

A hybrid simulation of gravitational wave production in first-order phase transitions

Ryusuke Jinno, Thomas Konstandin and **Henrique Rubira**

henrique.rubira@desy.de

(2010.00971 JCAP 04 (2021) 014)

Before anything, the most important... Cool stuff always come with videos



The message to take home...

New simulation scheme for sound-shell contribution in 1st order PT

The message to take home...

New simulation scheme for sound-shell contribution in 1st order PT

Advantages:

- 1) fast (easier to explore parameter dependence);
- 2) Don't need to include the scalar field (solve particle physics scale in a cosmo simulation);
- 3) Incorporate shock front easily.

The message to take home...

A user-friendly parametrization...

Advantages:

- 1) fast (easier to explore parameter dependence);
- 2) Don't need to include the scalar field (solve particle physics scale in a cosmo simulation);
- 3) Incorporate shock front easily.

$$\Omega_{\rm GW} \propto rac{1}{(q/q_l)^{-n_l} + (q/q_l)^{-n_m} + (q_h/q_l)^{-n_m}(q/q_h)^{-n_h}}$$

 $q_l \simeq 1, \qquad q_h \simeq 1/\xi_{\text{shell}},$

$$n_l \in [2, 4], \quad n_m \in [-1, 0], \quad n_h \in [-4, -3],$$



1st Order Phase Transition (PT)



Motivations for 1st Order PT

1) LISA is flying in next decade

2) Electroweak Baryogenesis

3) BSM physics







(1811.11175)

(1302.6713)

(1702.00786)

GW from 1st Order PT -- State of the art

GW from 1st Order PT -- State of the art

Envelope approximation



Energy contained in a thin non-collided yet shell (fluid or scalar) Konstandin, Huber (08)



See also Kamionkowski, Kosowsky, Turner (94) and Jinno, Takimoto (17a)

GW from 1st Order PT -- State of the art

Envelope approximation



Konstandin, Huber (08)



Energy contained in a thin non-collided yet shell (fluid or scalar)

See also Kamionkowski, Kosowsky, Turner (94) and Jinno, Takimoto (17a)

Latter, it became clear that the **sound shell** contribution is larger than the scalar



Enhanced by

$$\left(rac{eta}{H_{*}}
ight) \, \, rac{\mathrm{Nucleation}}{\mathrm{rate}} \, \, \mathrm{O(100)}$$

GW from 1st Order PT -- State of the art

Envelope approximation



Energy contained in

a thin non-collided

yet shell (fluid or

scalar)

Konstandin, Huber (08)



See also Kamionkowski, Kosowsky, Turner (94) and Jinno, Takimoto (17a)

Konstandin (17) and Jinno, Takimoto (17b)

Bulk flow



Sound shell model

$$\frac{d\Omega_{\rm GW}(k)}{d\ln(k)} \sim$$

Hindmarsh (16)

 $\begin{cases} (kR_*)^5, & k\Delta R_*, kR_* \ll 1, \\ (kR_*)^1, & k\Delta R_* \ll 1 \ll kR_*, \\ (kR_*)^{-3}, & 1 \ll k\Delta R_*, R_*. \end{cases}$

(13, 15, 17)

GW from 1st Order PT -- State of the art

Lattice simulations



Scalar Field (HEP scale) + bubble size (cosmo scale)

Huge hierarchy between those scales

Our set up in a nutshell

Motivation: construct a simulation that doesn't need to solve the Higgs

Important: Higgs is only (indirectly) as a boundary condition

Our set up in a nutshell

1*d* simulation



Motivation: construct a simulation that doesn't need to solve the Higgs

how the velocity and

enthalpy evolve

Important: Higgs is only (indirectly) as a boundary condition

Our set up in a nutshell

1d simulation



Motivation: construct a simulation that doesn't need to solve the Higgs

Important: Higgs is only (indirectly) as a boundary condition

Our set up in a nutshell



Embed a 1d hydro simulation (fast to run) into a 3d lattice

Our set up in a nutshell





Embed a 1d hydro simulation (fast to run) into a 3d lattice

Our set up in a nutshell





Embed a 1d hydro simulation (fast to run) into a 3d lattice

Other advantages: More bubbles -- O(2500) Realistic nucleation (not simultaneous)

