

### CKM parameter measurement with semileptonic B<sub>s</sub> decays at LHCb



Anna Lupato

The University of Manchester

on behalf of the LHCb Collaboration



EPS-HEP Conference 2021, July 26-30,2021



## Measurement of $|V_{xb}|$

*Lнср* 

- The parameters of the CKM matrix must be constrained in order to
  - test the unitarity of the CKM matrix
  - precisely measure the amount of CP violation in the quark sector
  - $\rightarrow$  measurement of observables sensitive to the magnitudes of CKM matrix elements



- Measurements of  $|V_{_{xb}}|$  provide a crucial input for indirect searches of New Physics
- Discrepancy between exclusive and inclusive measurements:  $\approx 3\sigma$  tension
  - $\rightarrow$  new complementary measurements needed

## Measurement of $|V_{xb}|$

- $|V_{ub}|$  and  $|V_{cb}|$  measurement have been made using the semileptonic *b* hadron decays
  - The contributions to decay rate can be factorized into the weak and strong parts

     → The theoretical calculation are simplified;

$$\frac{d\Gamma(B_s \rightarrow H l\nu)}{dq^2} \propto G_F^2 |V_{xb}|^2 |f(q^2)^2$$

• Experimentally challenging



- Two main ways to measure  $|V_{_{ub}}|$  and  $|V_{_{cb}}|\text{:}$ 
  - Inclusive decays:
    - $B^+ \rightarrow X_c l\nu, B^0 \rightarrow X_u l\nu$
    - Focus on all final states
    - Need to know QCD correction to parton level decay rate
    - Operator Product Expansion in  $\alpha_{_{\rm S}}$  and  $\Lambda_{_{\rm QCD}}/m_{_{b,c}}$  predicts the total rate  $\Gamma_{_{\rm C}}$
    - Challenges: background contamination and model of shape functions
  - Exclusive decays (next slide)



### • Exclusive decays:

- Focus on a single final state
- Exclusive determinations rely on form factors (FF) to parameterize hadronic current as function of  $q^2 (\mu \nu \text{ invariant mass})$ 
  - Lattice QCD (LQCD) or QCD sum rules
  - Extracted in experimental measurement from data
- Challenges: possibly small signal yields and knowledge of form factors.
- Ground state hadrons in the final state are the golden modes for lattice QCD predictions and have the lowest theoretical uncertainties.
- $B \rightarrow D^*/Dl\nu, B \rightarrow \pi l\nu$
- $B_{_{\rm S}}$  decays are advantageous compared to  $B^{_0/\!+}$ 
  - Easier to calculate in LQCD due to heavier spectator quark  $\rightarrow$  more precise predictions
  - For the  $B_{_s} \to D_{_s} ^*:$  the zero-width approximation of the  $D_{_s} ^*$  should work better than the B case (no  $D\pi$  pollution)



- First observation of the decay  $B_s^0 \to K^-\mu^+\nu$  and a measurement of  $|V_{ub}|/|V_{cb}|$ [Phys. Rev. Lett. 126 081804]
- (Measurement of  $|V_{cb}|$  with  $B_s^{0} \rightarrow D_s^{*-}\mu^+\nu$  decays [Phys. Rev. D 101 072004])



- Dataset: pp collision events collected by LHCb experiment during 2012, 2 fb<sup>-1</sup> @ 8TeV
- Signal:  $B_s^0 \rightarrow K^-\mu^+\nu$
- Normalization:  $B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^- \mu^+ \nu$  where  $D_s^- \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^-$
- CKM extraction strategy:



- The  $|V_{_{ub}}|/|V_{_{cb}}|$  ratio is derived in two regions of  $q^2$  ( $\mu\nu$  invariant mass) to exploit different FF $_{_{K}}$  calculation method
  - Light cone sum rules (LCSR) @ low  $q^2$  ( $q^2 < 7 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4$ )
  - LQCD @ high  $q^2$  ( $q^2 > 7 \text{ GeV}^2/c^4$ )
- Normalization mode  $FF_{Ds}$  fully described by LQCD [Phys Rev D. 101 074513]





- Lattice QCD predictions provide a precise determination of the form factors at low recoil transfer (high q<sup>2</sup>) [Phys. Rev. D 90, 054506] [Phys. Rev. D 91, 074510] [Phys. Rev. D 100, 034501]
- Calculations from QCD light-cone sum rules are most precise at large recoil (low  $q^2$  ) [JHEP 08 (2017) 112]



