



# First results of the newly installed, MAPS based, ALICE Inner Tracking System

## Jian Liu (University of Liverpool) on behalf of the ALICE Collaboration



European Physical Society Conference on High Energy Physics 2021 26-30 July 2021, Online Event



# Inner Tracking System Upgrade – ITS2





## **Entirely Monolithic Active Pixel Sensor (MAPS) based**

- 7 cylinders covering ~ 10 m<sup>2</sup> area
  - Inner Barrel (IB): 3 Inner Layers (48 staves)
  - Outer Barrel (OB): 2 Middle Layers (54 staves) + 2 Outer Layers (90 staves)
- Fake-hit rate (FHR) requirement: < 10<sup>-6</sup> /event/pixel
- Detection efficiency requirement: > 99%
- Fast removal/insertion of inner barrel for yearly maintenance

	ITS1	ITS2
Technology	Hybrid, drift, strip	MAPS
Layers	6	7
Spatial resolution	12 μm x 100 μm	5 μm x 5 μm
Radius	39 – 430 mm	22 mm – 400 mm
Pseudorapidity	-1 ≤ η ≤ 1	$-1.4 \leq \eta \leq 1.4$
Material budget	~ 1.14% X <sub>0</sub>	~ 0.3% X <sub>0</sub> (inner barrel), ~ 1% X <sub>0</sub> (outer barrel)
Readout capability	1 kHz	>100 kHz (Pb-Pb), >1 MHz (pp)

*"Technical Design Report for the Upgrade of the ALICE Inner Tracking System"* ALICE Collaboration, J.Phys. G41 (2014) 087002, CERN-LHCC-2013-024 2

# Layer and Barrel Assembly



#### **Outer Barrel assembly**



Detector fully assembled in Dec. 2019

**Inner Barrel assembly** 



EPS-2021 J. Liu

# Installation





**OB-Bottom being loaded to mini-frame** 



**OB-Bottom being positioned** 



**IB being installed** 



**IB installed** 



OB installation completed – mid March 2021 IB installation completed – mid May 2021

# Commissioning - Overall Status (1/2)



## **On-surface commissioning**

- Commissioning shifts 24/7 started in July 2019
- 3 daily slots with 2 shifters + 1 shifter leader
- Completed in December 2020
- Verification of detector performance and long stability of parameters
- Detector status monitoring: voltage, current and temperature monitoring
- Standalone data taking: threshold scans, fakehit rate runs and readout tests
- Offline data quality control

	Half IB	Full IB	ОВ
Cosmics	~2000 runs ~7 days	~1600 runs ~6 days	~10 hours
Threshold scan	~2000 runs ~15.5 days	~1600 runs ~8.5 days	<i>O</i> (10 <sup>2</sup> ) runs <i>O</i> (10) days
Readout test	~2000 runs ~30 days	~2700 runs ~55 days	<i>O</i> (10 <sup>2</sup> ) runs

DCS: Detector Control System DAQ: Data Acquisition QC: Quality Control

## DCS panel



## QC panel (Fake-hit rate)



# Commissioning - Overall Status (2/2)



### In-situ commissioning

- Standalone (April June 2021)
  - Similar shift configuration as the onsurface commissioning
  - Central system integration
  - Detector status monitoring + expert tests
  - Detector validated after the installation in the cavern
- Global (July December 2021)
  - Shifts organized by ALICE + ITS on-call shifts
  - Central system integration/benchmarking
  - Validation/finalization of the online data processing/monitoring chain
  - Detector alignment + calibration
  - Technical + physics (cosmics + pilot beams, etc) runs

## A cosmic track from the full IB



See Giulio Eulisse's talk: "O2/PDP: Preparation for data processing and analysis in LHC Run 3"

# Commissioning – Fake-hit rate

no mask

100 masked

500 masked

1000 masked

2000 masked

5000 masked

10 000 masked

0.09‰ pixels masked

150

Running the IB at a fake-hit rate below 10<sup>-10</sup>/pixel/event

IBT (111 MPixel), VBB=0V

(runs 101877-101965)



## **Outer Barrel:**

- Fake-hit rate runs using fixed settings
- Slight variations in the voltage applied to chips require 5-10 runs to detect all the hot pixels
- Masking the noisy pixels in each run, the average FHR reaches ~10<sup>-11</sup>/event/pixel for all staves over 23688 chips (12.4G pixels)



# EPS-2021 J. Liu

100

110

Set threshold [e]

120

130

140

90

Measurement performed on half IBs

Noisy pixels stay stable over time

**Inner Barrel:** 

10-5

10-6-

10-7

10-8

10<sup>-9</sup>

(10-10

10-1

80

ake-hit [/pixel/event]

seems feasible

Goal:  $< 10^{-6}$  /event/pixel

## Extremely quiet detector!

# Commissioning – Threshold

25

20

10

5

14

## Threshold

- Chip-wide adjustment of front-end parameters to optimize the charge thresholds
- Achieving uniform response across the detector
- Very satisfying threshold stability over time



