



Lazzaro Claudia on behalf of LVK Collaboration



Università degli Studi di Padova









- · Interferometers network in O3 data taking
- Gravitational Wave Transient Catalog 2 (GWTC-2) and test of general relativity with GWTC-2
- · O3 data taking: GW exceptional events



## O3 observing run



The sensitivity, quantified by Binary neutron star inspiral range for first phase of O3 (O3a)

- · Hanford: 108 Mpc
- Livigstone 135 Mpc
- · Virgo: 45 Mpc







### Gravitational-wave Transient Catalog-2



- GWTC-1 (Physical review X 9, 031040, 2019): 11 confident detections during 01 and O2
- GWTC-2 (Phys. Rev. X 11, 021053, 2021) : 39 confident detections during O3a

The detection of 39 candidate events in 26 weeks is consistent with GWTC-1, given the increased sensitivity of Advanced LIGO and Advanced Virgo EPS 21

#### LIGO-G2001862

Compact binaries coalescence:

- O1-O2: detection ~every few months
- O3: detection ~weekly





Gravitational-wave Transient Catalog-2

|||O





- reported 39 candidate GW imposing false alarm rate less than 2 per years (expected contamination • fraction less than 10%)
- 4 search pipelines: 3 template searches, 1 unmodeled search ٠

- Total masses of BBH system from 14M for • GW190924\_021846 to 150M for GW190521
- This catalog includes binary systems with significantly asymmetric mass ratios
- 11 of the 39 events detected have positive ٠ effective inspiral spins under our default prior (at 90% credibility), while none exhibit negative effective inspiral spin.





Testing general relativity

Tests of general relativity in the highly dynamical and strong-field regime:

- Residuals from best-fit waveforms consistent with detector noise
- Consistency of parameters inferred from inspiral and ringdown phases of the signal





Phys. Rev D. 103, 122002 (2021)



Testing general relativity

**IIIIII**VIRGO

Tests of general relativity in the highly dynamical and strong-field regime:

- Residuals from best-fit waveforms consistent with detector noise
- Consistency of parameters inferred from inspiral and ringdown phases of the signal
- Measured PN coefficients consistency with GR
- Consistency with no dispersion of GWs and massless
  graviton
- Ringdown frequencies and damping times consistent with GR
- No detection of echoes
- No evidence for pure scalar or pure vector polarisations





O3 exceptional events: GW190412



Mass-ratio measurement of GW190412 is robust against modeling systematic

Phys. Rev. D 102, 043015 (2020)

((Q))

First GW signal observed due to coalescences of two BHs with asymmetric masses of  $30.1^{+4.6}$  M and  $8.3^{+1.6}$  M black.

Mass ratio q=  $0.28^{+0.12}_{-0.07}$  (median and 90% confidence intervals)

- Asymmetric systems are predicted to emit gravitational waves with stronger contributions from higher multipoles
- third BH binary identified with at least one non zero spin component, small value (<0.1) of precessing spin parameter  $X_p$  disfavored





#### Phys. Rev. D 102, 043015 (2020)



Higher multipoles:

- Many different statistical tests, all support existence of higher multipoles
- Time-frequency track methods: GW instantaneous frequency  $f_{ml}(t)$  is related to the dominant mode one:  $f_{ml}(t) = (m/2)f_{22}(t)$
- search of "secondary" track in the time frequency representation, looking to the energy along each track  $f_{\alpha}(t)$



O3 exceptional events: GW190814



- Astrophys. J. Lett. 896, L44 (2020)
- Livingstone-Hanford-Virgo observation with SNR of 25

(O)

- Masses in the range respectively: 22.2-24.3  $\rm M_{\odot}$  and 2.50- 2.67  $\rm M_{\odot}$
- secondary component is either the lightest black hole or the heaviest neutron star ever discovered in a double compact-object system
- mass ratio of q =  $0.112^{+0.008}$  (most unequal ever observed with GW)
- · no electromagnetic counterpart

- Tests of general relativity reveal no measurable deviations from the theory
- · prediction of higher-multipole emission is confirmed at high confidence
- Comparisons between the secondary mass and estimates of the maximum NS mass suggest that this signal is unlikely to originate in a NSBH coalescence.



O3 exceptional events: GW190425

Astrophys. J. Lett. 892, L3 (2020)

	Low-spin Prior $(\chi < 0.05)$	High-spin Prior $(\chi < 0.89)$
Primary mass $m_1$	1.60−1.87 M <sub>☉</sub>	1.61−2.52 M <sub>☉</sub>
Secondary mass $m_2$	1.46-1.69 M <sub>☉</sub>	1.12-1.68 M <sub>☉</sub>
Chirp mass $\mathcal{M}$	$1.44^{+0.02}_{-0.02} M_{\odot}$	$1.44^{+0.02}_{-0.02} M_{\odot}$
Detector-frame chirp mass	$1.4868^{+0.0003}_{-0.0003} M_{\odot}$	$1.4873^{+0.0008}_{-0.0006} M_{\odot}$
Mass ratio $m_2/m_1$	0.8 - 1.0	0.4 - 1.0
Total mass m <sub>tot</sub>	$3.3^{+0.1}_{-0.1}~{ m M}_{\odot}$	$3.4^{+0.3}_{-0.1}M_{\odot}$

- compact binary coalescence observed by LIGO Livingstone only, SNR 12.9
- Both components have masses less than 3  $\rm M_{\odot}$
- no clear detection of a counterpart has been reported (broad sky position region)