Our set up in a nutshell





Calculate the GW at each time step in the 3d lattice

Henrique Rubira



Henrique Rubira



Simulation time

Henrique Rubira



Simulation time



Henrique RubiraA double power
lawResults $\frac{Q'}{\xi_{\text{shell}} \times (\langle w\gamma^2 v^2 \rangle_{3\text{d}}/w_{\infty})^2} \propto \frac{1}{(q/q_l)^{-n_l} + (q/q_l)^{-n_m} + (q_h/q_l)^{-n_m} (q/q_h)^{-n_h}}$

65 simulations (13x5) + 26 with larger box and high resolution



 ξ_w

Results

A double power law

$$\frac{Q'}{\xi_{\rm shell} \times (\langle w\gamma^2 v^2 \rangle_{\rm 3d} / w_{\infty})^2} \propto \frac{1}{(q/q_l)^{-n_l} + (q/q_l)^{-n_m} + (q_h/q_l)^{-n_m} (q/q_h)^{-n_h}}$$

$$\simeq \begin{cases} (q/q_l)^{n_l} & (q \ll q_l) \\ (q/q_l)^{n_m} & (q_l \ll q \ll q_h) \\ (q_h/q_l)^{n_m} (q/q_h)^{n_h} & (q_h \ll q) \end{cases}$$

Results

Amplitude of the spectrum normalized by the kinetic energy measured in the simulation times the sound-shell width

A double power law

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{Q'}{\xi_{\text{shell}} \times (\langle w\gamma^2 v^2 \rangle_{3\text{d}} / w_{\infty})^2} & \propto \frac{1}{(q/q_l)^{-n_l} + (q/q_l)^{-n_m} + (q_h/q_l)^{-n_m} (q/q_h)^{-n_h}} \\ & \simeq \begin{cases} (q/q_l)^{n_l} & (q \ll q_l) \\ (q/q_l)^{n_m} & (q_l \ll q \ll q_h) \\ (q_h/q_l)^{n_m} (q/q_h)^{n_h} & (q_h \ll q) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We also show that the amplitude of the spectrum is (relatively) well parametrized by $\kappa lpha$



Amplitude of the spectrum normalized by the kinetic energy measured in the simulation times the sound-shell width



$$\begin{aligned} \frac{Q'}{\xi_{\text{shell}} \times (\langle w\gamma^2 v^2 \rangle_{\text{3d}} / w_{\infty})^2} & \propto \frac{1}{(q/q_l)^{-n_l} + (q/q_l)^{-n_m} + (q_h/q_l)^{-n_m} (q/q_h)^{-n_h}} \\ & \simeq \begin{cases} (q/q_l)^{n_l} & (q \ll q_l) \\ (q/q_l)^{n_m} & (q_l \ll q \ll q_h) \\ (q_h/q_l)^{n_m} (q/q_h)^{n_h} & (q_h \ll q) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Results



Results



Comparison to other works

When we compare to simulations with the scalar field, we have found:

- Similar scaling
- IR peak shifted to lower freq. (Realistic nucleation)
- Factor ~ 2 in overall amplitude (More bubbles)

Comparison to other works

When we compare to simulations with the scalar field, we have found:

- Similar scaling
- IR peak shifted to lower freq. (Realistic nucleation)
- Factor ~ 2 in overall amplitude (More bubbles)





New simulation scheme (free of scalar field scale) to calculate sound-shell contribution



Henrique Rubira

Stay tuned

2002.11083, Domcke, Jinno and **Rubira**

We have shown in 2002.11083 that temperature fluctuations in the line-of-sight can affect the GW spectrum



Henrique Rubira

Stay tuned

2002.11083, Domcke, Jinno and **Rubira**

We have shown in 2002.11083 that temperature fluctuations in the line-of-sight can affect the GW spectrum



What if the bubbles nucleate on top of a Universe with temperature fluctuations?

