Imperial College London



Measurements of Higgs boson cross sections and differential distributions in bosonic final states at the CMS experiment

J. Langford

On behalf of the CMS Collaboration

EPS 2021

Introduction

• Bosonic final states provide clean signatures for precision measurements



- Measured four dominant production modes with $>5\sigma$ sensitivity
 - wealth of Run 2 data permits measurements in different kinematic regions
 - \Rightarrow sensitive to new physics affecting shape of Higgs boson distributions
 - \Rightarrow STXS vs fiducial differential \frown Back-up
 - + rarer processes e.g. single-top production (tH)
- Most recent cross section measurements by CMS in bosonic final states
 - ▶ all based on 137 fb⁻¹ of p-p collision data collected during Run 2 (2016-2018)
 - signal strengths, κ 's etc covered in talk by Ulascan



$H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$: overview

- \bullet Small branching fraction: ${\sim}0.2\%$
- Clean, fully-reconstructed final state of two isolated photons
 - benefit from excellent diphoton mass resolution: 1-2%
- Measure cross sections in kinematics bins of the **STXS** framework (stage 1.2)
 - ► sensitive to all major Higgs production modes: ggH, VBF + VH had, VH lep, ttH, tH
 - $\sigma \cdot \mathcal{B}$ extracted in fit to diphoton invariant mass spectrum $(m_{\gamma\gamma})$



$H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$: analysis strategy

- Construct orthogonal event categories enriched in events from kinematic bins
 - Isolate events from given H production mode: ggH, VBF, VH, ttH, tH ⇒ requires tagging on additional objects: jets, charged leptons, MET
 - Split events into kinematic regions. Either...
 - \Rightarrow aligning cuts on equivalent reco-level quantities e.g. $p_T^{\gamma\gamma} \Leftrightarrow p_T^H$
 - \Rightarrow ggH: multiclass BDT to predict kinematic bin \Rightarrow reduces correlations!
 - Surplus Further improve S-vs-B discrimination with dedicated BDTs/DNNs



$H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$: analysis strategy

- Construct orthogonal event categories enriched in events from kinematic bins
 - Isolate events from given H production mode: ggH, VBF, VH, ttH, tH ⇒ requires tagging on additional objects: jets, charged leptons, MET
 - Split events into kinematic regions. Either...
 - \Rightarrow aligning cuts on equivalent reco-level quantities e.g. $p_T^{\gamma\gamma} \Leftrightarrow p_T^H$
 - \Rightarrow ggH: multiclass BDT to predict kinematic bin \Rightarrow reduces correlations!
 - **9** Further improve S-vs-B discrimination with dedicated BDTs/DNNs



${\rm H}{\rightarrow}\,\gamma\gamma$: analysis strategy

- Construct orthogonal event categories enriched in events from kinematic bins
 - Isolate events from given H production mode: ggH, VBF, VH, ttH, tH ⇒ requires tagging on additional objects: jets, charged leptons, MET
 - Split events into kinematic regions. Either...
 - \Rightarrow aligning cuts on equivalent reco-level quantities e.g. $p_T^{\gamma\gamma} \Leftrightarrow p_T^H$
 - \Rightarrow ggH: multiclass BDT to predict kinematic bin \Rightarrow reduces correlations!
 - **9** Further improve S-vs-B discrimination with dedicated BDTs/DNNs



* bad data/MC agreement does not matter: bkg modeled directly from data

${\rm H}{\rightarrow}\,\gamma\gamma$: analysis strategy

- Construct orthogonal event categories enriched in events from kinematic bins
 - Isolate events from given H production mode: ggH, VBF, VH, ttH, tH ⇒ requires tagging on additional objects: jets, charged leptons, MET
 - Split events into kinematic regions. Either...
 - \Rightarrow aligning cuts on equivalent reco-level quantities e.g. $p_T^{\gamma\gamma} \Leftrightarrow p_T^H$
 - \Rightarrow ggH: multiclass BDT to predict kinematic bin \Rightarrow reduces correlations!
 - S Further improve S-vs-B discrimination with dedicated BDTs/DNNs