## The backgrounds



- $B_s^0 \rightarrow K^- \mu^+ \nu$ 
  - main background originates from  $H_{b} \rightarrow H_{c}(\rightarrow K^{-}X)\mu^{+}X'$  (unreconstructed particles)
  - $B_s^0 \rightarrow K^{*-} (\rightarrow K^- \pi^0) \mu^+ \nu$
  - $B_s^0 \rightarrow [cc]^- (\rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) K^- X$
- $B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^- \mu^+ \nu$ 
  - $B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^{*} (\rightarrow D_s \gamma) \mu^+ \nu$
  - $B_s^{\ 0} \rightarrow D_s^{\ **-}\mu^+\nu$ ,  $B_{u,s,d} \rightarrow D_sDX$  and  $B_s^{\ 0} \rightarrow D_s^{\ *-}\tau^+\nu$
- To suppress background



- the candidates are required to be isolated from the other tracks in the event
- BDT classifiers exploit the kinematics of the decays
- The  $B_s^{\ 0}$  momentum can be calculated with a two fold ambiguity  $\rightarrow$  regression model that exploit the  $B_s$  flight information [JHEP 02 (2017) 021]
  - Ambiguity solved by selection the solution most consistent with the regression value
  - ε ≈ 70%



• The measured ratio is

$$R_{\rm BF} \equiv \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \to K^- \mu^+ \nu_{\mu})}{\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \to D_s^- \mu^+ \nu_{\mu})} = \frac{N_K}{N_{D_s}} \underbrace{\frac{\mathcal{E}_{D_s}}{\mathcal{E}_K}}_{\text{(Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2020, 083C01 (2020)]}} \\ \underset{\text{Efficiency}}{\text{Efficiency}}$$

• A binned maximum likelihood fit to the B<sub>s</sub> corrected mass

$$m_{\mathrm{corr}} = \sqrt{m^2(Y\mu) + p_{\perp}^2(Y\mu)} + p_{\perp}(Y\mu), \ Y = K^-, D_s^ B_s$$
 $\mu$ 
 $X\mu$ 
 $p_{\perp}$ 
 $p_{\perp}$ 
 $p_{\perp}$ 
 $p_{\perp}$ 
 $\nu_1$ 
 $\nu_2$ 
 $p_{\perp}$ 

- If only missing particle is a neutrino the corrected mass distribution will peak at the  ${\rm B}_{\rm s}$  mass
- the resolution on the corrected mass is significantly improved if one rejects events with a large corrected mass uncertainty (>100  $MeV/c^2$ )

### Signal and normalization fits [Phys. Rev. Lett. 126 081804]





 $B^0_s 
ightarrow D^-_s \mu^+ 
u_\mu$ 

- The largest systematical uncertainty is from the fit templates
- First observation of the decay  $B_s^0 \rightarrow K^-\mu^+\nu$



# $|\mathbf{V}_{ub}| / |\mathbf{V}_{cb}|$ results

Phys. Rev. Lett. 126 081804



• The obtained values are

$$rac{\mathcal{B}\left(B_{s}^{0}
ightarrow K^{-}\mu^{+}
u_{\mu}
ight)}{\mathcal{B}\left(B_{s}^{0}
ightarrow D_{s}^{-}\mu^{+}
u_{\mu}
ight)}=rac{\left|V_{ub}
ight|^{2}}{\left|V_{cb}
ight|^{2}} imesrac{\mathrm{FF}_{K}}{\mathrm{FF}_{D_{s}}} {}_{\mathrm{Theory}}$$

• q<sup>2</sup>>7 GeV<sup>2</sup>/c<sup>4</sup>:

• q<sup>2</sup><7 GeV<sup>2</sup>/c<sup>4</sup>

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \to K^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu)}{\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \to D_s^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu)} = 1.66 \pm 0.08(\textit{stat}) \pm 0.07(\textit{syst}) \pm 0.05(D_s) \times 10^{-3}$$
$$\frac{\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \to K^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu)}{\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \to D_s^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu)} = 3.25 \pm 0.21(\textit{stat})^{+0.16}_{-0.17}(\textit{syst}) \pm 0.09(D_s) \times 10^{-3}$$

 $|V_{ub}|/|V_{cb}|_{(\mathrm{low})} = \ 0.0607 \pm 0.0015(\mathrm{stat}) \pm 0.0013(\mathrm{syst}) \ \pm 0.0008(D_s) \pm 0.0030(\mathrm{FF})$ 

