FDS performance Beam pipe vs Air

Borysova Maryna (KINR) 02/02/21 LUXE simulation meeting





- I measure HICS energy spectrum.
 - Use low X0 target (~1e-6 X0) for gamma to electrons/positrons conversions followed by spectrometer;
 - determine kinematic edges;
 - detailed shape.
- II measure absolute number of photons on event-by-event basis.
 - Spectra normalisation;
 - Be sensitive to angular distribution of HICS photons (if possible)

Forward detector system w/o beam pipe





Forward detector system with & w/o beam pipe





Energy vs position

NO Beam Pipe Kapton, 200 um





Electron Energy-position correlation is cleaner in case of beam pipe and photons distribution shows that they were produced after the electron direction was defined.

Particles in electron arm



 10^{7}

10⁶

10⁵

E, GeV

The first kinematic edge at 4.2GeV is clearly better observed in detector for the case with the pipe.

* S/B ratio: Electron arm of Lanex Spectrometer, x-distributions



J



- * The performance of FDS setup was compared with and without beam pipe from the target to Gamma spectrometer detectors
- * Beam pipe with vacuum and target provide more clean signal formation
- Without beam pipe, air material thickness ~16 times bigger than Kapton target (window). More than 90% of e-/e+ pairs are generated in the air and about 30% of them in the magnet, so they experience shorter magnetic field and have wrong position in detector. It has a negative effect on spectrometer performance.
- Considering that bremsstralung rate is roughly the same as pair production, electrons and positrons will lose their energy in the air. It will also have negative impact on spectrometer measurements.
- * Electron Energy-position correlation is cleaner in case of beam pipe and photons distribution shows that they were produced after the electron direction was defined. In this case they would not affect the signal in spectrometer.
- * The first kinematic edge at 4.2GeV is clearly better observed in detector for the case with the pipe.
- * Signal/Background is twice better for the case with the beam pipe



True electron/photon spectra

4764BX out of 5000 BX at the laser intensity xi = 0.3 for 16.5 GeV electron beam

(5% of files have NaN so they are ignored)



- * Number of particles per BX hitting FDS spectrometers is 25 higher without beam pipe
- * Without beam pipe we measure in Gamma spectrometer detectors a lot e-/e+ pairs that were created in the air. Only 4% e-/e+ pairs are generated in the Target
- All extra particles are generated in the air. Number of particles generated in the target is identical for Compton target with and without beam pipe.
- In the air the vertexes are distributed almost uniformly all the way from the target to the detectors in case of no pipe.





Without beam pipe we measure in Compton detectors a lot e-/e+ pairs that were created in the air. Only 4% e-/e+ come from the Target As the laser intensity is low (xi =0.3), to reconstruct spectra we need more statistics.





Without beam pipe we measure in Compton detectors a lot e-/e+ pairs that were created in the air. Only 4% e-/e+ are generated in the Target





Setup with the beam pipe

Added round beam pipe of 5cm diameter between the collimators

And beam pipe w/ square Xsection of 5x5cm (8x5cm) from collimators to the FDS spectrometer

* Electron arm of Lanex Spectrometer





Spectra reconstruction for the Lanex case

spectrum_electron





Compton MC2020 r for (xi=0.32), 16.5 GeV electrons. G4: Kapton foil of 20 um as a target, magnet 1.4T and 0.75m distance from magnet to LYSO .

If we take distribution of deposited energy the values around maximum are ~10 GeV.

To convert it to Gy, convert it to J: $^{-1.6e-9J}$ and then divide it to the mass of crystals in kg. Gy= J/kg

The density is 7.1 g/cm3, volume 0.1 * 0.2 * 2 = 0.04 cm3. Mass 7.1 * 0.04 = 0.284g.

Finally, 5.6e-6 Gy per BX.

Assuming 1 Hz collisions rate we get the dose of 10 kGy in LYSO crystal in about 56 years.

Vertex x-y, beam pipe 8x5



Vertex x-y, no pipe



Vertex z





Just simple estimation for GADOX (LANEX) let's take photon beam of 10^10 photons of 10 GeV. The total energy is 10^11 GeV which is 16J.

If we consider the transverse size of the beam to be 0,4 mm and profiler thickness 5 cm (3.6 X0) with density~ 6,7 g/cm^3 the mass of irradiated area will be 0,042 g.

Specific heat capacity of gadolinium is 0,23 J/g K. Assuming 10% energy absorption (for 3.6 X0) that volume will heat by 165 degrees in one BX. In 10 sec at 1Hz it will probably reach the melting point.

Of course I didn't account for heat dissipation, maybe the area of energy deposition will be wider and the constants for Gadox could be a bit different, but probably this won't work out: 5cm thick Gadox will burnt out in the center in seconds.

Lets forget the radiation damages...



Compton MC2019 r for 1J (xi=2.6), 17.5 GeV electrons. G4: tungsten foil of 10 um as a target, magnet 1T and 1.5m distance from magnet to LYSO .

If we take distribution of deposited energy the values around maximum are "5e3 GeV.

To convert it to Gy, convert it to J: 8 e-7 J and then divide it to the mass of crystals in kg. Gy= J/kg

The density is 7.1 g/cm3, volume 0.1 * 0.2 * 2 = 0.04 cm3. Mass 7.1 * 0.04 = 0.284g.

Finally 8e-7J/0.284e-3 = 2.8e-3 Gy per BX.

Assuming 1Hz collisions rate we get the dose of 10000Gy in LYSO crystal in about 1000/2.8e-3 = 3.6e6s which is 41,3 days.

Luxe Set-up



The distance between IP and Compton Target = 6.5 *m

