x 10<sup>6</sup> of identified o-Ps $\rightarrow$ 3y $\hat{S} \cdot (\vec{k}_1 \times \vec{k}_2) / |\vec{k}_1 \times \vec{k}_2| = \cos\theta$ 30000 30000 10000 Preliminary 0 -1.0 -0.5 0.0 0.5 1.0 $\cos\theta$

<cos9> statistical uncertainty: 3.3 x 10<sup>-4</sup> systematic uncertainty 1.4 x 10<sup>-4</sup>

Analyzing power S = 37.4 % (polarization-dominated)



### Precision test in CP- and T-symmetry in the leptonic sector [W. Bernreuther et al., Z. Phys. C41 (1988) 143]



 $|\vec{k}_1| > |\vec{k}_2| > |\vec{k}_3|$ 

Using photon polarization

So far, No CP- violation was observed with a sensitivity of  $2.2 \times 10^{-3}$ . [T. Yamazaki et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 083401 (2010)]







Expectation Value = 0.0003 +/- 0.0003 PRELIMINARY

# J-PET

### Mirror Matter search in o-PS with J-PET

Mirror, mirror, who's the most chiral of all?

• Weak interactions violates parity (P).

First experimental confirmations:

- C. S. Wu et al. Phys. Rev. 105 (1956) 1413
- R. L. Garwin, L. Lederman and R. Weinrich Phys. Rev. 104 (1956) 254
- Mirror Matter (or Alice Matter) was proposed as an explanation of Parity symmetry violation [T.D., Yang C. N. Phys. Rev. 1956. V. 104. P. 254.]
  - Each particle has a mirror partner with the same properties and opposite chirality (left/right handed)
  - Mirror particles interact with normal matter mainly through gravity → Dark Matter candidates
  - γ mirror γ' interaction via kinetic mixing

$$\mathcal{L}_{\gamma\gamma'} = -\epsilon F^{\mu\nu} F'_{\mu\nu}$$

Ps pure leptonic system:

•Clean experimental system (no background)

•Lifetime accurately described with Quantum Electrodynamics (QED) theory

$$\Gamma(o - Ps \to 3\gamma, 5\gamma) = \frac{2(\pi^2 - 9)\alpha^6 m_e}{9\pi} \left[ 1 + A\frac{\alpha}{\pi} + \frac{\alpha^2}{3} \ln \alpha + B\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^2 - \frac{3\alpha^3}{2\pi} \ln^2 \alpha + C\frac{\alpha^3}{\pi} \ln \alpha + D\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^3 + \dots \right]$$

Acta Phys. Pol. B 50, 1319 (2019)

**Theory prediction** 

$$\Gamma = 7.039979(11) \times 10^6 \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$$

#### **Experimental values**

 $\Gamma = 7.0401 \pm 0.0007 \times 10^{6} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$  Tokyo group Phys. Lett. B 671 (2009), p. 219

 $\Gamma = 7.0404 \pm 0.0010 \pm 0.0008 \times 10^{6} \,\mathrm{s^{-1}}$  Ann Arbor group *Phys. Rev. Lett. 90 (2003), p. 203402* 

10 <sup>-6</sup> vs 10 <sup>-4</sup>
--------------------------------------

## ortho-Ps in J-PET







- Source activity 1 MBq = 10<sup>6</sup> e<sup>+</sup>/s
- o-Ps formed in vacuum chamber with probability 29%
- Number of o-Ps after 2 years 10<sup>13</sup> o-Ps formed Sensitivity below O(10<sup>-5</sup>) Photon mixing strength ε < O(10<sup>-7</sup>)

#### Main competitor ETH Zurich

- [Phys. Rev. D 97, 092008]
  - Slow positron beam  $(1.5 \times 10^4 e^+/s)$



[ arXiv:2006.07467 [physics.ins-det] ]

## Conclusions

- The J-PET tomography scanner of the Jagiellonian University is a multipurpose detector with applications in fundamental physics, medicine, biology ...
- It is the first plastic-based scintillator PET tomography scanner
  - High timing resolution with large acceptance
  - Cost-effective solution for whole-body PET imaging
- Positronium atoms provide a good and clean test field for discrete symmetries in leptonic systems
- J-PET detector is capable of exclusive registration of o-Ps  $\rightarrow$  3y annihilation events
  - Full event reconstruction including the annihilation point
  - Estimation of o-Ps spin on an event-by-event basis
  - Precise determination of the o-Ps lifetime using the de-excitation photons emitted by the source
- Sub-permil precision of the CPT test reached with the first J-PET measurement
- J-Pet aims at an improved sensitivity for the CP and CPT symmetry tests at the level of 10<sup>-5</sup> with setup improvements
- Larger sensitivity reach with the inclusion of the modular 4<sup>th</sup> layer of the detector
- Precise measurement of the o-Ps lifetime in view of new Physics
  - Mirror matter as Dark Matter candidate
  - Sensitivity after two years of experiment below 10<sup>-5</sup>