LZT after turning								
9.8	9.9	9.6	9.7	. 9.8	9.9	9.9	10.0	9.9
9.9	9.8 ·	9.7	10.0	9.8	9.8	10.0	10.0	9.8
10.0	9.8	9.8	9.8	9.9	10.1	9.9	10.0	9.9
9.7	9.7	9.7	9.7	9.8	10.0	9.8	9.8	9.9
9.8	9.8	9.9	9.8	9.7	10.0	9.9	9.7	9.9
9.9	9.7	9.8	9.6	10.0	9.7	10.0	10.0	9.9
9.8	9.9	. 10.0	9.8	9.9	10.0	9.9	9.8	9.8
9.7	9.7	9.8	9.9	9.8	9.6	10.2	9.8	9.7
9.9	9.8	9.8	10.0	9.9	9.9	9.9	10.0	9.7
9.7	9.8	9.8	9.8	9.7	9.9	9.9	9.7	9.9

Column [px] EPS-2021 J. Liu

1023

0





30

25

5

# Commissioning – Reconstruction

Number



## Goals: study track and cluster parameters, alignment

- Excellent training for readout chain, raw-data decoding, geometry, calibration and cluster finding
- Fully reconstructed in March 2021
- IB and OB misalignment  $O(100 \mu m)$
- OB efficiency > 99.5% (see next slide)



**Decision value**: the vector product of the two vectors connecting the three clusters in the seed candidate



IB decision value

OB decision value

# Commissioning – Efficiency

# ALICE

## **Detection efficiency**

Efficiency

Efficiency (%)

Efficiency (%) 

 Cosmic tracks during the on-surface commissioning .

Efficiency >99.5% ( $\pm 0.3\%$ )  $\rightarrow$  requirement satisfied .



OB TOP

OB BOT

 $\delta\theta$  (degrees)

 $\delta\theta$  (degrees)

 $\delta\theta$  (degrees)

 $90 \,\delta\theta$  (degrees)

L3

L4

L5

L6

- Decision value < 0.1
- $\Delta \theta = 90^{\circ}, \, \delta \theta = 10^{\circ} \, (\text{~vertical tracks})$
- $\chi^2$  < 1 for fit to clusters



- Cosmic track candidate extrapolated onto the fourth layer
- Straight line fit to the clusters
- Refit the track by taking into account the clusters which lie < 0.2 cm away from the track





- ITS2, an all-pixel version based on MAPS, fully installed in the ALICE experiment as part of the ALICE upgrade in May 2021
- Commissioning in the laboratory completed in December 2020, shows excellent performance
- *In-situ* commissioning started from mid April (standalone + global commissioning)
- ALICE cavern closure expected in February 2022  $\rightarrow$  LHC Run 3
- A further upgrade of the ITS Inner Barrel (ITS3), based on TowerJazz CMOS 65 nm technology with bent wafer-scale stitched sensors, is approved for the LHC Long Shutdown 3 and R&D is on-going









# Backup

# ITS Upgrade Simulated Performance



## **Pointing resolution**

- x3 and x6 improvement in r $\phi$  and z for 0.5 GeV/c  $\pi$
- 40  $\mu m$  for 0.5 GeV/c  $\pi$



## **Standalone tracking efficiency**

- > 60% for 0.1 GeV/c  $\pi$
- > 95% for  $\pi$  with  $p_{\tau}$  > 0.3 GeV/c



# ALPIDE: ALICE PIxel DEtector





#### ALPIDE technology features:

- TowerJazz 180 nm CiS Process, full CMOS
- Deep P-well implementation available
- High resistivity epi-layer (>1 k $\Omega$ ·cm) p-type, thickness 25  $\mu$ m
- Smaller charge collection diode → lower capacitance → higher S/N
- Possibility of reverse biasing
- Substrate can be thinned down

#### Sensor specification:

- Pixel pitch 27  $\mu$ m x 29  $\mu$ m  $\rightarrow$  spatial resolution 5  $\mu$ m x 5  $\mu$ m
- Priority Encoder Readout
- Power: 40 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>
- Trigger rate: 100 kHz
- Integration time: < 10 μs
- Read out up to 1.2 Gbit/s
- Continuous or triggered read-out

# **ITS2** Barrels







- Hybrid Integrated Circuit (HIC): 9 sensors glued onto Al Flexible Printed Circuit (FPC)
- Wirebonds electrically connect FPC to chips
- Stave: a HIC glued onto cold plate and space frame
- Each sensor is read out individually

#### **Outer Barrel (OB):**

- OB HIC:
  - 7x2 sensors (2 rows) glued onto Cu FPC
  - Power delivered via 6 Al cross-cables soldered to the FPC
  - Data and control are transferred through 1 master chip per row
- OB stave:
  - 4x2 HICs (for ML) or 7x2 HICs (for OL) glued onto cold plate and space frame

# Commissioning – Cluster

## **Cluster shape**

- Hit position resolution depends on cluster shape
- Clusters become more elongated in the direction of track inclination
- Similar evolution of cluster shapes for both angles
- Slightly more pixels in the direction of  $\psi$  (pixels are shorter along columns)