- component masses range from 1.12 to 2.52  $\rm M_{\odot}$  , consistent with the individual binary components being neutron stars.
- the total mass is significantly larger than those of known binary BNS system (5 $\sigma$  from mean of Galactic BNS)
- The possibility that one or both binary components are black holes cannot be ruled out





#### D3 exceptional events: GW190521



First clear detection of "intermediate mass" black hole

- short duration (few observable cycles) GW, three-detector network SNR: of 14.7
- estimated false-alarm rate of 1 in 4900 yr using cWB (indipendent model search) and of 1 in 829y and 1 in 0.94y by template searches GstLAL and PyCBC
- BH masses of  $85^{+21}_{-14}$  M $\odot$  and  $66^{+17}_{-18}$  M $_{\odot}$  (heavier in PISN mass gap)
- BH remnant mass 142  $^{\scriptscriptstyle +28}_{\scriptscriptstyle -16}\,$  M  $_{_{\odot}}\,$  (direct observation of formation of a IMBH )

(((Q)))



GW190521





The possible formation of black holes in the pair-instability mass gap

- the formation from stellar collapse
- the primary BH might be the result of the merger of two smaller BHs (hierarchical scenario), or of two massive stars
- formation via isolated binary evolution appears disfavored.
- it is unlikely that GW190521 is a strongly lensed signal of a lower-mass black hole binary merger.

- Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 101102 (2020)
- $^{\rm p}$  Posterior distribution for remnant BH mass shows no support below 100M  $_{\odot}$
- Weak evidence for spinning BBH and precessing orbital plane obtained performing bayesian model selection including models omitting precession and spins
- No evidence for higher order modes



#### Astrophys. J. Lett. 900, L13 (2020)



GW200105 and GW200115



ApJ Letters 915, L5 (2021)

(0)

- First detections of neutron star-black hole systems: GW200105 and GW200115
- GW200105 is a single-detector event (observed in LIGO Livingston) with an SNR of 13.9. (statistical confidence difficult to establish)
- GW200115 SNR of 11.6 and FAR of  $< 1/(1 \times 10^5 \text{ yr})$ .
- Component mass: GW200105:  $8.9^{+1.2}_{-1.5}$  M $_{\odot}$  and  $1.9^{+0.2}_{-0.3}$  M $_{\odot}_{\odot}$  GW200115:  $5.7^{+1.8}_{-2.1}$  M $_{\odot}$  and  $1.5^{+0.7}_{-0.3}$  M $_{\odot}$
- GW200115: preference for spin to be anti-aligned with orbital angular momentum
- No EM counterpart observed (as expected)



### Conclusions



- GWCT-2: 39 GW detections due to compact binaries coalescences, from the analysis of the O3a data taking analysis
- For the first time, binary systems with significantly asymmetric mass ratios, BHNS systems and intermediate mass BHs have been reported
- · Further investigations and results :
  - "Population properties of compact objects from the second LIGO-Virgo Gravitational-Wave Transient Catalog", ApJ Letters 913, L7 (2021)
  - "Properties and astrophysical implications of the 150 Msun binary black hole merger GW190521", Astrophys. J. Lett. 900, L13 (2020)
  - → "O3a Search for Intermediate Mass Black Hole Binaries", arxiv:2105.15120

This material is based upon work supported by NSF's LIGO Laboratory which is a major facility fully funded by the National Science Foundation.

# Back up



### GW190521



#### Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 101102 (2020)



- Effective inspiral spin parameters  $\chi_{eff}$  (spin components aligned with the orbital angular momentum) is estimated to be  $0.08^{+0.27}_{-0.36}$  and effective precession spin parameters  $\chi_{p}$  to be  $0.68^{+0.25}_{-0.37}$
- Weak evidence for spinning BBH and precessing orbital plane obtained performing bayesian model selection including models omitting precession and spins
- No evidence for higher order modes



GW190521



Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 101102 (20



Redshifted remnant mass and spin inferred from the least-damped mode. Blue: 90% credible region of the prediction from the full-waveform analysis.

Ringdown part of the signal has been analysed using a damped sinusoid mode; analysis estimates  $f = 66^{+4}_{-3}$  Hz and damping time  $\tau 19^{+9}_{-7m}$ s, inferring the final redshifted mass and dimensionless spin to be  $(1+z)M_f = 252^{+63}_{-64}M_{\odot}$  and  $\chi_{f=}0.65^{+0.22}_{-0.48}$ 

Results are consistent with the full-waveform analysis, the remnant ringdown signal is compatible with the full waveform analysis and GR

Signal reconstructions are obtained through a templated analysis (LALinference) and two signal-agnostic analyses (CWB and BayesWave). Reconstructions are in agreement: overlap between the CWB point estimate and the maximum-likelihood NRSur7dq4 template is 0.89, overlap between the median BayesWave waveform and the maximum likelihood NRSur7dq4 template is 0.93.



## Interferometers networks near future



Living Reviews in Relativity 23, 3 (2020)

(O)

2022 O4: four-detector network

Late 2024/Early 2025 - 2026 O5: O5 will begin with a fourdetector network incorporating the A+ upgrade for the aLIGO instruments and the AdV+ Phase 2 upgrade for Virgo.

Hardware update (Frequency independent squeezing, newtonian noise subtraction, improved coatings) will allow improvement in spectral sensitivity (low and high frequency)