* bad data/MC agreement does not matter: bkg modeled directly from data



CMS Experiment at the LHC, CERN Data recorded: 2018-Aug-04 19:53:53.824320 GMT Run / Event / LS: 320840 / 142108814 / 87

A tHq candidate event

${\rm H}{\rightarrow}\,\gamma\gamma$: extracting the results

- Simultaneous binned likelihood fit to $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ spectrum in 80 event categories
 - S: from simulation, model each (STXS bin, category, data-taking year) separately
 - B: from data, discrete profile likelihood method



${\rm H}{\rightarrow}\,\gamma\gamma$: extracting the results

- Likelihood: $\mathcal{L} = \prod_{j=\text{cat}} \prod_{m_{\gamma\gamma}} \text{Poisson}(n | \sum_i s_{ij}(\theta) \mu_i + b_j) \cdot \mathcal{C}(\theta)$
 - Systematics: InN nuisance params (θ) affecting shape and norm of signal
 - unfold confusion matrix (i.e. the detector) \Rightarrow measure $\sigma \cdot B$ (truth) in 27 bins



J. Langford

${\rm H}{\rightarrow}\,\gamma\gamma\,:\,{\rm results}$

- One of most granular H cross section measurements to-date
 - overall good agreement with SM: global p-value of 70%
 - cross section measurements also provided in coarser kinematic binning Maximal



${\rm H}{\rightarrow}\,\gamma\gamma:\,{\rm results}$

- ggH high p_T^H region particularly sensitive to BSM physics
 - ▶ (200 < p_T^H < 300 GeV) region measured with high precision (±40%)
 - uncertainties comparable to theory uncertainty in SM prediction!



Correlations between params

${\rm H}{\rightarrow}\,\gamma\gamma\,:\,{\rm results}$

- $\bullet\,$ First published measurement of ttH production in kinematic bins
- \bullet Observe excess in single-top production: 1.6σ w.r.t. SM prediction
 - competitive upper limit of tH: 14 (8) \times SM @ 95% C.L.



Correlations between params

${\rm H}{\rightarrow}\,\gamma\gamma\,:\,{\rm results}$

- First published measurement of ttH production in kinematic bins
- Observe excess in single-top production: 1.6 σ w.r.t. SM prediction
 - competitive upper limit of tH: 14 (8) \times SM @ 95% C.L.



${\rm H}{\rightarrow}\,\gamma\gamma:\,{\rm results}$

- $\bullet\,$ Significantly improved results with respect to previous ${\rm H}{\rightarrow}\,\gamma\gamma$ analyses
 - larger statistics, improved analysis techniques, reduced systematics
 - ▶ STXS stage 1.2 $\sigma \cdot B$ remain statistically limited: room for improvement!





J. Langford

$H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$: overview

- Low ${\cal B}$ fraction (0.012%) compensated by fully reconstructed final state
 - provides extremely high S/B with which to perform precision measurements
 - + access to full Higgs boson kinematics
- Decay channels: 4e, 4 μ , 2e2 μ
 - group same-flavour opposite-charge leptons
 - ▶ build Z candidates: $12 < m_{\ell\ell(\gamma)} < 120 \text{ GeV}$
 - apply series of Selection cuts
- Dominant bkgs: non-resonant ZZ/Z γ
 - shape and norm from MC simulation
 - also triboson, ttV, ttVV from simulation
 - subdominant component from misidentified leptons in Z+jets, tt+jets etc.
 - estimated from dedicated control regions
- STXS + Fiducial differential cross sections
 - ► targeted prod modes: ggH, qqH, VH lep, ttH



$H{\rightarrow}ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$: STXS analysis strategy

- <u>MELA</u>: construct matrix-element based kinematic discriminants, \mathcal{D}
 - Categorisation: split events into mutually exclusive production mode categories
 - \Rightarrow e.g. $\mathcal{D}_{\rm 2jet}^{\rm VBF}$ isolate VBF 2-jet events
 - \Rightarrow split production mode categories into 22 kinematic regions: STXS
 - \Rightarrow using equivalent reco quantities e.g. $p_{T}^{4\ell} \Leftrightarrow p_{T}^{H}$
 - **2** <u>Kinematic</u>: separate $H \rightarrow 4\ell$ signal from SM background processes

