# Latest Oscillation Results from the Daya Bay Neutrino Experiment



Roberto Mandujano University of California, Irvine On Behalf of the Daya Bay Collaboration EPS-HEP 2021









- Daya Bay Experiment Overview
- Neutrino Oscillations
- Capture on Gd Oscillation Results
- Capture on H Oscillation Results
- Search for Sterile Neutrinos



# **Daya Bay Experiment**

- Eight antineutrino detectors (ADs) placed in three underground experimental halls (EHs)
- ADs placed at different baselines (~0.4-2 km) from six 2.9  $GW_{th}$ 
  - Among the most powerful nuclear power plant complexes in the world
- Strong, pure source of electron antineutrinos:  $\sim 6 \times 10^{20} \bar{\nu}_e / s$  per reactor
- Discovered non-zero  $heta_{13}$  in 2012





#### **Inverse Beta Decay Detection**

- $\bar{\nu}_e$  detected through Inverse Beta Decay (IBD):  $\bar{\nu}_e + p \rightarrow e^+ + n$
- Fast  $e^+$  ionization and annihilation with  $e^-$  provides prompt signal
  - $e^+$  preserves  $\bar{\nu}_e$  energy information:  $E_{\bar{\nu}_e} \approx E_{prompt} + 0.78 \text{MeV}$
- *n* gets captured on Gd (nGd) or on H (nH), de-excitation γ's provide delayed signal
- IBD signature is the time and space coincidence of the two signals: strong background rejection





## **Daya Bay Detectors**

- ADs consist of three cylindrical zones:
  - Gadolinium-doped liquid scintillator: target for nGd
  - Liquid Scintillator (LS): γ catcher and main target for nH
  - Mineral oil: buffer hosting PMTs
- Energy resolution of  $8.5 \% / \sqrt{E[MeV]}$
- Submerged in instrumented ultra pure water pools for muon veto and radiation shielding



NIM A 773, 8 (2015)





# **Neutrino Oscillations**



- Neutrinos change flavor state as a function of distance and energy
- Daya Bay only detects  $\bar{\nu}_e$ : oscillations appear as flux deficit
- Far hall baseline chosen to maximize effect driven by short baseline oscillation
- Relative near/far measurement greatly reduces impact of many systematic uncertainties



**Oscillations in Daya Bay** 



### **Improved Systematics**

- Absolute energy scale uncertainty halved with respect to previous result: now <0.5%</li>
- Improved detector non-linearity model
  - Strong neutron sources deployed in different areas of a near hall detector in a special calibration campaign
- Uncertainty in <sup>9</sup>Li/<sup>8</sup>He background reduced
  - Dominant correlated background:  $\beta n$  decays indistinguishable from IBD
  - Prompt energy cut applied for a more pure sample of <sup>9</sup>Li/<sup>8</sup>He



PRL 121, 241805 (2018)



R. Mandujano - UCI

#### **Results with nGd**

- Latest results from 1958 days of data with improved systematics
- 3.9 million antineutrino events collected: largest reactor antineutrino dataset in the world
- Consistent with 3- $\nu$  hypothesis:  $\chi^2/\mathrm{ndf} = 148/154$







# nGd Oscillations Results

- Measurement of  $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$  to 3.4% precision
  - Most precise measurement of  $\theta_{13}$ : still slightly statistics dominated!
- Measurement of  $|\Delta m_{32}^2|$  to 2.8% precision, comparable with accelerator experiments



NO= Normal Ordering IO = Inverted Ordering

 $\sin^2 2\theta_{13} = 0.0856 \pm 0.0029 \qquad \Delta m_{32}^2 = (2.471^{+0.068}_{-0.070}) \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2 \text{ (NO)}$  $\Delta m_{ee}^2 = (2.552^{+0.068}_{-0.070}) \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2 \ \Delta m_{32}^2 = -(2.575^{+0.068}_{-0.070}) \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2 \text{ (IO)}$ 



# nH Oscillations Results

- Latest nH results from 621 days of data
- Statistically distinct sample with largely uncorrelated systematics to those of nGd, providing a nearly independent result
- Unique challenge due to larger background at lower energy
- Rate-only analysis: new rate+shape analysis in progress, anticipated to be released soon

