

# Study of the top quark electroweak production, including associated productions with vector bosons at CMS

Luka Lambrecht (Ghent University) for the CMS Collaboration

EPS-HEP2021: European Physical Society Conference on High Energy Physics July 26, 2021

#### Introduction: single top production





Will focus on single top results in these areas:

- first observation of tW in semileptonic channel.
- inclusive and differential tZq.
- differential t-channel single top.
- differential tW.

# tW in semileptonic channel



W



### Inclusive and differential tZq





Earlier tZq measurements by CMS and ATLAS [2-4]:  $\rightarrow$  precision: ±15%

proton PDFs via top quark-antiquark ratio.

impacted by FCNC or more generally in the SMEFT phenomenology [1].

ttZ- and WWZ couplings,

top quark polarization,



tZq is a probe for new physics:

sensitive to:

- 3 leptons (electrons or muons)
  - selection based on new lepton MVA.
- $\geq 2 \text{ jets } (p_T > 25 \text{ GeV}, |\eta| < 5), \geq 1 \text{ b-jet.}$
- Z boson candidate: OSSF lepton pair with  $|m_{Z} m_{II}| < 15$  GeV.
- top quark candidate and accompanying b jet: reconstructed analytically.
- recoiling jet: leading non-b-tagged jet, tends to be forward.
- background from nonprompt leptons estimated from data and uncertainty constrained in dedicated nonprompt control region.
- multiclass NN or BDT to distinguish tZq from backgrounds.

# **Inclusive and differential tZq**



1.6

1.8



#### Inclusive and differential tZq





- In general, observe good agreement between measurement and prediction.
- Compared to both 4FS and 5FS prediction.
- Uncertainties down to 15% for purely leptonic observables, down to 25% for observables including jets.
- Other variables: see public note (see references) or backup!
- Note: see also related talk <u>here</u>.
- EFT interpretation: see <u>TOP-21-001</u> and related talk <u>here</u>.

reference: TOP-20-010

# **Differential measurements of t-channel single top**



reference: TOP-17-023



# **Differential measurements of tW**





reference: TOP-19-003

Analysis in a nutshell:

- $\geq 2$  leptons,  $e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}$  final state, 1 b jet, 1 jet.
- main difficulty: overwhelming tt background.

unfolding: 
$$N_i^{\text{sig}} = N_i - N_i^{\text{bkg}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_i} R_{ij} N_j^{\text{sig, unf}}$$

solved using  $\chi^2$  minimization (TUnfold implementation).

 comparison with both Powheg and Madgraph predictions, and both DR and DS scheme (in Powheg).

#### **Results:**

- normalized differential cross-sections at particle level.
- observables:
  - p<sub>T</sub> of leading lepton and top quark
  - azimuthal angle between electron and muon
  - $p_z$  of electron-muon-jet system.
  - invariant mass of electron-muon-jet system.
  - $m_T$  of electron-muon-jet- $p_T^{miss}$ -system
- no appreciable deviations from SM predictions.
- dominant systematic uncertainties: jet energy corrections (on the tt background).

Summary







# Backup

#### single top (+ associated bosons) cross sections



figure from <u>here</u>

**GHENT** 

**UNIVERSITY** 

#### top quark mass measurements

















"Feynman diagrams for tW single top quark production at next-to-leading order that are removed from the signal definition in the DR scheme. The charge-conjugate modes are implicitly included."



Sampla	Muon channel				
Sample	3j	2j	4j		
tW	$26091\pm62$	$29772\pm 66$	$10580\pm40$		
tī	$272590\pm360$	$196690\pm300$	$184500\pm300$		
W+jets	$79800\pm1200$	$332300\pm3300$	$12000\pm330$		
QCD multijet	$67470\pm320$	$275130\pm700$	$10440 \pm 140$		
Single top	$15786\pm55$	$54930\pm100$	$4105\pm28$		
Z+jets	$7410\pm500$	$26450\pm970$	$2070\pm240$		
VV	$2850\pm160$	$7450\pm250$	$731\pm81$		
Total prediction	$472000\pm2700$	$922700\pm5700$	$224400\pm1200$		
Data	472540	923880	223720		

"The total number of events passing event selection in each defined jet topology region for the analysis and their associated statistical uncertainties. The event yields are given for the tW signal and all major backgrounds for both the muon (upper) and electron (lower) channels. The estimations of QCD multijet and W+jets backgrounds include data-based estimates."