 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\rm bkg}^{\rm kin}/\mathcal{D}_{\rm bkg}^{\rm VBF+dec}/ \ \mathcal{D}_{\rm bkg}^{\rm VH+dec} \text{ used along with } m_{4\ell} \text{ as fitting observables}$



$H{\rightarrow}ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$: STXS analysis strategy

- <u>MELA</u>: construct matrix-element based kinematic discriminants, \mathcal{D}
 - Categorisation: split events into mutually exclusive production mode categories
 - \Rightarrow e.g. \mathcal{D}_{2jet}^{VBF} isolate VBF 2-jet events
 - \Rightarrow split production mode categories into 22 kinematic regions: STXS
 - \Rightarrow using equivalent reco quantities e.g. $p_{T}^{4\ell} \Leftrightarrow p_{T}^{H}$
 - 2 Kinematic: separate $H \rightarrow 4\ell$ signal from SM background processes

 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\rm bkg}^{\rm kin}/\mathcal{D}_{\rm bkg}^{\rm VBF+dec}/ \ \mathcal{D}_{\rm bkg}^{\rm VH+dec} \text{ used along with } m_{4\ell} \text{ as fitting observables}$



$H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$: STXS results

- Two-dimensional likelihood fit in $(m_{4\ell}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{bkg}})$ in all 22 analysis categories
 - $\mathcal{P}(m_{4\ell})$: unbinned analytic model for each (STXS bin, category, decay channel)
 - $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{bkg}}|m_{4\ell})$: binned template, conditional on value of $m_{4\ell}$
 - \blacktriangleright \bigcirc Systematic included which affect shape and normalisation of S + B models
- Use likelihood to unfold $\sigma \cdot B$ in 19 independent kinematic regions \bullet Merging scheme
 - again good agreement with SM, stat uncertainties dominate



$H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$: STXS results

- Two-dimensional likelihood fit in $(m_{4\ell}, \mathcal{D}_{bkg})$ in all 22 analysis categories
- Use likelihood to unfold $\sigma \cdot \mathcal{B}$ in 19 independent kinematic regions lacksquare Merging scheme
 - ▶ again good agreement with SM, stat uncertainties dominate





J. Langford

$H \rightarrow WW^*$: fiducial differential overview

- Leptonic final state has best sensitivity with decent \mathcal{B} fraction (1%)
 - WW* $\rightarrow e\nu\mu\nu$ channel only: suppress Drell-Yan bkg Selection criteria
 - but neutrinos! cannot fully reconstruct kinematics
- Bkg: non-res WW and tt (dominant)
 - MC simulation, norm from data ►
 - + (data-driven) mis-identified leptons, $DY(\tau\tau)$, Diboson/Triboson (small)
- Fiducial cross section measurement
 - differential in p_T^H and N_{iet}
 - larger migrations due to p_T^{miss} ►
- 2D likelihood fit in $(m_{\ell\ell}, m_T^H)$
 - S + B templates per differential bin
 - S also split by truth-level bin
 - \Rightarrow unfold response of detector in fit



137 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV)

$H{\rightarrow}WW^*$: fiducial differential results

- Regularization for p_T^H fit: smooths measured distribution \bigcirc Details
 - reduces · Correlations between measured cross sections
- \bullet Good agreement with PowHeG (v2) and $\operatorname{MG5@NLO}$ predictions



• Uncertainties: stat. and (experimental) syst components comparable in size

- ▶ (both) grow with increasing p_T^H (total: 20→85%) and $N_{\rm jet}$ (total: 15→90%)
- inclusive $\sigma_{\rm fid}$ is syst limited: $\sigma_{\rm fid}/\sigma_{\rm fid}^{\rm SM} = 1.05 \pm 0.05({\rm stat.}) + 0.08({\rm exp.}) + 0.07({\rm th.})$

