# Higgs boson couplings at muon collider

Laura Buonincontri <sup>2,1</sup> Donatella Lucchesi <sup>1,2</sup> Nazar Bartosik <sup>4</sup> Lorenzo Sestini <sup>2</sup> Massimo Casarsa <sup>3</sup> Alessio Gianelle <sup>2</sup> Paolo Andreetto <sup>2</sup>

 $^{1}$  University of Padova  $^{2}$  INFN Padova  $^{3}$  INFN Trieste  $^{4}$  INFN Torino on behalf of the Muon Collider Physics and Detectors working group \*





# Why a muon collider

- Lepton colliders allow to exploit the full energy available in the center of mass
- Technologies to reach high energies in  $e^+ e^-$  collisions require linear colliders due to synchrotron radiation loss in circular colliders
- Muon collider: above  $\sqrt{s} = 2$  TeV is expected to be the most energy-efficient choice to reach high energies (very low radiation losses)



- $\bullet$  Formed the International Muon Collider Collaboration\*: focus on 3 and 10+ TeV
- See Daniel Schulte's\* talk tomorrow

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三日 のへで

## Higgs couplings at muon collider



 At multi-TeV √s μ<sup>+</sup>μ<sup>-</sup> → Hνν̄, μ<sup>+</sup>μ<sup>-</sup> → HHνν̄ and μ<sup>+</sup>μ<sup>-</sup> → HHHνν̄ events mainly produced via WW fusion

• First Higgs physics comparison in The muon smasher's guide\* to Higgs Boson Studies at Future Particle Colliders\*.  $L = 10 \ ab^{-1}$ . Beam-induced background (BIB) not included!

κ-0	HL-LHC	LHeC	HE	LHC		ILC			CLIC	;	CEPC	FC	C-ee	FCC-ee/	$\mu^+\mu^-$
fit			S2	S2'	250	500	1000	380	1500	3000		240	365	eh/hh	10000
$\kappa_W$ [%]	1.7	0.75	1.4	0.98	1.8	0.29	0.24	0.86	0.16	0.11	1.3	1.3	0.43	0.14	0.06
$\kappa_Z~[\%]$	1.5	1.2	1.3	0.9	0.29	0.23	0.22	0.5	0.26	0.23	0.14	0.20	0.17	0.12	0.23
$\kappa_g~[\%]$	2.3	3.6	1.9	1.2	2.3	0.97	0.66	2.5	1.3	0.9	1.5	1.7	1.0	0.49	0.15
$\kappa_{\gamma}$ [%]	1.9	7.6	1.6	1.2	6.7	3.4	1.9	98*	5.0	2.2	3.7	4.7	3.9	0.29	0.64
$\kappa_{Z\gamma}$ [%]	10.	-	5.7	3.8	$99\star$	$86\star$	$85\star$	$120 \star$	15	6.9	8.2	$81\star$	$75\star$	0.69	1.0
$\kappa_c~[\%]$	-	4.1	-	-	2.5	1.3	0.9	4.3	1.8	1.4	2.2	1.8	1.3	0.95	0.89
$\kappa_t~[\%]$	3.3	-	2.8	1.7	-	6.9	1.6	-	-	2.7	-	-	-	1.0	6.0
$\kappa_b \; [\%]$	3.6	2.1	3.2	2.3	1.8	0.58	0.48	1.9	0.46	0.37	1.2	1.3	0.67	0.43	0.16
$\kappa_{\mu}$ [%]	4.6	-	2.5	1.7	15	9.4	6.2	$320 \star$	13	5.8	8.9	10	8.9	0.41	2.0
$\kappa_{\tau}$ [%]	1.9	3.3	1.5	1.1	1.9	0.70	0.57	3.0	1.3	0.88	1.3	1.4	0.73	0.44	0.31

Laura Buonincontri (1)