Comple	Electron channel				
Sample	Зј	2j	4j		
tW	$15725\pm35$	$17453\pm37$	$6578\pm23$		
tī	$157780\pm200$	$111030\pm160$	$109259\pm160$		
W+jets	$63400\pm850$	$191000\pm1800$	$9610\pm250$		
QCD multijet	$15370\pm180$	$85080 \pm 410$	$5960\pm100$		
Single top	$8939\pm30$	$30223\pm54$	$2375\pm15$		
Z+jets	$7080\pm300$	$23830\pm590$	$1800\pm140$		
VV	$1645\pm85$	$4010\pm130$	$461\pm44$		
Total prediction	$269900\pm1700$	$462600\pm3200$	$136000\pm740$		
Data	270330	462930	136190		

GHENT UNIVERSITY

"Descriptions of the variables used to train and evaluate the BDT, ranked in order of importance in the final result. The same variables are used in both muon and electron channels."

#### Variable Description

Mass of the reconstructed Wboson decaying hadronically Invariant mass of the b-tagged jet and sub-leading non b-tagged jet Angular separation between the two non b-tagged jets Angular separation between the reconstructed leptonic Wboson and leading non b-tagged jet Transverse momentum of the selected lepton Energy of the two non b-tagged jets system Angular separation between the b-tagged jet and the selected lepton Transverse momentum of the system made of the three jets, lepton and  $p_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ 



Source	Relative uncertainty (%)
QCD normalization	7
W+jets normalization	6
Z+jets normalization	3
Single top normalization	1
t <del>t</del> normalization	1
VV normalization	< 1
JES	6
b-tagging	4
Luminosity	3
LES	2
Trigger	1
JER	1
Mistag	< 1
Unclustered MET	< 1
Pileup	< 1
h <sub>damp</sub>	4
DR/DS	3
MC tune	3
Colour reconnection	1
PDF	1
ME/PS matching	1
Final state radiation	< 1
Initial state radiation	< 1
Total systematic uncertainty	14
Statistical uncertainty	5
Total uncertainty	15

"Uncertainty in the signal strength from each source of systematic uncertainty for the combination of electron and muon channels. The table is divided between normalization, experimental and theoretical uncertainties. Uncertainties arising from the limited size of the MC samples are included in the statistical uncertainty."







tZq is a probe for new physics:

- sensitive to ttZ- and WWZ couplings.
- sensitive to top quark polarization.
- sensitive to proton PDFs via top quark-antiquark ratio.
- impacted by FCNC or more generally in the SMEFT phenomenology [1].



[1]: JHEP 10 (2018) 5, doi [2]: Phys. Lett. B 779 (2018) 358, doi

[3]: Phys. Rev. Lett. 122 (2019) 132003, doi





- 3 leptons (electrons or muons)
  - selection based on new lepton MVA.
- 1 OSSF pair compatible with Z boson mass within 15 GeV.
- $\geq$  2 jets ( $p_T$  > 25 GeV,  $|\eta| <$  5).
- < 4 central jets ( $|\eta|$  < 2.4 (2016) / < 2.5 (2017/2018)) (only in differential).
- $\ge$  1 b-jet (medium deepFlavor working point, central).

Z boson candidate:

- OSSF lepton pair with  $|m_Z m_{ll}| < 15$  GeV.
- top quark candidate and accompanying b jet
  - reconstructed analytically using W boson and top quark mass constraints.

recoiling jet

- non b-tagged jet with highest  $p_T$ .
- tends to be emitted in forward region of the detector.
- background from nonprompt leptons estimated from data and uncertainty constrained in dedicated nonprompt control region.
- discriminating features based on presence of a hard forward jet, presence of at least one b-jet, charge asymmetry of the top quark etc.
- combined into MVA (multiclass NN or BDT) to distinguish tZq from WZ, ttZ and others.





[1]: Phys. Lett. B 779 (2018) 358, doi

particle level

parton level



In general, observe good agreement between measurement and prediction.

**GHENT** 

**UNIVERSITY** 

- Compared to both 4FS and 5FS prediction.
- Uncertainties down to 15% for purely leptonic observables, down to 25% for observables including jets.



• In general, observe good agreement between measurement and prediction.

**GHENT** 

**UNIVERSITY** 

- Compared to both 4FS and 5FS prediction.
- Uncertainties down to 15% for purely leptonic observables, down to 25% for observables including jets.

parton level



• In general, observe good agreement between measurement and prediction.

