Early galaxy formation and its implications for dark matter, 21cm cosmology and multi-messenger astronomy

### Pratika Dayal









#### The team in Groningen



### Key collaborators and collaborations

Rychard Bouwens: Leiden University, The Netherlands Volker Bromm: University of Texas at Austin, USA Marco Castellano: Observatory of Rome, Italy Benedetta Ciardi: Max Planck Institute for Astrophysics, Germany Tirthankar Choudhury: National Centre for Radio Astronomy, India James Dunlop: Institute for Astronomy, U.K. Andrea Ferrara: Scuola Normale Superiore, Italy Stefan Gottloeber: Leibniz institute for Astrophysics, Germany Hiroyuki Hirashita: ASIAA, Taiwan Umberto Maio: Leibniz institute for Astrophysics, Germany Antonella Maselli: University of Barcelona, Spain Andrei Mesinger: Scuola Normale Superiore, Italy Volker Mueller: Leibniz institute for Astrophysics, Germany Noam Libeskind: Leibniz institute for Astrophysics, Germany Fabio Pacucci: Harvard, USA Laura Pentericci: Observatory of Rome, Italy Elena Rossi: Leiden University, The Netherlands Catherine Trott: ICRAR, Perth, Australia Livia Vallini: Nordita, Stockholm, Sweden Marta Volonteri: Observatory of Paris, France Gustavo Yepes: Universita Autonoma di Madid, Spain...











## The outstanding challenges

• Hints on the (warm) nature of dark matter using early galaxies

• Hints on (warm) nature of dark matter using 21cm data

Early galaxies and GW events from LISA

Hints on the (warm) nature of dark matter using early galaxies and 21cm data

#### **Hierarchical structure formation in CDM**



# Lighter the WDM particle, more is the suppression of small scale structure



## Lighter the WDM particle, more is the suppression of small scale structure



#### Since the merger tree starts building up later in WDM models..



#### Since the merger tree starts building up later in WDM models..



#### .. it leads to a delayed assembly of stellar mass



#### Mass-to-light ratios are cosmology dependent!



Light WDM models show lower M/L ratios (i.e. more luminosity per unit stellar mass) compared to CDM

#### UV LFs in Cold versus Warm DM models



#### **UV LFs in Cold versus Warm DM models**



Including baryons (and SF) decreases the difference between CDM and 1.5 keV WDM models

# Observational imprints of light WDM particles: buildup of the cosmic stellar mass density



Redshift evolution of stellar mass density with JWST-detectable galaxies can allow constraints on WDM mass of about 2keV



PD+2015, ApJ, 806, 67; PD+2017, ApJ, 836, 16



Bowman et al., 2018, Nature, 555, 67

## 21cm signal redshift and amplitude scale with star formation rate density

$$\delta T_{b}(\nu) = \frac{T_{S}(z) - T_{\gamma}(z)}{1 + z} (1 - e^{-\tau}) \quad \text{where} \quad T_{S}^{-1} = \frac{T_{\gamma}^{-1} + x_{c}T_{k}^{-1} + x_{\alpha}T_{\alpha}^{-1}}{1 + x_{c} + x_{\alpha}},$$
Coupling coefficients:  $x_{c} = \frac{T_{*}}{A_{10}T_{\gamma}} \sum_{i=\text{H,e,p}} \kappa_{10}^{\text{H}-i}T_{k}n_{i}, \quad x_{\alpha} = 1.81 \times 10^{11}(1 + z)^{-1}S_{\alpha} \underbrace{\frac{J_{\alpha}}{\text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}\text{Hz}^{-1}\text{sr}^{-1}}_{\text{Cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}\text{Hz}^{-1}\text{sr}^{-1}}$ 
Gas kinetic temperature: 
$$\frac{dT_{k}}{dz} = \frac{2T_{k}}{1 + z} - \frac{2}{3H(z)(1 + z)} \sum_{i} \frac{\epsilon_{i}}{k_{B}n}.$$
Compton
$$\frac{2\epsilon_{comp}}{3nk_{B}} = \frac{\chi_{e}}{1 + \chi_{e} + f_{He}} \frac{8\sigma_{T}u_{\gamma}}{3m_{e}c} (T_{\gamma} - T_{k}) \quad \epsilon_{X} = 3.4 \times 10^{33} f_{h} f_{X} \underbrace{\frac{\dot{\rho}_{*}}{M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-3}}_{\text{M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-3}}.$$