• 
$$\sin^2 2\theta_{13} = 0.071 \pm 0.011$$



#### PRD 93, 072011 (2016)



# **Sterile Neutrinos and Reactors**

Phys. Rev. D 100, 052004 (2019) Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 221801 (2018) Sterile neutrino is a proposed 4th Data/prediction Data (stat err vents/Me neutrino that does not interact from K from K via Standard Model forces dirt othe Constr. Syst. Error Previous data 0.8 Dava Bav World average 1-σ Exp. Unc. Sterile neutrino searches at short //// 1-σ Flux Unc. baselines motivated by various  $10^{2}$  $10^{3}$ 10 Distance [m] E<sup>QE</sup> (GeV) anomalous measurements PRL 125, 071801 (2020) Unc. of 3v prediction EH<sub>2</sub> Data 1.1 (Measured) / (Expected from EH1) Active neutrinos mixing with sterile would present as an  $\Delta m_{41}^2 = 4x10^{-3} eV^2 \dots \Delta m_{41}^2 = 4x10^{-2} eV^2$ additional oscillation distortion 0.9  $\sin^2 2\theta_{11} = 0.05$  assumed EH3 1.1  $P_{\overline{\nu}_e \to \overline{\nu}_e} \approx 1 - \sin^2 2\theta_{13} \sin^2 \frac{\Delta m_{31}^2 L}{4E} - \sin^2 2\theta_{14} \sin^2 \frac{\Delta m_{41}^2 L}{4E}$ 0.9 2 7 3 5 6 4 8 1 Prompt Energy (MeV)



# **Sterile Neutrinos at Daya Bay**

- Relative measurement of rate and shape at different halls assuming 3+1 neutrino model
- $heta_{13}, heta_{14}$  and  $\Delta m^2_{41}$  taken as free parameters
- Gaussian CLs and Feldman-Cousins methods used to set limits in  $(\sin^2 2\theta_{14}, \Delta m_{41}^2)$  space
- No significant signal observed: world-leading limits set for  $|\Delta m_{41}^2| \leq 0.2 \text{eV}^2$





# **Joint Sterile Neutrino Search**

- Joined forces with Bugey-3 and MINOS/ **MINOS+** experiments
  - Combination with Bugey-3 allows to set limits on greater range of  $\Delta m^2_{41}$
  - Combination with MINOS/MINOS+ allows to probe excess of  $\nu_e, \bar{\nu}_e$  measured by LSND and MiniBooNE experiments

$$P^{SBL}_{\substack{(-) \ \nu_{\mu} \to \nu_{e}}} = 4|U_{e4}|^{2}|U_{\mu4}|^{2}\sin^{2}\left(\frac{\Delta m_{41}^{2}L}{4E}\right)$$

where:  $4|U_{e4}|^2|U_{\mu4}|^2 = \sin^2 2\theta_{14} \sin^2 \theta_{24} \equiv \sin^2 2\theta_{\mu e}$ 

Constrained by  $\overline{\nu}_{\rho}$ disappearance (Daya Bay and Bugey-3)

- LSND and MiniBooNE  $\Delta m_{41}^2 \sin^2 2\theta_{\mu e}$ parameter space excluded at 90% C.L. for  $\Delta m_{41}^2 < 13 \text{ eV}^2$
- World-leading limits on  $\theta_{\mu e}$  over 5 orders of magnitude on  $\Delta m^2_{41}$





#### Summary

 Daya Bay has the leading measurement of reactor antineutrino disappearance at short-baselines

$$\sin^{2}2\theta_{13} = 0.0856 \pm 0.0029$$
$$\Delta m_{32}^{2} = (2.471^{+0.068}_{-0.070}) \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^{2} \text{ (NO)}$$
$$\Delta m_{32}^{2} = -(2.575^{+0.068}_{-0.070}) \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^{2} \text{ (IO)}$$

- Independent oscillation analysis using neutron capture on hydrogen performed, with updated result in preparation
- Search for sterile neutrinos in conjunction with Bugey-3 and MINOS/MINOS+ found no significant signal and set stringent limits over 5 orders of magnitude in  $\Delta m^2_{41}$
- Data-taking ended in Dec. 2020: final analyses by 2022



- Poster 738: Joint Determination of Reactor Antineutrino Spectra from  $^{235}U$  and  $^{239}Pu$  Fission using the Daya Bay and PROSPECT Experiments (J. Hu)
- Poster 804: Sterile Neutrinos in Daya Bay (O. Dalager)
- Poster 916: Daya Bay Reactor Neutrino Flux and Spectrum Measurement (R. Zhao and Y. Yang)

Stay Tuned and check out our posters!



$$P_{ee} = 1 - \sin^2 2\theta_{13} \sin^2 (\Delta m_{ee}^2 \frac{L}{4E}) - \cos^4 \theta_{13} \sin^2 2\theta_{12} \sin^2 (\Delta m_{21}^2 \frac{L}{4E})$$

- Dominant oscillation frequency at short baselines
- Model-independent parameter: invariant with mass ordering
- Independent fit from fundamental oscillation parameters: Daya Bay measures both