### **Backup Slides**

## Positronium







### J-PET (Jagiellonian-PET TOMOGRAPHY)

- 3 + 1 layer arrangement
  - 192 scintillator modules 7 × 19 × 500 mm 3 arranged in 3 layers read out by vacuum tube photomultipliers (PMs) with radius of 42.5 cm and length of 50 cm
  - 4-th layer modular JPET: 312 plastic scintillator strips in 24 modules with dimensions of 6 × 24 × 500 mm 3 read out by matrices of silicon photomultipliers (SiPM)
- Novel digital front-end electronics probing signals at multiple thresholds
- Trigger-less and reconfigurable DAQ system
- Annihilation gamma quanta hit time measurement:  $\sigma_t$  (0.511 MeV) ~ 125 ps
- Gamma quanta energy resolution:  $\sigma_{\rm F}/E = 0.044/\sqrt{E}({\rm MeV})$
- Resolution of photon relative angles measurement  $\sim 1^{\circ}$
- Possibility of o-Ps spin and photon polarization measurement
- Increased detection efficiency and improved time resolution with layer 4





P. Moskal, D. Kisielewska et al. Phys. Med. Biol. 64 (2019) 055017

## J-PET vs previous measurements

### **Gammasphere** $C_{CPT} = (2.6\pm3.1)\times10^{-3}$

PRL. 91 (2003) 263401 $ec{S} \cdot (ec{k_1} imes ec{k_2})$ 





Limiting positron emission direction

1 Mbq  $\beta^+$  emitter activity 4 $\pi$  detector but low angular resolution

🔊 J-PET

Recording multiple geometrical configurations

e+ spin estimated event-by-event  $P_{e+} \approx rac{\upsilon}{c} \cdot 0.91$ 

#### Yamazaki et al.

PRL 104 (2010) 083401  $(\vec{S} \cdot \vec{k_1})(\vec{S} \cdot (\vec{k_1} \times \vec{k_2}))$ 

 $C_{CP} = (1.3 \pm 2.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$ 



Polarized o-Ps using external B field

Inclusive measurement Only certain angular configurations

- Plastic scintillators = fast timing
  → using high β<sup>+</sup> emitter activity (tested up to 10 Mbq)
- Recording all 3 annihilation photons
- Angular resolution at 1° level



## Results of the CPT test



## oPs in JPET tomograph



**Fig. 3** Simulated spectra of deposited energy in plastic scintillators for gamma quanta from  $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2\gamma$  annihilation and for de-excitation gamma quanta originating from isotopes indicated in the legend. The spectra were simulated including the energy resolution of the J-PET detector [20] and were normalized to the same number of events

Gamma quanta interact in detector via Compton scattering



"Compton

edge"

- Compton continuum

E,

E

### **GPS** trilateration



Figure 5: A scheme of the detector showing  $o-Ps \rightarrow 3\gamma$  annihilation. For clarity only a single layer with registered hits is shown. Red lines represent the gamma photons from ortho-positronium annihilation. The trilateration method is used to determine the annihilation position and time (x', y', t) along the annihilation plane. For each recorded photon a circle, which is a set of possible photon origin points, centered in the hit-position and parameterised with the unknown o-Ps annihilation time is considered. The intersection of the three circles corresponds to the  $o-Ps \rightarrow 3\gamma$  annihilation point.





**Fig. 13** Pictorial illustration of the possible response of the detector to o-Ps  $\rightarrow 3\gamma$  and  $e^+e^-$  annihilation into  $2\gamma$ . Arranged circularly *squares* represents scintillator strips—*purple* and *green* colors indicate strips where the gamma quanta were or were not registered, respectively. The

*arrows* represents gamma quanta occurring in the events, while *dotted lines* indicate naively reconstructed gamma quanta. Examples of primary and secondary scatterings are depicted



#### Eur. Phys. J. C (2016) 76 :445



### Mirror Matter in o-Ps

•o-Ps can be connected via one-photon annihilation to its mirror version (o-Ps') and can be confirmed in experiments

- o-Ps oscillates into its mirror partner o-Ps'
- Only mimicked by very-rare decay from Standard Model Br(oPs $\rightarrow v\overline{v}) < O(10^{-18})$
- Precision measurements of the o-Ps decay rate and compare it to QED calculations.



The o-Ps' → invisible decay would manifest as an increase of the observed lifetime respect to the expected value → Precision measurement of the o-Ps lifetime



[P. Crivelli et al 2010 JINST 5 P08001]

## Systematic Uncertainties

- Accidental events: events in coincidence but not correlated
  - Can be controlled with source activity
  - Evaluation performed in 2020 article

#### Acta Phys.Polon. B51 (2020) 165



C. Vigo et al. (2019) [805.06384v] J. of Phys.: Conf. Series, Vol. 1138, conf 1



- oPs interacting with the material:
  - Can be directly evaluated from data
  - Can be used to train Machine Learning algorithms to reject the events (below 12 ppm level)



### **Machine Learning for background reduction**

Byron P. Roe et al. Nucl.Instrum.Meth. A 543 (2005), 577–584.

Machine learning techniques, like Boosted Decision Trees and Artificial Neural Networks for background reduction



Development of **Neural Network** algorithms to profit of the the excellent timing and reconstruction capabilities of the JPET detector  $\rightarrow$  can be adapted in future to *medical imaging*.



C. Vigo et al. (2019) [805.06384v] Journal of Physics: Conference Series, Vol. 1138, conference 1