

Transverse momentum dependent distributions in dijet and heavy hadron pair production at EIC

Resummation, Evolution, Factorization 2021 November 2021

Rafael Fernández del Castillo, Universidad Complutense de Madrid



U N I V E R S I D A D
C O M P L U T E N S E
M A D R I D



Outline

Kinematic region vs EIC

Factorization formula

- New dijet soft function
- Zero-bin subtraction

Evolution

- ϕ_b -angle and imaginary part
- ζ -prescription
- Scale choice and NP-model

Plots

Check our recent work:

Rafael F. del Castillo, Miguel G. Echevarría, Yiannis Makris, Ignazio Scimemi

<https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.07531v4>


<https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.03703>

Motivation

- Gluon transverse momentum dependent distributions (TMDs) are difficult to access due to the lack of clean processes where the factorization of the cross-section holds and incoming gluons constitute the dominant effect. E.g. Higgs production

Gutierrez-Reyez, Leal-Gómez, Scimemi, Vladimirov, 2019


- We consider two processes which are presently attracting increasing attention



Boer, Brodsky, Mulders, Pisano, 2011

Dominguez, Xiao, Yuan, 2013

Zhang, 2017



Arratia, Furlitova, Hobbs, Olness, Nguyen et al. 2020


Kinematic region

Dijet production

$$\mathbf{r}_T = \mathbf{p}_{1T} + \mathbf{p}_{2T}$$


$$p_T = \frac{|\mathbf{p}_{1T}| + |\mathbf{p}_{2T}|}{2}$$

$$|\mathbf{r}_T| \ll p_T$$



Factorization holds for $|\mathbf{r}_T| \ll p_T$ and for the central rapidity region

Kinematic region vs EIC coverage



$$\frac{1}{j} < \frac{\hat{s}}{|\hat{t}|}, \frac{\hat{s}}{|\hat{u}|}, \frac{|\hat{u}|}{|\hat{t}|} < j \quad \frac{1}{k} < \frac{Q^2}{4p_T^2} < k$$

Overlapping increases with higher beam energies

Factorization

$$F_g^{\mu\nu}(\xi, \mathbf{b}) = f_1(\xi, \mathbf{b}) \frac{g_T^{\mu\nu}}{d-2} + h_1^\perp(\xi, \mathbf{b}) \left(\frac{g_T^{\mu\nu}}{d-2} + \frac{b^\mu b^\nu}{\mathbf{b}^2} \right)$$

Dijet

$$\frac{d\sigma(\gamma^* g)}{dx d\eta_1 d\eta_2 dp_T d\mathbf{r}_T} = \sum_f H_{\gamma^* g \rightarrow f \bar{f}}^{\mu\nu}(\hat{s}, \hat{t}, \hat{u}, \mu) \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{b}}{(2\pi)^2} \exp(i\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{r}_T) F_{g, \mu\nu}(\xi, \mathbf{b}, \mu, \zeta_1)$$

$$\times S_{\gamma g}(\mathbf{b}, \eta_1, \eta_2, \mu, \zeta_2) (C_f(\mathbf{b}, R, \mu) J_f(p_T, R, \mu)) (C_{\bar{f}}(\mathbf{b}, R, \mu) J_{\bar{f}}(p_T, R, \mu))$$

$$\frac{d\sigma^U(\gamma^* f)}{dx d\eta_1 d\eta_2 dp_T d\mathbf{r}_T} = \sum_f \sigma_0^{fU} H_{\gamma^* f \rightarrow g f}^U(\hat{s}, \hat{t}, \hat{u}, \mu) \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{b}}{(2\pi)^2} \exp(i\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{r}_T) F_f(\xi, \mathbf{b}, \mu, \zeta_1)$$

$$\times S_{\gamma f}(\mathbf{b}, \zeta_2, \mu) (C_g(\mathbf{b}, R, \mu) J_g(p_T, R, \mu)) (C_f(\mathbf{b}, R, \mu) J_f(p_T, R, \mu))$$