Jinno, Konstandin, **Rubira**, van de Vis (To appear)

Henrique Rubira

Stay tuned

2002.11083, Domcke, Jinno and **Rubira**

We have shown in 2002.11083 that temperature fluctuations in the line-of-sight can affect the GW spectrum





What if the bubbles nucleate on top of a Universe with temperature fluctuations?

Jinno, Konstandin, **Rubira**, van de Vis (To appear)

Thanks





Our set up

Now slowly and with more details...

A 5 steps calculation

Our set up





1) Run the 1d lattice simulation (spherical symmetry) for the given wall velocity and PT strength;

- 2) Nucleate bubbles and let them grow in a 3d lattice;
- 3) Calculating when each differential part of each bubble surface collide;
- 4) Construct a velocity grid embedding the 1d simulation;
- 5) Calculate GW from stress-energy tensor.

The 1d simulation

1d simulation



1) Run the 1d lattice simulation (spherical symmetry) for the given wall velocity and PT strength;



The 1d simulation

1d simulation



1) Run the 1d lattice simulation (spherical symmetry) for the given wall velocity and PT strength;

Initially higgs field sustain the profile. Scale invariant evolution (1004.4187)

Decays as 1/r respecting $~~\partial_\mu T^{\mu
u} = 0~~$ (1905.00899)

The 1d simulation

Given an alpha (vacuum/plasma) $lpha_+\equiv rac{\epsilon}{a_+T_+^4} \; ,$

outside bubble
$$v_+v_-$$

velocity inside and

Calculate fluid

Any information about microphysics (scalar potential) is encapsulated in alpha



By stress-energy conservation

$$\begin{array}{lll} \frac{dv}{d\tau} &=& 2vc_s^2(1-v^2)(1-\xi v) \ , \\ \frac{d\xi}{d\tau} &=& \xi[(\xi-v)^2-c_s^2(1-\xi v)^2] \ , \end{array}$$



1) Run the 1d lattice simulation (spherical symmetry) for the given wall velocity and PT strength;

<u>Initially higgs field sustain the profile. Scale invariant</u> <u>evolution (1004.4187)</u>

Decays as 1/r respecting $\; \partial_\mu T^{\mu
u} = 0 \;$ (1905.00899)

1d simulation

The 1d simulation



incorporated as a boundary condition

1*d* simulation



1) Run the 1d lattice simulation (spherical symmetry) for the given wall velocity and PT strength;

Initially higgs field sustain the profile. Scale invariant evolution (1004.4187)

Decays as 1/r respecting $\ \partial_\mu T^{\mu
u} = 0$ (1905.00899)

The 1d simulation

After collision: we remove Higgs energy injection and solve for the fluid system (with spherical sym)

$$\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0$$



1) Run the 1d lattice simulation (spherical symmetry) for the given wall velocity and PT strength;

Initially higgs field sustain the profile. Scale invariant evolution (1004.4187)

Decays as 1/r respecting
$$\partial_\mu T^{\mu
u}=0$$
 (1905.00899)

The 1d simulation

 $\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ v \end{pmatrix} + A \ \partial_r \begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ v \end{pmatrix} + h = 0,$

$$T_{\mu\nu} = w u_{\mu} u_{\nu} + p g_{\mu\nu}$$

$$w = \rho + p$$

5

1*d* simulation



Need to solve shock fronts! Intricate discretization scheme called Kurganov-Tadmor

