FDS performance
Beam pipe with chamber

Borysova Maryna (KINR)
24/03/21
LUXE technical meeting

LUXE

Forward detector system with & w/o beam pipe

No Beam Pipe
Kapton, 200 um

Beam Pipe 5 cm
W, 10 um

Beam Pipe 4.2 cm
+chamber
W, 10 um



“True” spectra

JETI40, 16.5 GeV, 50 um



- Electrons/positrons generated in target by primary photon and which are hitting Lanex screens
- Consider air before the magnet as a target too

* Selection
- detid == 3000/3001
- pdg ==11/-11
- Parent pdg ==22
- Parent == primary
- Primary pdg ==22
- |vtx x,y |<25 mm
- |vtx z - 6.5 m |< 100 um
- vtx z > 6.5m -100um && vtx z < 9m

Reconstructed spectra

Not normalised



True vs Reconstructed





* Spectra were normalised on integral in E range of [2.5; 3]

True vs Reconstructed









Summary

* The performance of FDS setup was compared with pipe, with pipe and chamber and without beam pipe from the target to Gamma spectrometer detectors
* Beam pipe with chamber and target provide more clean signal formation

Back up

Forward detector system with beam pipe and short chamber



Ee = 4, 3, 2, 0.5 GeV



Deposited energy in Lanex, Beam pipe+chamber, ~4500 bx











Reconstructed spectra









Reconstruction







FCN=286.962 FROM MIGRAD STATUS=CONVERGED 1542 CALLS 1543 TOTAL
EDM=7.91701e-07 STRATEGY= 1 ERROR MATRIX UNCERTAINTY 2.8 per cent

EXT NO.	PARAMETER NAME	VALUE	ERROR	STEP SIZE	FIRST DERIVATIVE
1	p0	3.18016e+07	1.00975e+07	1.10980e+02	1.45240e-09
2	p1	1.31744e+06	3.47969e+07	-3.38722e+02	2.74221e-10
3	p2	4.23333e+00	2.49577e-02	-1.03029e-06	1.09203e-01
4	p3	1.45899e+06	1.28757e+06	4.96121e+00	5.80110e-09
5	p4	-2.87851e+06	7.30678e+06	-2.23974e+01	2.87624e-09
6	p5	6.55447e+00	3.61566e-02	1.22269e-06	-5.93120e-01
7	p6	1.87125e+05	1.34326e+04	-2.19133e-02	3.06250e-08
8	p7	1.04984e+01	3.18584e-03	-3.06284e-06	-2.29524e-01


Ee = 4, 3, 2, 1 GeV







Ve



10^4
7000
8000
9000
10000
11000
12000
13000
14000



7m
8m
9m
10m
11m
E = 16.5 GeV
Pipe W 10um
Pipe Chamber W10um
No Pipe Kapton200um
dN/dx per BX
10^{13}
10^{12}
10^{11}
10^{10}
10^9
10^8
10^7
10^6
10^5
10^4
10^3
7000
8000
9000
10000
11000
12000
13000
14000
vertexZ, mm

Energy vs position



Energy vs position

NO Beam Pipe Kapton, 200 um	Beam Pipe 5 cm W, 10 um	Beam Pipe 4.2 cm +chamber W, 10 um













Electron Energy-position correlation is cleaner in case of beam pipe and photons distribution shows that they were produced after the electron direction was defined.

Particles in electron arm

NO Beam Pipe
Kapton, 200 um



Beam Pipe 5 cm
W, 10 um









LUXE
backg all : 1756350
sum_signal target+air : 2001975
data : 3758400
dN/dE per BX
E [GeV]

Photon spectra reconstruction using Bethe-Heitler pair spectrum

The classical Bethe-Heitler formula (H.Bethe, W.Heitler, Proc.Roy.Soc.A146 (34)83)

$$\Phi\,(E_0)\,dE_0 = \frac{Z^2}{137}\left(\frac{e^2}{mc^2}\right)^2 4\,\frac{E_0^2 + E_+^2 + \frac{2}{3}E_0E_+}{(h\nu)^3}\,dE_0\left(\log\frac{2E_0E_+}{h\nu mc^2} - \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

energies involved are large compared with mc^2

$\Phi(E+, E_0=E\gamma)$



$\sigma(E\gamma, Ee) = \Phi(E\gamma,Ee) * N_a$ N_a - Number of atoms

- Photon spectra g(Eγ) can be reconstructed by fitting

  $N(Ee)=\int \sigma(E\gamma, Ee)\, g(E\gamma)\, dE\gamma$

  Where N(Ee) positron/electron spectra measured in detector after the conversion.

- Since σ(Eγ, Ee) depends on number of scatters N_a defined by the thickness of the target the approach can be tested by using the thickness as fit parameter

- Used Bethe-Heitler class from Geant4, with corrections and extended for various effects (the screening, the pair creation in the field of atomic electrons, correction to the Born approximation, the LPM suppression mechanism, etc.) to calculate differential cross-section



Kinematic edges with accurate pair spectrum

$$f(E_e)=\int \sigma(E_\gamma, E_e)\,g(E_\gamma)\,dE_\gamma$$





The single-particle spectrum obtained in GEANT4 is compared to a **model spectrum** calculated by convolving the trial **photon spectrum** with the **Bethe-Heitler cross section**



$$\int \sigma(E_\gamma, E_e)\,g(E_\gamma,p1,p2)\,dE_\gamma$$

fitting allows finding **the kinematic edges** quite well

Intro

PDS - Photon Detector system



Tasks at hand:

Direct electron-Beam Laser interaction

$e + n\omega \rightarrow e + \gamma$

I measure HICS energy spectrum.