Hornig, Makris, Mehen, 2016

HHP

$$\frac{d\sigma(\gamma^* g)}{dx d\eta_H d\eta_{\bar{H}} dp_T d\mathbf{r}_T} = H_{\gamma^* g \rightarrow Q \bar{Q}}^{\mu\nu}(\hat{s}, \hat{t}, \hat{u}, \mu) \int \frac{d\mathbf{b}}{(2\pi)^2} \exp(i\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{r}_T) F_{g, \mu\nu}(\xi, \mathbf{b}, \mu, \zeta_1)$$

$$\times S_{\gamma g}(\mathbf{b}, \mu, \zeta_2) H_+(m_Q, \mu) \mathcal{J}_{Q \rightarrow H}\left(\mathbf{b}, \frac{m_Q}{p_T}, \mu\right) H_+(m_Q, \mu) \mathcal{J}_{\bar{Q} \rightarrow \bar{H}}\left(\mathbf{b}, \frac{m_Q}{p_T}, \mu\right)$$

Fickinger, Fleming, Kim, Mereghetti, 2016

New dijet soft function

n - incoming beam direction

v_1 - jet 1 direction

v_2 - jet 2 direction

Soft
function


$$\hat{S}_{\gamma g}(\mathbf{b}) = \frac{1}{C_F C_A} \langle 0 | \mathcal{S}_n^\dagger(\mathbf{b}, -\infty)_{ca'} \text{Tr} \left[S_{v_2}(+\infty, \mathbf{b}) T^{a'} S_{v_1}^\dagger(+\infty, \mathbf{b}) \right. \\ \left. \times S_{v_1}(+\infty, 0) T^a S_{v_2}^\dagger(+\infty, 0) \right] \mathcal{S}_n(0, -\infty)_{ac} | 0 \rangle$$

$$\hat{S}_{\gamma f} = \hat{S}_{\gamma g}(n \leftrightarrow v_2)$$

Wilson
lines

$$S_v(+\infty, \xi) = P \exp \left[-ig \int_0^{+\infty} d\lambda v \cdot A(\lambda v + \xi) \right] \quad S_v^\dagger(+\infty, \xi) = P \exp \left[ig \int_0^{+\infty} d\lambda \bar{v} \cdot A(\lambda \bar{v} + \xi) \right]$$


$$S_n(+\infty, \xi) = \lim_{\delta^+ \rightarrow 0} P \exp \left[-ig \int_0^{+\infty} d\lambda n \cdot A(\lambda n + \xi) e^{-\delta^+ \lambda} \right] \quad \delta - \text{regulator !!!}$$



Echevarría, Scimemi, Vladimirov, 2016

+ virtual diagrams
at one-loop order...

Evolution



- We find imaginary parts and ϕ_b -dependent parts in the perturbative result and ADs

$$\gamma_i(\mathbf{b}, \mu) = \gamma_{\text{cusp}}[\alpha_s] (c_i 2 \ln |\cos \phi_b| - c'_i i \pi \Theta(\phi_b)) + \text{other } \phi_b \text{ independent terms}$$

$$\sum_i c_i = \sum_i c'_i = 0 \quad \Theta(\phi_b) = \begin{cases} +1 & : -\pi/2 < \phi_b < \pi/2 \\ -1 & : \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- We split the evolution kernels

$$S_{\gamma_i}(\mathbf{b}, \mu_f, \zeta_{2,f}) = \exp \left[\int_{\mu_0}^{\mu_f} \left(\gamma_{S_{\gamma_i}}^{\phi}(\phi) d \ln \mu \right) \right] \exp \left[\int_P \left(\bar{\gamma}_{S_{\gamma_i}}(b, \mu, \zeta_2) d \ln \mu - \mathcal{D}_i(\mu, b) d \ln \zeta_2 \right) \right] S_{\gamma_i}(\mathbf{b}, \mu_0, \zeta_{2,0})$$