J. Langford

$H \rightarrow WW^*$: via VH production

- Final states in which V (+ at least one W) decays leptonically
 - WHSS: $2\ell 2\nu qq$, WH3 ℓ : $3\ell 3\nu$, ZH3 ℓ : $3\ell\nu qq$, ZH4 ℓ : $4\ell 2\nu$
- Measure inclusive + VH lep STXS using dedicated approach in each channel
 - ▶ baseline selection \Rightarrow event categorisation + data control regions
 - ▶ split categories into four kinematic bins by reconstructed p_T^V
 - simultaneous binned template fit: \tilde{m}_H or BDT (depends on channel)



$H{\rightarrow}WW^*$: via VH production

- Final states in which V (+ at least one W) decays leptonically
 - WHSS: $2\ell 2\nu qq$, WH3 ℓ : $3\ell 3\nu$, ZH3 ℓ : $3\ell \nu qq$, ZH4 ℓ : $4\ell 2\nu$
- Measure inclusive + VH lep STXS using dedicated approach in each channel
 - baseline selection \Rightarrow event categorisation + data control regions
 - ▶ split categories into four kinematic bins by reconstructed p_T^V
 - simultaneous binned template fit: \tilde{m}_H or BDT (depends on channel)



$H{\rightarrow}WW^*$: via VH production

- $\bullet\,$ Final states in which V (+ at least one W) decays leptonically
 - WHSS: $2\ell 2\nu$ qq, WH3 ℓ : $3\ell 3\nu$, ZH3 ℓ : $3\ell\nu$ qq, ZH4 ℓ : $4\ell 2\nu$
- Measure inclusive + VH lep STXS using dedicated approach in each channel
 - ▶ baseline selection \Rightarrow event categorisation + data control regions
 - split categories into four kinematic bins by reconstructed p^V_T
 - simultaneous binned template fit: \tilde{m}_H or BDT (depends on channel)



• No significant deviation from SM, uncertainties are large

J. Langford

Summary

- During Run 2 we have entered precision era of Higgs physics
 - opened up possibility to measure H production in different kinematic regions
 - + can target rarer processes e.g. tH
- \bullet Covered most recent CMS H cross section measurements: $\gamma\gamma$, ZZ, WW
 - all based on full Run 2 dataset (137 fb⁻¹)
 - STXS and fiducial differential cross sections
 - ▶ in agreement with SM <u>but</u> uncertainties still large in places
- As Run 3 approaches: must continue effort to pin down the Higgs sector
 - leave no stone/region of phase space unturned



Back-Up Slides

Simplified template cross sections

• Mantra: optimised for sensitivity, whilst minimising theory dependence



• Events split by production then by (truth) kinematics: p_T^H , N_{jet} , m_{jj} , p_T^V , p_T^{Hjj}

- bin boundaries chosen according to theory modeling / sensitivity / isolate BSM
- evolves in stages of increasing granularity: currently stage 1.2
- no selection on H decay products: enables combination across channels
- more sophisticated analysis techniques permitted e.g. BDT, DNN, ...

Fiducial (differential) cross sections

• Mantra: optimised for theory/model independence



Observable	Condition
Lepton origin	Direct decay of $H \rightarrow W^+W^-$
Lepton flavors; lepton charge	$e\mu$ (not from τ decay); opposite
Leading lepton p_T	$p_{T}^{l_{1}} > 25 \text{GeV}$
Trailing lepton p_T	$p_T^{l_2} > 13 \text{GeV}$
$ \eta $ of leptons	$ \eta < 2.5$
Dilepton mass	$m^{ll} > 12 \text{GeV}$
$p_{\rm T}$ of the dilepton system	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{ll} > 30 \mathrm{GeV}$
Transverse mass using trailing lepton	$m_T^{l_2} > 30 \text{GeV}$
Higgs boson transverse mass	$m_{\rm T}^{\rm \hat{H}} > 60 {\rm GeV}$

• Define fiducial phase space (truth) to closely match experimental phase space

- reduces extrapolation into phase space not measured in detector
- ▶ measure differential cross section (in fiducial region) in bins of some quantity ⇒ e.g. p_T^H , N_{jet} , ...
 - \Rightarrow unfold to truth-level: account for detector response matrix (truth \Leftrightarrow reco)
 - \Rightarrow correct for non-fiducial effects
- typically use simple variables in analysis for signal extraction e.g. $m_{4\ell}$