표 에 포 크

#### Higgs potential

After the electroweak symmetry breaking, Higgs potential:

$$V = \frac{1}{2}m_h^2h^2 + \lambda_{SM}(1+\delta\kappa_3)vh^3 + \frac{\lambda_{SM}}{4}(1+\delta\kappa_4)h^4 \qquad \lambda_{SM} = \frac{m_H^2}{2v^2}$$

E [TeV]	£ [ab-1]	N <sub>rec</sub>	$\delta\sigma \sim N_{\rm rec}^{-1/2}$	δκ3
3	5	170	~ 7.5%	~ 10%
10	10	620	~ 4%	~ 5%
14	20	1340	~ 2.7%	~ 3.5%
30	90	6'300	~ 1.2%	~ 1.5%

Reach on Higgs trilinear coupling:  $hh \rightarrow 4b$ 

B, Franceschini, Wulzer 2012.11555 Costantini et al. 2005.10289 Han et al. 2008 12204



• At 14 TeV, with 33  $ab^{-1}$ , possible to measure  $\delta \kappa_4$  with an uncertainty of 50%. In this talk, results on full simulation with BIB at  $\sqrt{s} = 1.5$  TeV included:

• State of the art on studies of  $\mu^+\mu^- \rightarrow H\nu\bar{\nu} \rightarrow b\bar{b}\nu\bar{\nu}$  at  $\sqrt{s} = 3$  TeV

• First results on the  $\mu^+\mu^- \rightarrow HH\nu\bar{\nu} \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}\nu\bar{\nu}$  at  $\sqrt{s} = 3$  TeV

More studies:

- Studies ongoing on  $H \rightarrow WW$  and  $H \rightarrow cc$  and  $H \rightarrow bb$  samples
- Prospects for  $\sigma_H \times Br(H \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$  at 3 TeV see poster \* by A. Montella
- Dark-SUSY channels to study muon reconstruction performance at the Muon Collider \*, poster by C. Aimè

# Detector challenges

- Beam-Induced Background caused mainly by  $\mu$  beam decays (at  $\sqrt{s}{=}3$  TeV  $2\times10^5$  muon decay/m per bunch crossing)
- BIB may affect detector performance if mitigation strategies are not applied, like:
  - Shielding inside detector
  - Proper detector design
- Machine-Detector Interface and BIB studied at  $\sqrt{s} = 1.5$  TeV (see arXiv:2105.09116):



- Some studies in progress at  $\sqrt{s} = 3$  TeV, lower BIB level seems achievable
- Full simulation detector studies performed including BIB.

## Detector

- Posters on physics objects reconstruction in BIB environment:
  - Tracking and track reconstruction at a muon collider in the presence of beam-induced background\*, poster by H. Weber
  - Using cluster kinematics for beam-background suppression in a future muon collider experiment\*, poster by E. Resseguie
  - Muon reconstruction performance and detector-design considerations for a Muon Collider\*, talk by I. Vai
- ILCSoftware framework is used for the full simulation and reconstruction.
- Detector design is used for 3 TeV center of mass energy (nozzles still optimized for 1.5 TeV):



• New proposal for the ECAL: Design a calorimeter system for a Muon Collider\*, poster by L. Sestini a C

## Jet reconstruction and b tagging efficiencies

- Jet reconstruction efficiency estimated on  $b\bar{b}$ -dijet + BIB events:
  - Average energy deposited by BIB in calorimeter cells subtracted as an underlying event.
  - Preliminary reconstruction based on cone algorithm is used



- $\bullet$  b-jets tagging efficiency:  $\sim$  55%, light quarks mis-identification between 0.2% and  $\sim$  10% at high  $p_T$
- Machine Learning technique to exploit full jet information for jet identification under development



문제 소문제 문법

# $\mu^+\mu^- ightarrow H u ar u ightarrow b ar b u ar u$ at $\sqrt{s} = 3$ TeV

- In 2020 JINST 15 P05001  $\mu^+\mu^- \to H \nu \bar{\nu} \to b \bar{b} \nu \bar{\nu}$  at 1.5 TeV with BIB
- Efficiencies obtained at  $\sqrt{s}=1.5$  TeV applied to the 3 TeV case
- 4 Snowmass years of data taking