**GHENT** 

**UNIVERSITY** 

- Compared to both 4FS and 5FS prediction.
- Uncertainties down to 15% for purely leptonic observables, down to 25% for observables including jets.

parton level



 In general, observe good agreement between measurement and prediction.

 $\widehat{\blacksquare}$ 

**GHENT** 

**UNIVERSITY** 

- Compared to both 4FS and 5FS prediction.
- Uncertainties down to 15% for purely leptonic observables, down to 25% for observables including jets.

particle level

parton level



- **GHENT UNIVERSITY**
- In general, observe good agreement between measurement and prediction.
- Compared to both 4FS and 5FS prediction.

100

100

Uncertainties down to 15% for purely leptonic observables, down to 25% for observables including jets.



• In general, observe good agreement between measurement and prediction.

**GHENT** 

**UNIVERSITY** 

- Compared to both 4FS and 5FS prediction.
- Uncertainties down to 15% for purely leptonic observables, down to 25% for observables including jets.

parton level

particle level



- IIIIII

   GHENT

   UNIVERSITY
- In general, observe good agreement between measurement and prediction.
- Compared to both 4FS and 5FS prediction.
- Uncertainties down to 15% for purely leptonic observables, down to 25% for observables including jets.





- In general, observe good agreement between measurement and prediction.
- Compared to both 4FS and 5FS prediction.
- Uncertainties down to 15% for purely leptonic observables, down to 25% for observables including jets.





- In general, observe good agreement between measurement and prediction.
- Compared to both 4FS and 5FS prediction.
- Uncertainties down to 15% for purely leptonic observables, down to 25% for observables including jets.





"Summary of the dominant systematic uncertainties affecting the inclusive tZq cross section measurement. The left column lists the sources of systematic uncertainty, treated as nuisance parameters in the fit, in order of importance. In the middle column, the black points with the horizontal bars show for each source the difference between the observed best-fit value ( $\theta$ ) and the nominal value ( $\theta_i$ ), divided by the expected standard deviation  $(\Delta \theta)$ . The right column plots the change in the tZq signal strength  $\mu$  if a nuisance parameter is varied one standard deviation up (red), or down (blue). The gray, red and blue bands display the same quantity as their corresponding markers, but using a simulated data set where all nuisance parameters are set to their expected values."

# EFT operators tZq/ttZ (TOP-21-001)



Introduction:

• Model-independent parametrization of physics beyond the standard model, in the form of additional operators added to the SM Lagrangian.

• Studied 5 operators that affect t-Z coupling:

 $O_{tZ}, O_{tW}, O_{\varphi Q}^{l}, O_{\varphi Q}^{-}, O_{\varphi t}$ 

Analysis in a nutshell:

- event selection targeted at ttZ, tZq and tW, similar to tZq analysis but including 4-lepton channel.
- parametrized reweighting of signal samples to access every relevant phase space point.
- innovative machine learning techniques: multiclass neural network to separate ttZ and tZq from backgrounds, binary neural networks to distinguish EFT.
- binned maximum likelihood fit with Wilson coefficients as POIs (1D scans, 2D scans, 5D fit).

**Results:** 

SM values for all Wilson coefficients within 95% confidence interval.



# EFT operators tZq/ttZ (TOP-21-001)



Source	$\mathcal{C}_{tZ}$	$\mathcal{C}_{tW}$	$c_{\varphi Q}^3$	$c_{\varphi Q}^{-}$
tZq normalization	< 0.1	< 0.1	1.2	0.1
ttZ normalization	0.6	< 0.1	0.4	37.2
tWZ normalization	0.1	0.1	< 0.1	0.7
Background normalizations	< 0.1	< 0.1	6.9	3.6
NPL background estimation	(1.4)	0.2	5.6	0.3
Jet energy scale	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.8	0.7
Jet energy resolution	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
$p_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
b tagging	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.9	2.0
Other (experimental)	< 0.1	< 0.1	1.6	0.8
Lepton identification and isolation	0.4	0.4	1.2	2.2
Theory	(2.1)	(1.1)	0.4	0.9

statistically

limited

0.4 0.9 0.9 systematically limited

 $C_{\varphi t}$ 

0.8

38

2.1

6.8

3.8

2.3

1.4

0.2

0.3

0.6

0.8

"Impacts from different groups of sources of systematic uncertainty on each individual WC. To estimate the impact of a given group, the corresponding sources of systematic uncertainty are excluded, the 1D fits to the data are repeated, and the reduction in the width of the confidence interval is quoted for each WC in %."





