Gamma Flux Monitor & BeamDump

*****The implementation of FDS in Luxe geometry with the LG Gamma Monitor made of new LG blocks in front of Cu Dump with a hole of 15 cm, **\starLG w/ measures 3.8 × 3.8 cm², length is 45 cm *Wrapped with Aluminium foil of 0.016 mm (typical household foil; no account for air)**

*Beam Dump: R=30 cm, L=100 cm ***GM Support: Stainless Steel of 1 cm thickness**









New MC and weight of the macro-particle

the weight is used from MC: the weight of incident photons is 750000





New MC and reduced weight of the macro-particle

the weight is used explicitly to simulate the same particle 1000 times, which reduced the weight of incident photons from 750000 to 750

N(E) number of photons

 ∂N

 $\Delta N = \frac{\partial H}{\partial E} \Delta E$

the relative uncertainty of the number of photons does not depend on the slope at all, so estimating it as deltaEdep/<Edep> from Edep distributions

=>

 $\frac{\Delta N}{N} = \frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial N}{\partial E} \Delta E$

700

300







Resudials

We observe a linear dependence within blobs as the number of photons changes. We need to look at the distribution of "residuals", i.e. the distribution of Edep - (a* Ny+b) as a function of Edep= a x Ng, where "a" and "b" are the parameters of the linear fit.

| Laser spot | <edep></edep> | dE | dE/ <edep></edep> |
|------------|---------------|----|-------------------|
| 50 um | 211 | 22 | 0,1 0 |
| 20 um | 982 | 53 | 0,05 |
| 10 um | 2012 | 73 | 0,04 |
| 5 um | 2387 | 82 | 0,03 |

the range of fluctuations is about 3-10%

















Background for Gamma Monitor

≚⁷⁰⁰⁰⁰ *LUXE* CDR E = 16.5 GeV <u>ছ</u>60000 Z 50000 40000 30000 20000 10000 -2 -3 2 3 0 -1 1 -4 • [rad] N per BX 10⁶ *LUXE* CDR E = 16.5 GeV 10⁵ **10**⁴ Π 10³ П 10² 10 -2 -3 2 -1 0 3 1

9







Deposited energy versus N of incident photons





Background



Z distributions





Deposited energy versus true number of photons. Each point is one BX



Simulation and Performance

- The (almost) linear dependence of deposited energy on number of incoming photons in GM allows the usage of backscatters for monitoring the photon flux
- For small ξ the HICS spectrum is softer and soft photons produce less back-scatters. This is the reason of small deviation from linearity in Edep on Ey dependence

energy scan





Uncertainties estimation on Number of photons



• $\xi = 2.0$



the uncertainty on number of measured photons will be ~ $3.5 * 10^{-3} - 7.1 * 10^{-2}$.



Uncertainties estimation on Number of photons N(E) number of photons $\Delta N = \frac{\partial N}{\partial E} \Delta E$ $\frac{\Delta N}{N} = \frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial N}{\partial E} \Delta E$ =>

Histogram of deposited energy vs Ngamma LG+AlCu 50 um per BX $\times 10^{6}$ 80 70 60 50 ****** 40 Minimizer is Linear / Migrad Chi2 25.2144 NDf 79 р0 1.31117e+06 .9994e+07 p1 6890.54 30 400 45 E_{dep}, GeV 50 150 250 300 350 450 100 200

 $\xi = 0.3 : \Delta N/N = 3.5 * 104 * 16.88 / 5.67 * 107 = 1 * 10^{-2}$

• $\xi = 0.31$, 50 um laser spot



the uncertainty on number of measured photons will be ~ 10-2.



