Studying the impact of the binary black hole formation channels on gravitational-wave cosmology

# Mastrogiovanni

With R. Levde, C. Karathanasis, E. Chassande-Mut A Sheer, J. Gair, A. Ghosh, R. Gray, S. Mukherjee and arXiv:2103.14663

Cosmological Physics



Credit: L. Ralli

The falli

## The standard cosmological model

According to General Relativity, and confirmed by many observations, the Universe is expanding with a rate described by W. Freedman, Nature Astronomy, 1, 0169 (2017)

$$\frac{H(z)}{H_0} = \sqrt{\Omega_{m,0}(1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Lambda + \Omega_r(1+z)^4 + \Omega_k(1+z)^2}$$

Hubble Dark matter Dark energy Radiation Curvature constant



Despite its success in the standard cosmological model suffers:

- > Theoretical problems: What is the nature of Dark Energy?
- Observational problems: Why the measure of the Hubble constant does not agree at the level of the CMB and today? (There is a 4.6 sigma discrepancy)

$$d_L(z) = c(1+z) \int_0^z \frac{dz}{H(z)}$$

### Gravitational-wave cosmology

GWs provide a direct measurement of the luminosity distance

#### **Bright sirens**

Accurate redshift information from the EM counterpart (GW170817 and GW190521?)

#### **Dark sirens**

Redshift information taken from galaxy catalogs



### Gravitational-wave cosmology difficulties

- Bright sirens: Few sources, detecting an EM counterpart is extremely rare and it is possible only for close-by events.
- Dark sirens: Many sources but Galaxy catalogs completeness rapidly decrease with redshift, moreover the 3D sky localization volume often includes a thousands of galaxies.



### Gravitational-wave cosmology difficulties

- Bright sirens: Few sources, detecting an EM counterpart is extremely rare and it is possible only for close-by events.
- Dark sirens: Many sources but Galaxy catalogs completeness rapidly decrease with redshift, moreover the 3D sky localization volume often includes a thousands of galaxies.



Farr+, APJL, 883(2019)

#### The idea is to exploit the relation between source-frame mass and detector-frame mass.

Taylor+, PRD, 023535 (2012) Taylor+, PRD, 023502 (2012)

Source frame **Detector frame Expansion of the Universe** Phase evolution conserved Just amplitude scaling **Redshifted mass**  $m_d$  $m_s$  =

The idea is to use phenomenological description of the BHs source-frame mass distribution to measure conjointly with cosmology



- We simulate BBHs sources from a source-frame mass model which is a truncated powerlaw+gaussian component.
- The gaussian component has a peak at 40 solar masses (PISN) and the powerlaw has a hard cut-off at 85 solar masses. The powerlaw decrease with a coefficient of -2.
- We choose a Planck cosmology and detectors with O3-like sensitivities.
- We fit jointly the source-frame mass spectrum and cosmology

#### Simulated sources (fixing cosmology)



There is a tight correlation between the estimation of the source-frame mass spectrum and cosmology



9

- Cosmology and the source-frame mass distribution can be measured jointly.
- ➡ With this simulated population, the Hubble constant can be constrained to ~30% (at 90% CL) level with 1024 events.



The dark matter fraction will not play a fundamental role with current sensitivities.

SM+, arXiv: 2103.14663

- Population assumptions on the source-frame mass spectrum will be important even for dark sirens analyses with galaxy surveys.
- Since galaxy catalogs are incomplete and GW events not accurately localized, the source-frame mass spectrum will implicitly provide information.



#### Impact of population on GWTC-1 analyses:

- Population assumptions already play a fundamental role with ~6 GW events.
- We use a population model that match well with H0~70 km/Mpc/s to show its impact on O2.

- On the other hand population assumptions will not be important when having an EM counterpart (the redshift is well measured).
- In this case, it is sufficient to choose a population model that includes the masses estimated for the events that we are analyzing.



#### 64 BBHs with EM counterpart

12

### Conclusions

- Gravitational-wave sources provide a new way to study cosmology.
- The bright siren and dark siren method with galaxy catalogs will become less and less viable as we detect further GW events.
- The knowledge of the source-frame mass distribution of BBHs can help to obtain a redshift estimation.
- It will be possible to measure jointly the source-frame mass distribution and cosmology using thousands of GW sources.
- Population assumptions also play a fundamental role for galaxy catalog-based analyses.
- ❑ When the GW have an EM counterpart observed population assumptions will not matter\*.

# Extra slides

### How do we infer the population properties?

We want to describe the population with some parameters population parameters  $~\Lambda$ 

 $p(\Lambda|\{x\}, N_{\rm obs}) \propto p(\{x\}, N_{\rm obs}|\Lambda) p(\Lambda) \longrightarrow p(\{x\}, N_{\rm obs}|\Lambda) p(\Lambda) = p(N_{\rm obs}|\Lambda) \prod_{i=1}^{N_{\rm obs}} p(x_i|N_i, \Lambda)$ 

Using the bayes theorem...

$$p(x_i|N_i,\Lambda) = \frac{p(N_i|x_i,\Lambda)p(x_i|\Lambda)}{p(N_i|\Lambda)}$$

- Probability of detecting N\_obs events given some population parameters: A Poisson distribution
- Probability of detecting the event, given the current data set and the population parameters (one since we detected the event)
- Probability of detecting an event considering all the possible data sets (realization of the noise)
- Calculated from GW-likelihood