Fiducial (differential) cross sections

• Mantra: optimised for theory/model independence



- Define fiducial phase space (truth) to closely match experimental phase space
 - reduces extrapolation into phase space not measured in detector
 - ▶ measure differential cross section (in fiducial region) in bins of some quantity ⇒ e.g. p_T^H , N_{jet} , ...
 - \Rightarrow unfold to truth-level: account for detector response matrix (truth \Leftrightarrow reco)
 - \Rightarrow correct for non-fiducial effects
 - typically use simple variables in analysis for signal extraction e.g. $m_{4\ell}$

${\rm H}{\rightarrow}\,\gamma\gamma$: chained quantile regression

- Improved shower shape corrections using chained quantile regression
 - ▶ train 21 BDTs: predict points along CDF of shower shape/isolation variable
 - correct variable in simulation by mapping to CDF in data
 - photon ID features ordered into chain:

 \Rightarrow next feature BDT(s) include previously corrected variable

- additional stochastic morphing for isolation variables
- vastly improved agreement in photon ID output score



• Reduces dominant systematic in analysis: $5\% \Rightarrow 2\%$

Likelihood unfolding

• Product over Poisson terms in analysis region, *j*:



Response matrix: describes number of events of type i in region j

- maximum likehood fit will unfold the effect of the detector
 - \Rightarrow measure truth-level cross section, σ_i

${\rm H}{\rightarrow}\,\gamma\gamma$: systematic uncertainties

- Nuisance parameters: two types
 - shape: impact mean and width of S model (typically related to γ energy)
 - yield: both experimental and theoretical contributions
- N.B. normalisation theory uncertainties not included in cross section meas.



$H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$: merging schemes

- \bullet Insufficient sensitivity to all STXS bin splittings in H $\to \gamma\gamma$ alone
 - define two merging schemes with varying granularity
 - e.g minimal merging: 27 kinematic regions as parameters of interest (main body)



${\rm H}{\rightarrow}\,\gamma\gamma$: minimal merging correlations



$H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$: merging schemes

- \bullet Insufficient sensitivity to all STXS bin splittings in H $\to \gamma\gamma$ alone
 - define two merging schemes with varying granularity
 - e.g maximal merging: 17 kinematic regions as parameters of interest



$H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$: maximal merging results



${\rm H}{\rightarrow}\,\gamma\gamma$: maximal merging correlations



$H{\rightarrow}ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$: selection cuts

- Muons: $p_{\mathcal{T}} > 5$ GeV, $|\eta| < 2.4$
 - ▶ also include low- p_T muons which may not penetrate entire muon system
 - isolation requirements to remove muons from hadron decays
- Electrons: $p_T > 7$ GeV, $|\eta| < 2.5$
 - multivariate electron identification and isolation algorithm
- FSR recovery
- Impact parameter requirements w.r.t. primary vertex
- Build ZZ candidates from pairs of same flavour opposite charge leptons GeV
 - Z_1 with mass closest to nominal Z boson mass, Z_2 as other one
 - Z₁ invariant mass > 40 GeV
 - All leptons separated by $\Delta R > 0.02$
 - ▶ At least two leptons with $p_T > 10 \text{ GeV} + \text{ at least one with } p_T > 20 \text{ GeV}$
 - ► All pairs of leptons to have m_{ℓ+ℓ−} > 4 GeV
 - ▶ m_{4ℓ} > 70 GeV
- For multiple ZZ candidates: choose candidate with highest $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{bkg}}^{\mathrm{kin}}$
 - if two candidates from same four leptons: choose Z_1 closest to m_Z