Motivation:

- top quark mass is important parameter of the standard model.
- most precisely measured in tt [1,2].
- measurement in t-channel single top provides complementary information (different phase space, different systematic effects).

Analysis in a nutshell:

- single lepton trigger, one isolated lepton with  $p_T > 26/35$  GeV (muon/electron).
- two jets with  $p_T > 40$  GeV and  $|\eta| < 4.7$ , of which one b-tagged jet.
- reconstructed transverse W boson mass > 50 GeV.
- top quark mass reconstructed analytically from lepton, b-tagged jet and  $\vec{p}_T^{miss}$ .
- BDT to purify signal region.







[2] Phys. Rev. D 93 (2016) 072004, doi











nonprompt background estimation from data:

- define sideband to signal region by requiring muon and • electron to fail tight identification requirements  $\rightarrow$ enriched in QCD.
- derive QCD templates in this sideband by subtracting • all non-QCD contributions (from MC simulation) from the data.
- calculate the normalization of these templates by fitting QCD template + non-QCD contributions (from MC simulation) to the data in the signal region, using transverse W boson mass.

#### figures:

200

"Figure 3: Postfit distributions of mtW for the muon (left) and electron (right) final state in the 2J0T (top) and 2J1T (bottom) event categories. The bands represent the postfit uncertainty on the mtW distribution predicted by the fit."







(a) ROC curve for the combined performance of the BDTs in muon and electron final states.

(b) Signal and background efficiencies + signal purity as a function of BDT selection threshold.

(c) Correlation study of BDT response to reconstructed top mass: comparison of normalized mt distribution without BDT cut (red) and after several BDT cuts (other colors).

(d) Uncertainties on the mass measurement as a function of BDT selection threshold (evaluated using pseudoexperiments with simulated events).

**CMS** Preliminary

I<sup>±</sup>, 2J1T





"Test of the linearity of the fit output for different values of true mt (left) and resulting offset correction derived as a function of the postfit mass (right) for events in the 2J1T category [...]. The shaded regions indicate ± 1 standard deviations about the central values defined by the red line."



Source		$\delta \mathrm{m}_{\ell^\pm}$	$\delta \mathrm{m}_{\ell^+}$	$\delta \mathrm{m}_{\ell^-}$
Statistical + profiled systematic		$\pm 0.32$	$\pm 0.37$	$\pm 0.58$
	Correlation Group Intercalibration	$\pm 0.09$	$\pm 0.07$	$\pm 0.12$
IEC	Correlation Group MPFInSitu	$\pm 0.02$	$\pm 0.02$	$\pm 0.01$
JE5	Correlation Group Uncorrelated	$\pm 0.39$	$\pm 0.17$	$\pm 0.83$
	total (quadrature sum)	$\pm 0.40$	$\pm 0.18$	$\pm 0.84$
JER		$< \pm 0.01$	$< \pm 0.01$	$< \pm 0.01$
Unclustered energy		$<\pm 0.01$	$<\pm 0.01$	$< \pm 0.01$
Muon efficiencies		$<\pm 0.01$	$<\pm 0.01$	$<\pm 0.01$
Electron efficiencies		$\pm 0.01$	$\pm 0.01$	$\pm 0.01$
Pileup		$\pm 0.14$	$\pm 0.04$	$\pm 0.34$
b tagging		$\pm 0.20$	$\pm 0.18$	$\pm 0.22$
QCD multijet background		$\pm 0.02$	$\pm 0.01$	$\pm 0.02$
Offset correction		$\pm 0.11$	$\pm 0.13$	$\pm 0.20$
Luminosity		$<\pm 0.01$	$<\pm 0.01$	$\pm 0.01$
CR model and ERD		$\pm 0.24(0.017)$	$\pm 0.39(0.027)$	$\pm 0.68 (0.048)$
	gluon	+0.52	+0.75	-0.03
	light quark (uds)	-0.18	+0.18	-0.23
Flavor-dependent JES	charm	+0.01	+0.08	+0.11
-	bottom	-0.48	-0.29	-0.31
	total (linear sum)	-0.13	+0.72	-0.46
	b frag. Bowler-Lund	$\pm 0.03$	$\pm 0.06$	$\pm 0.08$
h quark hadronization model	b frag. Peterson	+0.14	+0.11	+0.19
b quark nationization model	semileptonic B decays	$\pm 0.18$	$\pm 0.17$	$\pm 0.19$
	total (quadrature sum)	+0.23 -0.18	+0.21 -0.18	+0.28 -0.21
	ISR	$\pm 0.01$	$\pm 0.01$	$< \pm 0.01$
	FSR	$\pm 0.28$	$\pm 0.31$	$\pm 0.20$
Signal modeling	$\mu_{\rm R}/\mu_{\rm F}$ scale	$\pm 0.09$	$\pm 0.13$	$\pm 0.03$
	$PDF + \alpha_S$	$\pm 0.06$	$\pm 0.06$	$\pm 0.07$
	total (quadrature sum)	$\pm 0.30$	$\pm 0.34$	$\pm 0.21$
	ISR	$\pm 0.11 (0.008)$	$\pm 0.02(0.001)$	$\pm 0.22 (0.016)$
	FSR	$\pm 0.10(0.007)$	$\pm 0.14(0.010)$	$\pm 0.40 \ (0.028)$
	ME/PS matching scale	$\pm 0.10(0.007)$	$\pm 0.10(0.006)$	$\pm 0.10 (0.008)$
tt modeling	$\mu_{\rm R}/\mu_{\rm F}$ scale	$\pm 0.03$	$\pm 0.03$	$\pm 0.01$
tt modeling	$PDF + \alpha_S$	$<\pm 0.01$	$<\pm 0.01$	$<\pm 0.01$
	Top $p_{\rm T}$ - reweighting	-0.04	-0.08	-0.04
	Underlying event	$\pm 0.07  (0.005)$	$\pm 0.04(0.003)$	$\pm 0.17 (0.012)$
	total (quadrature sum)	$\pm 0.20$	+0.18 -0.20	$\pm 0.50$
	signal shape	$\pm 0.05$	$\pm 0.03$	$\pm 0.04$
Signal and background shares	Top bkg. shape	$\pm 0.07$	$\pm 0.04$	$\pm 0.05$
эндпагана васкующи знаре	EWK bkg. shape	$\pm 0.03$	$\pm 0.01$	$\pm 0.02$
	total (quadrature sum)	$\pm 0.09$	$\pm 0.05$	$\pm 0.07$
Total systematic		+0.69 -0.71	+0.97 -0.65	+1.32 -1.39
Grand total		+0.76 -0.77	+1.04 -0.75	$+1.44 \\ -1.51$