- Use low X0 target (~1e-6 X0) for gamma to electrons/positrons conversions followed by spectrometer;
- determine kinematic edges;
- detailed shape.

II measure absolute number of photons on event-by-event basis.

- Spectra normalisation;
- Be sensitive to angular distribution of HICS photons (if possible)

Forward detector system w/o beam pipe



FDS setup with pipe



Forward detector system with & w/o beam pipe

Kapton :Polyimide film $[C_{22}H_{10}N_2O_5]_n$

Composition:

Elem	Z	Atomic frac*	Weight frac
H	1	10.000000	0.026362
C	6	22.001366	0.691133
N	7	2.000071	0.073270
O	8	5.000195	0.209235

Material	X0,(cm)	Z	A	Z/A
Kapton	28.57			0.51264
Tungsten	0.35	74	183	0.4044



Energy vs position

NO Beam Pipe
Kapton, 200 um

Beam Pipe 5 cm
W, 10 um



Electron Energy-position correlation is cleaner in case of beam pipe and photons distribution shows that they were produced after the electron direction was defined.

Particles in electron arm

NO Beam Pipe
Kapton, 200 um



Beam Pipe 5 cm
W, 10 um



Material	X0,(cm)	Thickness	Fraction X0
Air	3.04E+04	350	1.15 %
Kapton	28.57	2.00E-02	0.07 %
Tungsten	0.35	1.00E-03	0.3%



The first kinematic edge at 4.2GeV is clearly better observed in detector for the case with the pipe.

S/B ratio: Electron arm of Lanex Spectrometer, x-distributions

NO Beam Pipe
Kapton, 200 um





Beam Pipe 5 cm
Kapton, 200 um





Beam Pipe 5 cm
W, 10 um





Reconstruction

Spectra reconstruction for the Lanex case, No pipe









Spectra reconstruction for the Lanex case, No pipe






```
FCN=154.23 FROM MIGRAD    STATUS=CALL LIMIT    2132 CALLS        2133 TOTAL
                     EDM=0.000122625    STRATEGY= 1      ERR MATRIX NOT POS-DEF
 EXT PARAMETER                APPROXIMATE        STEP         FIRST
 NO.   NAME      VALUE            ERROR          SIZE      DERIVATIVE
  1  p0           4.54727e+08   2.01770e+08  -2.17984e+01   3.87807e-11
  2  p1          -8.58055e+08   6.67723e+08   5.11001e+01   1.23214e-11
  3  p2           3.86233e+00   1.22372e-02  -2.76617e-08   6.41855e-03
  4  p3           8.36369e+07   1.90351e+07  -2.82335e+01  -2.98255e-09
  5  p4          -1.83334e+08   9.97231e+07   1.60226e+02  -6.98724e-10
  6  p5           6.63088e+00   1.09126e-02  -1.70609e-08  -3.00232e-02
  7  p6           1.11186e+07   5.76344e+05  -2.06107e-01   2.11536e-09
  8  p7           9.92678e+00   3.08289e-02  -4.52970e-08  -1.28762e-02
```

Deposited energy in Lanex, electron arm, Beam pipe, ~4500 bx



Spectra reconstruction for the Lanex in case of the Beam Pipe



EDM=12.7612 STRATEGY= 1 ERROR MATRIX UNCERTAINTY 4.4

EXT NO.	PARAMETER NAME	VALUE	APPROXIMATE ERROR	STEP SIZE	FIRST DERIVATIVE
1	p0	5.98903e+04	2.68674e+03	0.00000e+00	2.12079e-04
2	p1	2.70945e+05	1.75589e+04	0.00000e+00	1.40484e-04
3	p2	4.22613e+00	1.42109e-02	0.00000e+00	-2.05537e+00
4	p3	3.33463e+03	9.87207e+01	0.00000e+00	-1.83814e-02
5	p4	3.18507e+04	1.51429e+03	0.00000e+00	-3.93684e-04
6	p5	6.20470e+00	2.56398e-03	0.00000e+00	-2.13886e+03
7	p6	2.04507e+03	7.80814e+01	0.00000e+00	2.56788e-04
8	p7	1.03181e+01	1.71342e-01	-0.00000e+00	-1.72980e+00
9	p8	0.00000e+00	4.26209e-01	-0.00000e+00	0.00000e+00

Finite Impulses Response Filter (FIR)

method used by J. List et. al.

Finite Impulses Response Filter

- edge-like features in function **g(x)** can be identified by maxima in the convolution **R(x)=h(x)**$*$**g(x)** where **h(x)** is a matched filter
- **R(x)** is called the **Response**
- we have discrete data points **x=**$(x_0,\ldots,x_i)$, need discretized Response $R_d(i)$

$$R_d(i) = \sum_{k=-N}^{N} h_d(k) \cdot g_d(i-k)$$

- different filters h_d available, optimal choice depends on the function g(x)
- Used here: **First derivative of a Gaussian (FDOG)**

$$h_d(k) = -k \exp(-\frac{k^2}{2\sigma^2}) \quad \text{for} \quad -N \leq k \leq N$$

Reconstruction with FIR