$H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$: correlations

	CМ	1S														137	fb ⁻¹	(13 1	eV)		10
ggH-0j/p⊤[0,10]	1.00																				1.0
ggH−0j/p⊤[10,200]	0.03	1.00													H	⊢ ⊢	ΖZ			_	0.8
ggH−1j/p _T [0,60]	0.06	-0.29	1.00											m⊦	1 = 1	L25.	38 G	θeV			0.0
ggH−1j/p _T [60,120]	0.06	0.09		1.00																_	0.6
ggH-1j/p _T [120,200]	0.07	0.14	0.37	0.33	1.00																
ggH−2j/p⊤[0,60]	0.01	0.05	-0.13	0.06	0.03	1.00														-	0.4
ggH−2j/p _T [60,120]	0.06	0.06	0.51	0.18	0.40	-0.01	1.00														
ggH-2j/p _T [120,200]	0.05	0.05	0.47		-0.01	0.01	0.27	1.00												-	0.2
ggH/p _T >200	0.05	0.06	0.61	0.66	0.41	0.03	0.48	0.48	1.00												
ggH-2j/m _{jj} >350	-0.01	0.03	0.00	0.06	0.01	0.02	0.00	-0.04	0.09	1.00										-	0.0
qq-rest	-0.05	-0.05	-0.78	-0.84	-0.53	-0.04	-0.57	-0.58	-0.80	-0.10	1.00										
qqH-2j/m _{jj} [60,120]	-0.01	-0.01	-0.18	-0.16	-0.03	-0.03	-0.34	-0.37	-0.29	-0.06	0.23	1.00								-	-0.2
qqH-2j/m _{jj} [350,700]	0.04	0.05	0.30	0.29	0.22	0.01	0.25	0.26	0.28	-0.46	-0.36	-0.04	1.00								~ 4
qqH-2j/m _{jj} >700	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.04	0.03	0.03	-0.06	-0.04	0.00	-0.02	1.00						_	-0.4
qqH-3j/m _{jj} >350	0.02	0.00	0.07	0.04	0.04	-0.01	0.04	0.08	0.00	-0.93	0.00	0.02	0.25	-0.08	1.00						0.0
qqH-2j/p _T >200	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00					-0.6
VH/p ^H [0,150]	0.05	-0.10	-0.06	-0.10	-0.02	0.00	-0.02	-0.02	-0.03	-0.02	0.06	0.00	-0.01	0.00	0.03	0.00	1.00				0 8
VH/p _T ^H >150	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.12	-0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	1.00			-0.0
ttH	0.01	0.01	-0.05	-0.04	-0.03	0.00	-0.09	-0.09	-0.08	0.31	0.06	0.03	-0.12	0.00	-0.34	0.00	-0.03	0.00	1.00		-10
	10]	[00]	60]	20]	[00]	60]	20]	[00]	200	350	est	20]	[00]	700	350	200	50]	150	ttH		1.0
	·[0,	0,2	·[0,	0,1	0,2	·[0,	0,1	0,2	P12	< <u></u>		0,1	0,7	-	^! <u>[</u>	p1 >	0,1	£d.			
	j/p	11	j/p	o _T [6	[]2	j/p₁	01[6	r[12	/Hgt	2j∕n	0	11 [6	[35	2j∕n	3j/n	2j/]¦d,	/H/			
	<u>0</u>	1/fe	Ĩ	1j/l	i/p	-2	21/1	i/p	0,	Ŧ		Ē,	, m	Ŧ	÷	농	Η̈́				
	dgh	ΞĦ	ggh	HE.	Ŧ	99h	Ŧ	1-2		<u> </u>		1-2	-2j	ъ	dc	5					
		96		36	ggŀ		96	ggł				dd	Hpp								

$H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$: stage 0 cross sections



$H{\rightarrow} ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$: merging scheme



$H{\rightarrow}ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$: systematics



$H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$: fiducial phase space

Requirements for the ${ m H} ightarrow 4\ell$ fiducial phase space									
Lepton kinematics and isolation	Lepton kinematics and isolation								
Leading lepton $p_{\rm T}$	$p_{\mathrm{T}} > 20 \mathrm{GeV}$								
Next-to-leading lepton $p_{\rm T}$	$p_{\rm T} > 10{ m GeV}$								
Additional electrons (muons) $p_{\rm T}$	$p_{\mathrm{T}} > 7(5) \mathrm{GeV}$								
Pseudorapidity of electrons (muons)	$ \eta < 2.5$ (2.4)								
Sum of scalar $p_{\rm T}$ of all stable particles within $\Delta R < 0.3$ from lepton	$< 0.35 p_{\mathrm{T}}$								
Event topology									
Existence of at least two same-flavor OS lepton pairs, where leptons	satisfy criteria above								
Inv. mass of the Z_1 candidate	$40 < m_{Z_1} < 120 { m GeV}$								
Inv. mass of the Z_2 candidate	$12 < m_{Z_2} < 120 { m GeV}$								
Distance between selected four leptons	$\Delta R(\ell_i, \ell_j) > 0.02$ for any $i \neq j$								
Inv. mass of any opposite sign lepton pair	$m_{\ell^+\ell'^-}>4{ m GeV}$								
Inv. mass of the selected four leptons	$105 < m_{4\ell} < 140{\rm GeV}$								