 $|V_{ub}|/|V_{cb}|_{( ext{high})} = \ 0.0946 \pm 0.0030( ext{stat})^{+0.0024}_{-0.0025}( ext{syst}) \pm 0.0013(D_s) \pm 0.0068( ext{FF})$ 





- First observation of the decay  $B_{s}{}^{0} \to K^{\cdot}\mu^{+}\nu$  and a measurement of  $|V_{ub}|/|V_{cb}|$  [Phys. Rev. Lett. 126 081804]
- (Measurement of  $|V_{cb}|$  with  $B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^{(*)} \mu^+ \nu$  decays [Phys. Rev. D 101 072004])





- Dataset: 1 fb<sup>-1</sup> @ 7TeV 2 fb<sup>-1</sup> @ 8TeV
- Signal:  $B_s^{\ 0} \rightarrow D_s^{\ (*)} \mu^+ \nu$  where  $D_s \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^-$
- Normalization:  $B^0 \rightarrow D^{(*)} \mu^+ \nu$
- Extract  $|V_{cb}|$  from  $\mathcal{R}^{(*)} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^{(*)} \mu^+ \nu_{\mu})}{\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow D^{(*)} \mu^+ \nu_{\mu})}$

external input: hadronization fractions  $f_s/f_d$  [PRD(2019)031102] and branching fraction[PDG]

- Use variable  $p_{\perp}(D_s)$ : high correlated with hadron recoil and fully recostructible

$$w = \frac{m_B^2 + m_D^2 - q^2}{2m_B m_D}$$









- 2-D template fit to  $M_{_{corr}}$  and  $p_{\perp}(D_{_{s}})$  identify the signal yields and provides a simultaneous measurement of the ratios R(\*) and the form factors
- Parametrizations used: CLN and BGL

• The results are



- $|V_{cb}|_{CLN} = (41.1 \pm 0.6(stat) \pm 0.9(syst) \pm 1.2(ext)) \times 10^{-3}$  $|V_{cb}|_{BGL} = (42.3 \pm 0.8(stat) \pm 0.9(syst) \pm 1.2(ext)) \times 10^{-3}$
- First measurement of  $|V_{cb}|$  using  $B_s$
- First measurement of  $|V_{\rm cb}|$  at an hadronic environment
- Compatible with world average for both inclusive and exclusive determinations
- Confirms trend that parametrisation is not responsible for inclusive vs exclusive disagreements
- New  $f_s/f_d \rightarrow new updated V_{cb}$  [arXiv:2103.06810]

 $egin{aligned} |V_{cb}|_{CLN} &= (40.8 \pm 0.6(stat) \pm 0.9(syst) \pm 1.1(ext)) imes 10^{-3} \ |V_{cb}|_{BGL} &= (41.7 \pm 0.8(stat) \pm 0.9(syst) \pm 1.1(ext)) imes 10^{-3} \end{aligned}$ 





- LHCb performed the first measurements of  $|V_{_{ub}}|$  and  $|V_{_{cb}}|$  using the  $B_{_s}{}^{_0}$  decays.
- The ratio  $|V_{ub}|/|V_{cb}|$  has been measured using the  $B_s^{0} \rightarrow K^-\mu^+\nu$  in two  $q^2$  bins:
  - Discrepancy found between low and high q<sup>2</sup> bins.
  - The ratio found in the high  $q^2$  bin is compatible with previous measurement
- Planned measurement of differential  $q^2$  spectrum of  $B_{_s}{}^{_0} \to K^\cdot\!\mu^+\nu$  with full Run 1 + Run 2 data
- The  $|V_{_{\rm cb}}|$  parameter has been measured using  $B_{_{\rm s}}{}^{_{0}} \rightarrow D_{_{\rm s}}{}^{(*)}{}^{_{-}}\mu^{+}\nu$ :
  - It results in agreement with previous exclusive and inclusive measurement from B decays
- Inclusive-Exclusive puzzle has to be understood
- Several other  $|V_{_{ub}}|$  and  $|V_{_{cb}}|$  analyses in the pipeline using  $B_{_c} \rightarrow D^{_{0(*)}}\mu\nu$  and  $B^+ \rightarrow \rho\mu\nu$  decays  $\rightarrow$  theoretical FF predictions needed