$\mathcal{R}_S^{\phi} \rightarrow$ Integrate over ϕ_b
 $\mathcal{R}_S \rightarrow$ ζ -prescription
Scimemi, Vladimirov, 2018
Scimemi, Vladimirov, 2020

$$C_i(\mathbf{b}, R, \mu_f) = \exp \left[\int_{\mu_i}^{\mu_f} \gamma_{C_i}^{\phi}(\phi) d \ln \mu \right] \exp \left[\int_{\mu_i}^{\mu_f} \bar{\gamma}_{C_i}(b, R, \mu) d \ln \mu \right] C_i(\mathbf{b}, R, \mu_i)$$

$\mathcal{R}_C^{\phi} \rightarrow$ Integrate over ϕ_b
 $\mathcal{R}_C \rightarrow$ Single scale evolution
Hornig, Makris, Mehen, 2016

- ϕ_b angle is integrated out with the Fourier transform and imaginary parts cancel

Evolution

- After this manipulation b -space cross-section is proportional to:

$$d\sigma(\mathbf{b}) \sim |\cos \phi_b|^{2\mathcal{A}} (\cos(\mathcal{B}\pi) - i\Theta(\phi_b) \sin(\mathcal{B}\pi)) \mathcal{R}(\{\mu_k\} \rightarrow \mu) \left[1 + \sum_{k \in \{H, F, J, S, C\}} a_s(\mu_k) f_k^{[1]}(b, \cos \phi_b) \right]$$

$$\mathcal{A}(\{\mu_i\}) = \sum_{i \in \{S, C\}} c_i \int_{\mu_i}^{\mu} \gamma_{\text{cusp}}[\alpha_s] d \ln \mu', \quad \mathcal{B}(\{\mu_i\}) = \sum_{i \in \{S, C\}} c'_i \int_{\mu_i}^{\mu} \gamma_{\text{cusp}}[\alpha_s] d \ln \mu'$$

$$\sum_i c_i = \sum_i c'_i = 0$$


- We need $2\mathcal{A} > -1$ in order for the ϕ_b -integral to be well-defined \Rightarrow restriction over initial scales

Master integral:
$$I_n(\mathcal{A}) \equiv \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} d\phi_b |\cos \phi_b|^{2\mathcal{A}} \ln^n |\cos \phi_b|$$

- This restriction do not let us completely resum logs in collinear-soft and heavy meson jet function

Evolution, ζ -prescription

Figure: Alexey Vladimirov & Ignazio Scimemi



Scimemi, Vladimirov, 2018
Scimemi, Vladimirov, 2020

fixed μ evolution

Evolution kernel is given by

$$S(\mathbf{b}; \mu_f, \zeta_{2,f}) = \exp \left[\int_P (\gamma_S(\mu, \zeta_2) d \ln \mu - \mathcal{D}_S(\mu, b) d \ln \zeta_2) \right] S(\mathbf{b}; \mu_0, \zeta_{2,0})$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d \ln \mu} S(\mathbf{b}; \mu, \zeta) &= \gamma_S(\mathbf{b}; \mu, \zeta) S(\mathbf{b}; \mu, \zeta) \\ \frac{d}{d \ln \zeta} S(\mathbf{b}; \mu, \zeta) &= -\mathcal{D}_S(\mathbf{b}, \mu) S(\mathbf{b}; \mu, \zeta) \end{aligned} \right\} \longrightarrow \boxed{\nabla F = \mathbf{E} F}$$

$$\mathbf{E} = (\gamma_S(\mathbf{b}, \mu, \zeta), -\mathcal{D}_S(\mathbf{b}, \mu))$$

Equipotential (null-evolution) line is given by $\gamma_S = 2\mathcal{D}_S \frac{d \ln \zeta_\mu}{d \ln \mu^2}$

gluon channel solution $\zeta_{2,\mu}^{\gamma^*g}(\mathbf{b}, \mu) = \left(\frac{\mu}{\mu_0} \right)^{\frac{2C_F}{C_A}} \zeta_{2,0} e^{v_S(\mathbf{b}, \mu)}$ → perturbative

$$R_S((\mu_0, \zeta_{2,0}) \rightarrow (\mu_f, \zeta_f)) = \left(\frac{\zeta_f}{\zeta_{2,\mu}(\mathbf{b}, \mu_f)} \right)^{-D_S(\mathbf{b}, \mu_f)}$$