 $A = \frac{1}{1 - c_s^2 v^2} \begin{pmatrix} (1 - c_s^2)v & \rho + p \\ \frac{c_s^2 (1 - v^2)^2}{\rho + p} & (1 - c_s^2)v \end{pmatrix} \qquad h = \frac{d - 1}{r} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(\rho + p)v}{1 - c_s^2 v^2} \\ -\frac{c_s^2 v^2 (1 - v^2)}{\rho + p} \end{pmatrix}$



1) Run the 1d lattice simulation (spherical symmetry) for the given wall velocity and PT strength;

Initially higgs field sustain the profile. Scale invariant evolution (1004.4187)

Decays as 1/r respecting $\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0$ (1905.00899)

The 1d simulation

A detail for those that like numerical schemes

If one try to solve the shocks with a standard numerical schemes, it wont work (see Appendix A)



The 1d simulation

The first collision



Our set up





1) Run the 1d lattice simulation (spherical symmetry) for the given wall velocity and PT strength;

2) Nucleate bubbles and let them grow in a 3d lattice;



Nucleation rate per volume parameterized by beta

Our set up





1) Run the 1d lattice simulation (spherical symmetry) for the given wall velocity and PT strength;

2) Nucleate bubbles and let them grow in a 3d lattice;

3) Calculating when each differential part of each bubble surface collide;



$$\begin{split} \frac{\Delta w}{w_0} &\simeq \sum_{i: \text{bubbles}} \frac{\Delta w^{(i)}}{w_0}, \qquad \vec{v} \simeq \sum_{i: \text{bubbles}} \vec{v}^{(i)}, \\ &\frac{\Delta w^{(i)}}{w_0}(t, \vec{x}) \simeq \frac{\Delta w^{(1d)}}{w_0} \\ &\vec{v}^{(i)}(t, \vec{x}) \simeq \hat{n}^{(i)} v^{(1d)} \end{split}$$

1) Run the 1d lattice simulation (spherical symmetry) for the given wall velocity and PT strength;

2) Nucleate bubbles and let them grow in a 3d lattice;

3) Calculating when each differential part of each bubble surface collide;

4) Construct a velocity grid embedding the 1d simulation;

Henrique Rubira

Our set up

Our set up

$$T^{ij}(\vec{x}) = v^i(\vec{x})v^j(\vec{x})\rho(\vec{x})$$
$$T_+(\vec{k}) = \sum_{i,j} \frac{T^{ij}(\vec{k})}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\theta_i(\vec{k})\theta_j(\vec{k}) - \phi_i(\vec{k})\phi_j(\vec{k})\right),$$
$$T_\times(\vec{k}) = \sum_{i,j} \frac{T^{ij}(\vec{k})}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\theta_i(\vec{k})\phi_j(\vec{k}) + \theta_i(\vec{k})\phi_j(\vec{k})\right)$$

$$T_{+,\times}(q,\vec{k},t) = \sum_{t'=t_{\text{init}}}^{t} e^{iqt'} T_{+,\times}(t',\vec{k}),$$

$$\Omega(q,t) = C q^3 \langle T_+ T_+^* + T_\times T_\times^* \rangle |_{|\vec{k}|=q}$$



1) Run the 1d lattice simulation (spherical symmetry) for the given wall velocity and PT strength;

2) Nucleate bubbles and let them grow in a 3d lattice;

3) Calculating when each differential part of each bubble surface collide;

4) Construct a velocity grid embedding the 1d simulation;

5) Calculate GW from stress-energy tensor.

Results detailed

How to parametrize the spectrum?

Integrated spectrum gives better parameter dependence

$$Q'_{\rm int} \equiv \int d\ln q \ Q'(q) \,,$$

We expect the GW spectrum to be proportional to something like

Q'

Find some quantity that resembles it



Results detailed

How to parametrize the spectrum?

Integrated spectrum gives better parameter dependence

$$Q'_{\rm int} \equiv \int d\ln q \ Q'(q) \,,$$

We expect the GW spectrum to be proportional to something like

 $Q' \propto \left(\langle w \gamma^2 v^2 \rangle / w_{\infty} \rangle^2 \right)^2$ Find some quantity that resembles it

Results detailed

How to parametrize the spectrum?