	$\sqrt{s}$ [TeV]	$\mathcal{L}_{int}$ [ab <sup>-1</sup> ]	$\frac{\Delta g_{Hbb}}{g_{Hbb}}$ [%]
	1.5	0.5	1.9
Muon Collider	3.0	1.3	1.0
	0.35	0.5	3.0
CLIC	1.4	+1.5	1.0
	3.0	+2.0	0.9

- Reference for CLIC: arxiv:1608.07538
- $\bullet\,$  Efficiencies: two b-tagged jets with  $p_T>40$  GeV,  $|\eta|<2.5$  were selected
- In progress: evaluation at 3 TeV  $\mu^+\mu^- \rightarrow H\nu\bar{\nu} \rightarrow b\bar{b}\nu\bar{\nu}$  via full simulation, jet reconstruction down to 20 GeV  $\rightarrow$  increase efficiency

# $\mu^+\mu^- \rightarrow HH\nu\bar{\nu} \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}\nu\bar{\nu}$ : some considerations

ullet Signal and physics backgrounds at  $\sqrt{s}{=}3$  TeV generated with WHIZARD

Signal	Cross section [fb]
$\mu^+\mu^-  ightarrow HH  u ar{ u}$	0.8
Physics background	Cross section [fb]
$\mu^+\mu^-  o bar{b}bar{b} uar{ u}$	3.3
$\mu^+\mu^-  o bar{b} H  uar{ u}$	1.7
(signal included)	



- The simulation is performed without the BIB, but
- b-tagging efficiency in presence of the BIB are used to weight events
- One jet for each pair is required to be tagged: processes with jets in the final states different from the *b* quark are negligible
- Reconstruction performed under conservative assumption (jet reconstruction with BIB still under optimization)

# Studies of double Higgs

- Event selection:  $N_{jets}$  >3 and minimum transverse momentum  $p_T$  > 20 GeV
- Jets paired by minimizing the figure of merit:  $M = \sqrt{(m_{ii} m_H)^2 + (m_{kl} m_H)^2}$
- Selection of the kinematic variables used to distinguish the signal  $(\mu^+\mu^- \to HH\nu\bar{\nu} \to b\bar{b}b\bar{b}\nu\bar{\nu})$  and the physics background  $(\mu^+\mu^- \to b\bar{b}b\bar{b}\nu\bar{\nu})$ :  $m_{H_1}, m_{H_2}$ ,  $\sum E_{jets}$ , max jet  $P_T$  for each pair,  $\Delta \theta_{max}$ .



10/14

#### HH cross section measurement

- Classification of signal and background events by using a Machine Learning technique (Boosted Decision Tree)
- With 1.3 *ab*<sup>-1</sup> (4 years of data taking) at 3 TeV we expect to select 65 HH events and 561 background events.
- $\bullet\,$  With a simple fit to the BDT an uncertainty of  $\sim$  30% on the cross section has been obtained.



11/14

#### Toward trilinear coupling measurement

• Generation with WHIZARD and simulation of HH events just with the process mediated by the trilinear coupling



 By comparing HH from trilinear vs total HH it is possible to see differences in angular observables.