"Summary of systematic uncertainties in GeV corresponding to final state lepton charge inclusive and exclusive cases as discussed in Section 7. With the exception of the flavor-dependent JES sources, the total systematic uncertainty is obtained from the sum in quadrature of the individual systematic source. The statistical uncertainties on the systematic shifts are quoted within parentheses whenever alternative simulated samples with systematic variations have been used. These statistical uncertainties are determined from 1000 pseudoexperiments in each case."







#### Results:

- differential cross-sections at parton and particle level, absolute and normalized.
- observables:
  - $p_T$  and y of top quark
  - $p_T$  and y of lepton
  - $p_T$  of W boson
  - top quark polarization angle.
- differential  $\sigma_t / \sigma_{t+\bar{t}}$  distributions for the same observables.
- no appreciable deviations from standard model predictions.









42







43









100

........

250

200

Particle-level W pT (GeV)

150

0.9

0.8

0

50

### differential tW (TOP-19-003)





#### differential tW (TOP-19-003)









#### Appendix: explicit searches for new physics involving single top quarks

#### References:

- "Search for flavor-changing neutral current interactions of the top quark and the Higgs boson in the diphoton decay channel in proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s}$  = 13 TeV", <u>TOP-20-007</u>
- "Search for charged lepton flavor violation in top quark production and decay in proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV", <u>TOP-19-006</u>

# FCNC in t-H interactions (TOP-20-007)



Motivation:

- FCNC forbidden at tree-level in SM, but enhanced in many BSM scenarios.
- strongest enhancement for Higgs boson as mediator.

Analysis in a nutshell:

- signal samples with tHu or tHc vertex enabled.
- double-photon trigger.
- events with two photons satisfying BDT identification and 120 GeV <  $m_{\gamma\gamma}$  < 130 GeV.
- leptonic channel:  $\geq$  1 jet,  $\geq$  1 isolated lepton.
- hadronic channel: ≥ 3 jet, ≥ 1 b-tagged jet, no isolated leptons.

Fit strategy:

- BDTs against resonant background (real higgs) and nonresonant background.
- 14 regions based on BDT output scores.
- simultaneous fit for 95% modified frequentist confidence limit.