$H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$: fiducial differential results

- Signal extracted in 1D fit $(m_{4\ell})$ in tight fiducial phase space \mathbf{P}
 - inclusive, per-channel, and differential in p_T^H , $|Y_H|$, N_{iet} , p_T^{j1} ►
 - systematic comparable to stat uncertainty for inclusive measurement



$H \rightarrow WW^*$: selection criteria

- Two opposite flavour $(e\mu)$, opposite charged leptons
 - criteria on lepton isolation + transverse/longitudinal impact parameters
 - track algorithm to reject electrons from photons conversions
 - $p_T^{\ell 1} > 25 \text{ GeV}, \ p_T^{\ell 2} > 13 \text{ GeV}$
 - $|\eta^e| < 2.5, |\eta^\mu| < 2.4$
 - ▶ require additional leptons in event to have $p_T < 10 \text{ GeV}$
- $p_T^{
 m miss} > 30$ GeV, $p_T^{\ell\ell} > 30$ GeV, $m^{\ell\ell} > 12$ GeV
- $m_T^H > 60 \text{ GeV}, \ m_T^{\ell 2} = \sqrt{2 p_T^{\ell 2} p_T^{\text{miss}} (1 \cos \Delta \phi(\vec{p}_T^{\ell 2}, \vec{p}_T^{\text{miss}}))} > 30 \text{ GeV}$
- No b-tagged jets with $p_T > 20$ GeV
- Categorise events in $(p_T^{\ell 2}, \text{ flavour of leptons})$:

$H \rightarrow WW^*$: fiducal phase space

Observable	Condition
Lepton origin	Direct decay of $H \rightarrow W^+W^-$
Lepton flavors; lepton charge	$e\mu$ (not from τ decay); opposite
Leading lepton $p_{\rm T}$	$p_{ m T}^{l_1}>25{ m GeV}$
Trailing lepton $p_{\rm T}$	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{l_2} > 13\mathrm{GeV}$
$ \eta $ of leptons	$ \eta < 2.5$
Dilepton mass	$m^{ll} > 12 \mathrm{GeV}$
p_{T} of the dilepton system	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{ll} > 30\mathrm{GeV}$
Transverse mass using trailing lepton	$m_{\mathrm{T}}^{l_2} > 30\mathrm{GeV}$
Higgs boson transverse mass	$m_{ m T}^{ m \dot{H}} > 60{ m GeV}$
	*

$H \rightarrow WW^*$: systematics

- Nuisances (InN) model changes in template shape and normalisation
- Experimental: trigger efficiency, lepton reconstruction and identification efficiency, lepton momentum scale, jet energy scale, p_T^{miss} uncertainty, b tagging efficiency (17 nuisances), estimation of non-prompt lepton background in calculation of fake factors, integrated luminosity
- Theoretical: choice of PDFs, missing higher orders in perturbative expansion (scale variations), event migrations between jet multiplicity bins, modeling of pileup, underlying event, parton shower, individual background systematics
- Uncertainty in fiducial cross section of each bin excluded from fits
- Unfolding bias is checked

Regularization

- Remove unphysical fluctuations in neighbouring bins of distribution
- Add penalty term to the likelihood of the form:

$$\mathcal{K}(\mu) = \prod_{i=2}^{N-1} \exp\left(\frac{-\left[(\mu_{i+1} - \mu_i) - (\mu_i - \mu_{i-1})\right]^2}{2\delta^2}\right)$$