#### Toward trilinear coupling measurement

- Two Multi Layer Perceptron (MLP) discriminators are trained to separate HH-trilinear vs HH and HH vs background µ<sup>+</sup>µ<sup>−</sup> → bbbbvv̄.
- Set of  $\mu^+\mu^- \rightarrow HH\nu\bar{\nu} \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}\nu\bar{\nu}$  samples generated with WHIZARD Monte Carlo for different  $\kappa = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{SM}} = (0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0, 1.2, 1.4, 1.6)$
- Binned likelihood analysis based on pseudo-experiments gives: uncertainty on  $\lambda_3/\lambda_{SM}$   $\sim$  20% at 68 % Confidence Level



# Conclusions and future plans

- ullet Muon Collider performs very well on Higgs physics  $\rightarrow$  see The muon smasher's guide\*
- Theoretical expectations are under confirmation with full simulation
- At 3 TeV, conservative studies show that muon collider performs as well as  $e^+e^-$  on  $H\to bb,~H\to\mu^+\mu^-$  and  $HH\to 4b$
- Studies with full simulation on  $H \rightarrow WW$ ,  $H \rightarrow bb$ ,  $H \rightarrow ZZ$  are in progress.
- Physics objects reconstruction algorithms exploiting AI methods are under development

The International Muon Collider Collaboration aims to fully study the Higgs at 3 TeV and then at 10 TeV for the full Higgs potential determination

# Luminosity muon collider



# Luminosity muon collider

#### Tentative Target for Long-Term Timeline to asses when 3 TeV could be realised



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ヨ▶ ◆ヨ▶ ヨヨ シスペ

# Luminosity Goals

Tentative tar Scaled from	rget paramet MAP parame	Comparison: CLIC at 3 TeV: 28 MW			
Parameter	Unit	3 TeV	10 TeV	14 TeV	
L	10 <sup>34</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	1.8	20	40	
Ν	1012	2.2	1.8	1.8	
f <sub>r</sub>	Hz	5	5	5	
P <sub>beam</sub>	MW	5.3	14.4	20	
С	km	4.5	10	14	
<b></b>	т	7	10.5	10.5	
ε	MeV m	7.5	7.5	7.5	
σ <sub>E</sub> / E	%	0.1	0.1	0.1	
σ₂	mm	5	1.5	1.07	
β	mm	5	1.5	1.07	
З	μm	25	25	25	
σ <sub>x,y</sub>	μm	3.0	0.9	0.63	

#### Additional variables for the uncertainty on the HH cross section analysis



- ▲ 문 ▲ 문 ▶ ▲ 문 ▲ ∽ ۹ ↔

#### Variables for the uncertainty on the trilinear coupling analysis





▶ < ∃ >

#### Variables for the uncertainty on the trilinear coupling analysis (II)



#### Variables for the uncertainty on the trilinear coupling analysis (III)



- ▲ 문 ▶ ▲ 문 ▶ ▲ 문 ▶ ● 오 ●





17/04/2021

Energy deposition in calorimeters per bunch crossing

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三日 のの()

- BIB is diffused in the calorimeters: at the ECAL barrel surface the flux is 300 particles/cm<sup>2</sup>, most of them are photons with <E>=1.7 MeV.
- BIB occupancy is lower in HCAL with respect to ECAL.

Lorenzo Sestini

BIB on ECAL from Lorenzo Sestini's talk at APS April 17-20 Meeting 2021

# **BIB subtraction in ECAL for jet reconstruction**

- ECAL is divided in (θ,d) regions: θ angle wrt z-axis, d distance wrt beam axis.
- In each region the average BIB hit energy  $E_{BIB}$  and standard deviation  $\sigma_{BIB}$  is determined.
- In signal+BIB reconstruction an ECAL hit is accepted if E<sub>HIT</sub>> E<sub>BIB</sub> +2σ<sub>BIB</sub>.
- The energy of the accepted hit is corrected:  $E_{\text{HIT}} \rightarrow E_{\text{HIT}} E_{\text{BIB}}.$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆∃▶ ◆∃▶ ∃|∃ ◇◇◇

BIB on ECAL from Lorenzo Sestini's talk at APS April 17-20 Meeting 2021

# Full jet reconstruction algorithm

- To recover the jet energy, we should perform the full reconstruction with tracking+calorimeters.
- In order to reduce the tracking combinatorial problem, a regional tracking strategy is employed.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三日 のの()

# Cross section HH different couplings



Laura Buonincontri (1)