Integrated spectrum gives better parameter dependence

$$Q'_{\rm int} \equiv \int d\ln q \ Q'(q) \,,$$

We expect the GW spectrum to be proportional to something like

Q'

Find some quantity that resembles it





Pretty good normalization!

$$Q'_{\rm int} \simeq 9 \times \xi_{\rm shell} \times (\langle w \gamma^2 v^2 \rangle_{\rm 3d} / w_{\infty})^2$$

Problem: not user-friendly (needs 3d simulations)

1st attempt $\langle w\gamma^2v^2
angle_{
m 3d}$ 2nd attempt $\langle w\gamma^2v^2
angle_{
m 1d}$

How to parametrize the spectrum?

Integrated spectrum gives better parameter dependence

Results detailed

$$Q'_{\rm int} \equiv \int d\ln q \ Q'(q) \,,$$

We expect the GW spectrum to be proportional to something like

 $Q' \propto \left(\langle w \gamma^2 v^2 \rangle / w_{\infty} \rangle^2 \right)^{\text{Find some}}$ quantity that resembles it

Results detailed

How to parametrize the spectrum?

Integrated spectrum gives better parameter dependence

$$Q'_{\rm int} \equiv \int d\ln q \ Q'(q) \,,$$

We expect the GW spectrum to be proportional to something like

Q'

Find some quantity that resembles it 1st attempt $\langle w\gamma^2v^2
angle_{
m 3d}$ 2nd attempt $\langle w\gamma^2v^2
angle_{
m 1d}$



good normalization!

$$Q'_{\rm int} \simeq 12 \times \xi_{\rm shell} \times (\langle w \gamma^2 v^2 \rangle_{\rm 1d} / w_{\infty})^2$$

Problem: not user-friendly (needs 1d simulations)

Results detailed

How to parametrize the spectrum?

1st attempt $\langle w\gamma^2v^2
angle_{
m 3d}$ 2nd attempt $\langle w\gamma^2v^2
angle_{
m 1d}$ 3rd attempt $\kappa \alpha = \frac{4}{\xi_w^3 w_\infty} \int d\xi \ w \gamma^2 v^2 \xi^2$, Very user friendly!

Integrated spectrum gives better parameter dependence

$$Q'_{\rm int} \equiv \int d\ln q \ Q'(q) \,,$$

We expect the GW spectrum to be proportional to something like

 $Q' \propto \left(\langle w \gamma^2 v^2 \rangle / w_{\infty} \rangle^2 \right)^2$ Find some quantity that resembles it

Results detailed

How to parametrize the spectrum?

Integrated spectrum gives better parameter dependence

$$Q'_{\rm int} \equiv \int d\ln q \ Q'(q) \,,$$

We expect the GW spectrum to be proportional to something like

 $Q' \propto \left(\langle w\gamma^2 v^2 \rangle / w_\infty)^2 \right)$

Find some quantity that resembles it



Results detailed

How to parametrize the spectrum?

Integrated spectrum gives better parameter dependence

$$Q'_{\rm int} \equiv \int d\ln q \ Q'(q) \,,$$

We expect the GW spectrum to be proportional to something like

 $Q' \propto \left(\langle w\gamma^2 v^2 \rangle / w_\infty \rangle^2 \right)$

Find some quantity that resembles it 1st attempt $\langle w\gamma^2 v^2 \rangle_{3d}$ 2nd attempt $\langle w\gamma^2 v^2 \rangle_{1d}$ 3rd attempt $\kappa \alpha = \frac{4}{\xi_w^3 w_\infty} \int d\xi \ w\gamma^2 v^2 \xi^2$, We can also relate $\kappa \alpha$ to $\langle w\gamma^2 v^2 \rangle_{1d}$ pretty easily