- penalizes large variations in signal strengths of neighbouring bins
- acts as smoothing constraint on the unfolded distribution
- δ : controls strength of regularization
 - value optimised by minimising the global correlation coefficient in Asimov fit
 - optimal value = 2.50
- Not required on $N_{\rm jet}$ distribution as discrete

$H \rightarrow WW^*$: correlations

- Large correlations due to large gen-to-reco bin migrations (p_T^{miss})
 - regularized p_T^H (top left) shows smaller correlations than unregularized (bottom right)



VH H \rightarrow WW^{*} : selection (1)

	WHSS	WH31	ZH31	ZH41
Number of leptons with $p_{\rm T} > 10$ GeV	2	3	3	4
Number of jets with $p_{\rm T} > 30 \text{GeV}$	≥ 1	0	≥ 1	—

WHSS

• WH3 ℓ

	Preselection								
Lepton p_T (GeV)		> 25,20							
Third lepton veto	Yes								
$m_{\ell\ell}$ (GeV)	> 12								
$\Delta \eta_{\ell\ell}$	< 2.0								
B jet veto	DeepCSV, medium WP, applied to all jets with $p_T > 20$ GeV								
p_T^{miss} (GeV)	> 30								
\tilde{m}_H (GeV)	> 50								
	1j eµ SR	1j eµ SR 2j eµ SR 1j µµ SR 2j µµ SR							
Jets with $p_T > 30$ GeV	$==1$ ≥ 2 $==1$ ≥ 2								
m_{ii} (GeV)		< 100 < 100							
$ \tilde{m}_{\ell\ell} - m_Z $ (GeV)			> 15	> 15					

	Preselection							
Lenten a (C-N)		1 165616661011						
Lepton p_T (GeV)		> 23	,20,15					
Fourth lepton p_T (GeV)		<	10					
ch _{ℓℓℓ}	±1							
$min(m_{\ell\ell})$ (GeV)	> 12							
Jets with $p_T > 30$ GeV	0							
B jet veto	DeepCSV, loose WP, applied to all jets with $p_T > 20$ GeV							
	OSSF SR SSSF SR WZ CR Z Y CR							
OSSF lepton pair	Yes	No	Yes	Yes				
$ m_{\ell\ell} - m_Z $ (GeV)	> 20 < 20 < 20							
p_T^{miss} (GeV)	> 40 > 45 < 40							
$m_{\ell\ell\ell}$ (GeV)			> 100	[80, 100]				

VH H \rightarrow WW^{*} : selection (2)

• ZH3 ℓ

	Preselection							
Lepton p_T (GeV)	> 25, 20, 15							
Fourth lepton $p_{\rm T}$ (GeV)	< 10							
ch _{ℓℓℓ}	±1							
$\min(m_{\ell\ell})$ (GeV)	> 12							
b jet veto	DeepCSV, medium WP, applied to all jets with $p_T > 20$ GeV							
$ m_{\ell\ell} - m_Z $ (GeV)	< 25							
$ m_{\ell\ell\ell} - m_Z $ (GeV)	> 20							
	1j SR 2j SR 1j WZ CR 2j WZ CR							
Jets with $p_T > 30$ GeV	$==1$ ≥ 2 $==1$ ≥ 2							
$\Delta \varphi(\ell p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}, j(j))$	$< \pi/2$	$< \pi/2$	$> \pi/2$	$> \pi/2$				

• ZH4 ℓ

	Preselection							
Lepton p_T (GeV)		> 25, 15, 10, 10						
Fifth lepton $p_{\rm T}$ (GeV)		< 10						
$ch_{\ell\ell\ell\ell}$		0						
$\min(m_{\ell\ell})$ (GeV)	< 12							
$ m_{\ell\ell}^Z - m_Z $ (GeV)	< 15							
B jet veto	DeepCSV, loose WP, applied to all jets with $p_T > 20$ GeV							
	XSF SR XDF SR ZZ CR							
X pair flavor	Same	Different						
$m_{\ell\ell\ell\ell}$ (GeV)	> 140							
$m_{\ell\ell}^X$ (GeV)	[10,60] [10,70] [75,105]							
PUPPI $p_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ (GeV)	> 35	> 20	< 35					