Excess radio background: 
$$\epsilon_R(z) = f_R \times 10^{22} \times \frac{\dot{\rho}_*(z)}{M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-3}} \text{Js}^{-1} \text{Hz}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-3}$$

#### **Build-up of cosmic SFRD cosmology-dependent**



Galaxies assemble faster in light WDM models compared to CDM. This is because they start off bigger and are less feedback limited as a consequence.

PD+ 2015, ApJ, 806, 67

### Ruling out light (<3 keV) WDM using 21cm EDGES data



• Matching to amplitude of EDGES requires an excess radio background.

• The dearth of star formation at z>18 in <3 keV WDM modes allows them to be ruled out using EDGES data, even allowing X-ray heating and an excess radio background

Atrideb Chatterjee



Chatterjee, PD+2019, MNRAS, 487, 3560

## GWs from the first billion years

#### The theoretical framework: DELPHI



Two types of BH seeds - stellar (PopulationIII) and direct collapse black holes (based on strength of Lyman alpha background)

Two types of BH seeds - stellar (PopulationIII) and direct collapse black holes (based on strength of Lyman alpha background)

> Impact of reionization feedback in photoevaporating gas from low-mass halos and slowing black hole growth

Two types of BH seeds - stellar (PopulationIII) and direct collapse black holes (based on strength of Lyman alpha background)

> Impact of reionization feedback in photoevaporating gas from low-mass halos and slowing black hole growth

> > Black hole growth regulated by both halo mass and gas availability (due to the impact of Supernova and reionization feedback)

Two types of BH seeds - stellar (PopulationIII) and direct collapse black holes (based on strength of Lyman alpha background)

> Impact of reionization feedback in photoevaporating gas from low-mass halos and slowing black hole growth

> > Black hole growth regulated by both halo mass and gas availability (due to the impact of Supernova and reionization feedback)

> > > Impact of instantaneous versus (dynamically) delayed galaxy mergers on the black hole merger rate

#### Baselining the model against all available high-z data



#### Baselining the model against all available high-z data



#### The LISA-detectable GW event rate as function of mass



• LISA will preferentially detect BHs with mass  $M_{BH} \sim 10^{4-7} M_{\odot}$ . In *fiducial case (ins1) m*ost detectable mergers are those from SBH-SBH, followed by SBH-DCBH mergers. DCBH-DCBH mergers negligible.

• Although same mass range true for the *tdf4 model, d*ue to delayed mergers + reionization feedback, importance of DCBH-SBH mergers decreases. No detectable DCBH-DCBH mergers (with SNR>7).

#### The LISA-detectable GW event rate as function of mass



• LISA will preferentially detect BHs with mass  $M_{BH} \sim 10^{4-7} M_{\odot}$ . In *fiducial case (ins1) m*ost detectable mergers are those from SBH-SBH, followed by SBH-DCBH mergers. DCBH-DCBH mergers negligible.

• Although same mass range true for the *tdf4 model, d*ue to delayed mergers + reionization feedback, importance of DCBH-SBH mergers decreases. No detectable DCBH-DCBH mergers (with SNR>7).

- High-z Universe is being explored to ever greater depths, providing constraints on both global and individual galaxy properties.
- Galaxy assembly delayed in very light WDM models (e.g. 1.5 keV).
- Since halos start of bigger (and hence less feedback limited) in light WDM models, it leads to a change in global quantities (SMD) as well as M/L ratios for individual galaxies - can be constrained with JWST.
- Metal enrichment of the IGM delayed and slightly lower in light WDM models.
- Edges data rules out light (<3 keV) WDM models.
- LISA will preferentially detect BHs with mass  $M_{BH} \sim 10^{4-7} M_{\odot}$  at z>5.