Results: no excess over background-only hypothesis,  $B(t \rightarrow Hu) < 1.9x10^{-4}, B(t \rightarrow Hc) < 7.3x10^{-4}$ (strongest limits up to now)



Events

S/(S+B) Weighted



# FCNC in t-H interactions (TOP-20-007)





"Distributions of BDT-NRB (left) and BDT-SMH (right) output used for the event categorization targeting t  $\rightarrow$  Hu FCNC interactions in the hadronic channel. The "Other" category includes contributions from ttZ, ttW, WW, WZ, ZZ, and t +  $\gamma$  + jets. Category boundaries are indicated with dotted lines. [...]"

# FCNC in t-H interactions (TOP-20-007)





# CLFV in top sector (TOP-19-006)



#### Motivation:

- CLFV allowed in SM via neutrino oscillations but heavily suppressed.
- Experimental hints of CLFV in B-sector → possibly also in top quark decays!



#### **Results:**

- no excess over background-only hypothesis.
- limits on branching fractions  $B(t \rightarrow e \mu u)$  and  $B(t \rightarrow e \mu c)$ , most restrictive bounds to date.

Analysis in a nutshell:

- combination of single e, single  $\mu$  and  $e\mu$  triggers.
- one electron and one muon with opposite charge.
- one b-tagged jet.
- BDT to separate signal from tt background.
- binned maximum likelihood fit on signal region +  $t\bar{t}$  control region.







# CLFV in top sector (TOP-19-006)



In the Warsaw basis of EFT operators, the ones that give rise to CLFV in top quark processes are:

simplified to:

# CLFV in top sector (TOP-19-006)



		~		
	Source	tīt (%)	CLFV signal	CLFV signal
			Decay (%)	Production (%)
•	Trigger	1.2	1.2	2.9
	Electron identification/isolation	1.6	1.6	3.9
	Muon identification/isolation	0.6	0.6	0.7
	Electron energy scale & resolution	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
	Muon momentum scale & resolution	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
	Jet energy scale & resolution	2.5	2.1	1.2
	b tagging	3.1	3.9	4.5
	Pileup	0.3	0.3	0.2
	ME scale	0.9	0.8	0.7
	ISR/FSR scale	1.5	2.9	1.9
	PDF	0.8	0.8	0.9
	UE tune	0.4		
	ME/PS matching	< 0.1		_
	Color reconnection	1.0		_
	MC statistical	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1

"Summary of representative systematic uncertainties on the selection efficiency for the  $tt^l$  process and for the signal processes: single top quark production and top quark decays via vector eµtu CLFV interactions in the signal and  $tt^l$  control regions. "



Vertex	Int.	Cross section [fb]		$C_{euta}/\Lambda^2$ [TeV <sup>-2</sup> ]		$\mathcal{B} imes 10^{-6}$	
	type	Exp.	Obs.	Exp.	Obs.	Exp.	Obs.
	Vector	7.02	6.78	0.12	0.12	0.14	0.13
		[5.33,10.21]		[0.10,0.14]		[0.11,0.20]	
		(3.39,12.33)		(0.08,0.16)		(0.07,0.24)	
eµtu	Scalar	5.63	6.25	0.23	0.24	0.06	0.07
·		[4.79,9.38]		[0.21,0.33]		[0.05,0.11]	
		(3.75,12.12)		(0.19,0.34)		(0.04, 0.14)	
	Tensor	10.01	9.18	0.07	0.06	0.27	0.25
		[7.51,15.90]		[0.06,0.09]		[0.20,0.43]	
		(4.59,19.24)		(0.04,0.09)		(0.12,0.52)	
	Vector	11.21	9.73	0.39	0.37	1.49	1.31
		[7.21,16.63]		[0.32,0.48]		[0.96,2.21]	
		(4.33,21.61)		(0.24,0.55)		(0.58,2.89)	
eµtc	Scalar	9.11	8.88	0.87	0.86	0.91	0.89
		[6.58,13.10]		[0.74, 1.04]		[0.65,1.31]	
		(3.54,17.41)		(0.54,1.21)		(0.35,1.74)	
	Tensor	21.02	17.22	0.24	0.21	3.16	2.59
		[16.52,29.21]		[0.21,0.28]		[2.48,4.41]	
		(10.51, 42.02)		(0.17,0.33)		(1.58, 6.32)	

"Expected/Observed upper limits on the signal cross sections (production + decay), CLFV Wilson coefficients, and top quark CLFV branching ratios are shown for all three years combined. For expected limits  $[-1\sigma,+1\sigma]$  and  $(-2\sigma,+2\sigma)$  ranges are shown."