Saddle point

Scale choices and NP-model

- For the new b -dependent function we consider a gaussian model for NP contribution

$$S_{\gamma i}(b; p_T, 1) = \mathcal{R}_S(\{\mu_0, \zeta_0\} \rightarrow \{p_T, 1\}) S_{\gamma i}^{\text{pert}}(b; \mu_0, \zeta_0) f_S^{\text{NP}}(b)$$

$$\mathcal{C}(b, R; p_T) = \mathcal{R}_C(b, R; p_T, \mu_C) \mathcal{C}^{\text{pert}}(b, R; \mu_C) f_C^{\text{NP}}(b, R)$$

$$\mathcal{J}(b, m_Q/p_T; p_T) = \mathcal{R}_J(b, m_Q/p_T; p_T, \mu_J) \mathcal{J}^{\text{pert}}(b, m_Q/p_T; \mu_J) f_J^{\text{NP}}(b; m_Q)$$

$$f_i^{\text{NP}}(b) = \exp\left(-\frac{b^2}{(B_{\text{NP}}^i)^2}\right)$$

Initial scales

$$\mu_C = 2e^{-\gamma_E} \left(\frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{b_{\text{max}}}\right) \quad \mu_J = p_T R$$

$$\mu_J = \frac{1}{2} e^{-\gamma_E} \left(\frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{b_{\text{max}}}\right) \quad \mu_+ = m_Q$$

$$\mu_S = \frac{2e^{-\gamma_E}}{b^*}, \quad b^* = \frac{b}{\sqrt{1 + b^2/b_{\text{max}}^2}} \quad \zeta_{2,0}^{\gamma g} = \left(\frac{4p_T^2}{\hat{s}}\right)^{\frac{2C_F}{C_A}}$$

Final scales

$$\mu_f = p_T$$

$$\zeta_{2,0} = 1$$

	\mathcal{C}	\mathcal{J}	S		\mathcal{C}	\mathcal{J}
$B_{\text{NP}}^i \text{ (GeV}^{-1}\text{)}$	2.5	2.5	2.5	$b_{\text{max}} \text{ (GeV}^{-1}\text{)}$	0.5	0.3

Plots for phenomenological analysis

<https://teorica.fis.ucm.es/artemide/>
[https://github.com/vladimirovalexey/artemide-public.](https://github.com/vladimirovalexey/artemide-public)"

- We use **arTeMiDe** to obtain the plots
- TMDPDF and TMDFF structure and evolution is included arTeMiDe
- SF double-scale evolution and jet functions included as new modules

$$p_T = 20 \text{ GeV} \quad (p_T \sim Q)$$


$$\sqrt{s} = 140 \text{ GeV}$$

Integrated over x


Central rapidity region

Dijet production

Linearly polarized
gluon channel




Total cross-section



r_T [GeV]

Heavy hadron pair production


Linearly polarized
gluon channel




Total cross-section

$\langle \cos 2\phi_r \rangle$ - asymmetry

Dijet



HHP



r_T [GeV]

Conclusion

- We have established factorization for dijet and heavy hadron pair production
- Can be potentially observed in the future EIC
- We have been able to compute the new TMD Soft Function up to NLO and its anomalous dimension up to three-loops
- Rapidity structure of this new SF allows us to use the ζ -prescription
- The presence of the new SF makes the gluon TMDPDF extraction non-trivial
- Analysis of the numerical result for the cross-section shows the effect of linearly polarized gluon TMDs can be neglected compared to unpolarized gluon TMDs
- Future work: Gluon Sivers function, di-hadron production,...

Thank you for listening!