

Borysova Maryna (KINR) 31/03/21 LUXE technical meeting



The reason for substructure at low E in reconstructed spectra



2

xy and x track distributions



* Beam pipe from chamber till Gamma profiler is necessary



Corrected calibration





- * The performance of FDS setup was compared with pipe, with pipe and chamber and without beam pipe from the target to Gamma spectrometer detectors
- * Beam pipe with chamber and target provide more clean signal formation

6

- * Beam pipe from chamber till Gamma profiler is necessary
- ***** Corrected calibration





"True" spectra

JETI40, 16.5 GeV, 50 um



Reconstructed spectra Not normalised



True vs Reconstructed



11

* Spectra were normalised on integral in E range of [2.5; 3]

True vs Reconstructed



Forward detector system with beam pipe and short chamber



Deposited energy in Lanex, Beam pipe+chamber, ~4500 bx



Reconstructed spectra









Energy vs position



Energy vs position



Electron Energy-position correlation is cleaner in case of beam pipe and photons distribution shows that they were produced after the electron direction was defined. 20

Particles in electron arm

Beam Pipe 5 cm **NO Beam Pipe** W, 10 um Kapton, 200 um #particles /BX 10⁵ #particles /BX 10⁵ signal, ξ = 0.31 Ē LUXE CDR LUXE CDR 4.2 GeV photons photons 125 mm positrons positrons 10⁴ electrons electrons **10**⁴ 10³ 10³ 10² = տլու 10 10² 600 -100 200 300 400 500 0 100 -100100 200 300 600 0 400 500 x [mm] x [mm] #particles /BX signal, ξ = 0.31 10⁵ Beam Pipe 4.2 cm +chamber photons W, 10 um ositrons ξ = 0.3 (50 um) 10¹² electrons **10**⁴ E = 16.5 GeV 10¹ 10¹⁰ 10³ 10⁹ 10² 10^{8} 21 107 10 10⁶ 10⁵ 1 <u>□</u>____ 16 0 2 6 8 10 12 14 18 4 600 0 100 200 300 400 500 E, GeV x [mm]



Photon spectra reconstruction using Bethe-Heitler pair spectrum

The classical Bethe-Heitler formula (H.Bethe, W.Heitler, Proc.Roy.Soc.A146 (34)83)

$$\Phi (\mathbf{E}_0) d\mathbf{E}_0 = \frac{Z^2}{137} \left(\frac{e^2}{mc^2}\right)^2 4 \frac{\mathbf{E}_{0+}^2 \mathbf{E}_{+}^2 + \frac{2}{3} \mathbf{E}_0 \mathbf{E}_{+}}{(h\nu)^3} d\mathbf{E}_0 \left(\log \frac{2\mathbf{E}_0 \mathbf{E}_{+}}{h\nu mc^2} - \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

energies involved are large compared with mc²

$\Phi(E+, E_0=E\gamma)$

25 20 15 10 14 16 E+ hd2 10⁻²¹ 10-22-10⁻²³- $\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & &$

 $\sigma(E\gamma, Ee) = \Phi(E\gamma, Ee)^*N_a N_a - Number of atoms$

Photon spectra $g(E\gamma)$ can be reconstructed by fitting

 $N(Ee) = \int \sigma(E\gamma, Ee) g(E\gamma) dE\gamma$

Where N(Ee) positron/electron spectra measured in detector after the conversion.

Since σ(Eγ, Ee) depends on number of scatters N_a defined by the thickness of the target the approach can be tested by using the thickness as fit parameter

Used Bethe-Heitler class from Geant4, with corrections and extended for various effects (the screening, the pair creation in the field of atomic electrons, correction to the Born approximation, the LPM suppression mechanism, etc.) to calculate differential cross-section

Kinematic edges with accurate pair spectrum





- I measure HICS energy spectrum.
 - Use low X0 target (~1e-6 X0) for gamma to electrons/positrons conversions followed by spectrometer;
 - determine kinematic edges;
 - detailed shape.
- II measure absolute number of photons on event-by-event basis.
 - Spectra normalisation;
 - Be sensitive to angular distribution of HICS photons (if possible)

Forward detector system w/o beam pipe





Forward detector system with & w/o beam pipe



Energy vs position

NO Beam Pipe Kapton, 200 um





Electron Energy-position correlation is cleaner in case of beam pipe and photons distribution shows that they were produced after the electron direction was defined. 29

Particles in electron arm



10⁶

10⁵

0

2

4

6

8

10

12

The first kinematic edge at 4.2GeV is clearly better observed in detector for the case with the pipe.

14 16 18 E, GeV

* S/B ratio: Electron arm of Lanex Spectrometer, x-distributions



11







Deposited energy in Lanex, electron arm, Beam pipe, ~4500 bx



Spectra reconstruction for the Lanex in case of the Beam Pipe



		EDM-12 741				
		EDM=12.701	2 SIRAIEGT=	I ERROR MAIR	IX UNCERTAINTY	
EXT	PARAMETER		APPROXIMATE	STEP	FIRST	
.Ον	NAME	VALUE	ERROR	SIZE	DERIVATIVE	
1	p0	5.98903e+04	2.68674e+03	0.00000e+00	2.12079e-04	
2	p1	2.70945e+05	1.75589e+04	0.00000e+00	1.40484e-04	
3	p2	4.22613e+00	1.42109e-02	0.00000e+00	-2.05537e+00	
4	p3	3.33463e+03	9.87207e+01	0.00000e+00	-1.83814e-02	
5	p4	3.18507e+04	1.51429e+03	0.00000e+00	-3.93684e-04	
6	p5	6.20470e+00	2.56398e-03	0.00000e+00	-2.13886e+03	
7	p6	2.04507e+03	7.80814e+01	0.00000e+00	2.56788e-04	
8	p7	1.03181e+01	1.71342e-01	-0.00000e+00	-1.72980e+00	
0	n8	0 00000-+00	4 262090-01	-0 00000+00	0 00000-+00	

Finite Impulses Response Filter (FIR)

Finite Impulses Response Filter

- edge-like features in function g(x) can be identified by maxima in the convolution R(x)=h(x)*g(x) where h(x) is a matched filter
- R(x) is called the Response
- we have discrete data points $\mathbf{x}=(x_0,...,x_i)$, need discretized Response $R_d(i)$

$$R_d(i) = \sum_{k=-N}^N h_d(k) \cdot g_d(i-k)$$

- different filters h_d available, optimal choice depends on the function g(x)
- · Used here: First derivative of a Gaussian (FDOG)

$$h_d(k) = -k \exp(-\frac{k^2}{2\sigma^2})$$
 for $-N \le k \le N$

method used by J. List et. al.

Reconstruction with FIR

