# Timing with the CALICE AHCAL & SiPM-on-Tile Technology



### Lorenz Emberger, Fabian Hummer, Frank Simon BMBF Scintillator R&D general meeting







### MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT FÜR PHYSIK





## Scintillator Timing Setup

Motivation: Understand contribution of front end and SiPM-on-Tile on time resolution of the AHCAL



Lorenz Emberger



Strategy: Measure the time resolution of the SiPM-on-Tile technology:

- Independent of the AHCAL electronics and DAQ
- In a simple but modular setup
- Without involved calibration and reconstruction procedures
- With high particle rate and controlled energies

	Beam	Test S	etup	
Stack of 4	Tiles:			
<ul> <li>BC408 or Polystyrene (AHCAL)</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Hamamatsu S13360-1325PE</li> </ul>			Picosc	
E	Ethernet Cat 7			
Receiver Box:		Receive		
<ul> <li>USB cor</li> </ul>	ntrolled powe	er supply		
<ul> <li>Split sign</li> </ul>	nal and powe	er lines	Trigger Cha	
	BNC		Tile Chan Tile Chan	
Picoscope			Trigger Cha	
• Up to 2.	5GHz sampli	ng rate on	4 channels	
• 300kHz	peak trigger	rate		

• Save complete analog waveform



## MIP Time Resolution - AHCAL Scintillator



Lorenz Emberger



MAX-PLANCK



Time resolution=0.718/sqrt(2)=0.507ns

Interpret as intrinsic time resolution of SiPM-on-Tile

Compared to 0.780ns of the AHCAL:

• AHCAL front-end contributes at least 0.6ns



## **Energy Binned Time Resolution**

**Studied Scenarios:** 

- AHCAL Scintillator 30mm x 30mm x 3mm
- BC408 30mm x 30mm x3mm and 20mm x 20mm x 3mm





MAX-PLANC

Poissonian statistics well reproduced:

Material and size dependent

Noise contribution to be understood Sub 100ps for very high signals



Optical GEANT4 Simulation + GOSSiP SiPM simulation:

- Reproduce analog signal waveforms
- Understand electronic effects of the setup
- Understand size dependence of the time resolution

Preparations for next beam test:

- Study fast Bicron scintillators
- Study different tile dimensions
- Establish scaling of the time resolution with respect to the tile size





# **Muon/Pion Separation with the DUNE Gaseous Argon ND**

Lorenz Emberger **BMBF Scintillator R&D general meeting** 



0



**MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT** FÜR PHYSIK















MAX-PLAN

Common interactions on argon target:



 Momentum and charge reconstruction of charged particles in TPC

 Reconstruction of photons and neutrons in highly granular scintillator ECAL

• 0.5T solenoid field

 Surrounded by a yoke and muon detector (technology tbd)



## ECAL Design Drivers

Key roles of the ECAL:

Pions and muons produced simultaneously:

- 1. Photon reconstruction
- 2. Neutron identification
- 3. Muon/Pion separation (with muon detector)





MAX-PLANC

- Charged pions and muons have almost same mass
- Similar energy loss per unit length
- Separation not possible in TPC at momentum > 250MeV

Misidentification of muon and pion will lead to wrong reconstruction of the energy and nature of the interaction  $\rightarrow$  joint task of ECAL and muon detector







Neutral current production of  $\Delta$  baryons:

- $\Delta^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ + n$
- $\Delta^0 \rightarrow \pi^- + p$

Misidentification of  $\pi^{\pm}$  leads to errors on cross-section

Muon/Pion separation is also important for standalone measurements of ND-GAr



### Deep-Inelastic neutral current scattering







## ECAL Concept

- 12-sided geometry, 42 Layers
- Key design features:
  - High granular layers based on CALICE R&D (AHCAL SiPM-on-tile design)
  - First 8 layers with 0.7 mm Lead / 5 mm plastic scintillator tiles of 2.5x2.5 cm<sup>2</sup>
  - 34 layers with crossed strips in the back based on Mu2e with 1.4 mm Lead / 10 mm scintillator
  - 4 cm strip width spanning the full module width/ length (~few m)
- SiPM readout of ~1- 3M channels







## Initial Considerations

## Approximate Material budget:

Cryostat	9cm Steel ≙ 0.5λ
ECAL absorber	5.3cm Pb≙ 0.27λ
Magnet:	4cm Al ≙ 0.08λ
Return yoke	15cm Fe ≙ 0.75λ
Scintillator	38.5cm PS ≙ 0.4λ
Total	2.0λ

 $P_{PunchThrough} = exp(-2.0)=0.135$ 







## Initial Considerations

## Actual data from CALICE AHCAL beam test

## Showering muon, hard delta electron



### Confusion with pion shower



## Pion causing small shower



May look like a muon, if only a small shower develops in the detector





Monte Carlo samples produced with GENIE event generator:

- Momentum cut at 250MeV, TPC can classify tracks of lower momentum
- Rejection of decaying particles:

$$\pi^+ \to \mu^+ + \nu_\mu$$

$$\mu^- \rightarrow e^- + \overline{\nu_e} + \nu_\mu$$

Rejection is based on mc truth:

Pions by looking for creation of muon neutrino in TPC volume

Muons by looking for creation of electron anti-neutrino in TPC volume







## Muon/Pion Separation with BDT

Monte Carlo samples produced with GENIE event generator:

- Training with 50/50 ratio of muons and pions
- Testing with "realistic" muon/pion ratio of 86%/14% from GENIE







## Performance with Full MulD



Detection threshold=0.5

~14% of 81950 pions misidentified

~4.9% of 528316 muons misidentified





## Performance with Full MulD

Results using GENIE momentum spectrum



Baseline performance  $\rightarrow$  investigate impact of MuID





## Impact of the MuID





Lorenz Emberger

APS April Meeting - 19.04.2021







## Conclusion

Muon/Pion separation is important for neutrino energy measurement and to reconstruct the nature of the interaction

Full MuID performance:

- ~98% to 99% efficiency/purity for muons above 1GeV
- ~80% to 85% efficiency/purity for pions above 1GeV

MuID significantly increases the performance by:

- up to 25% for pion purity
- ~5% to 8% for pion efficiency

No dramatic loss of performance when excluding the inner MuID layer

At least two MuID layers are desirable

Lorenz Emberger



## Backup

Lorenz Emberger



MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT FÜR PHYSIK





Lorenz Emberger



### MAX-PLANCK-



## AHCAL vs. BC408 vs. small BC408







Channel C AHCAL:14.3 pe/MIP BC408: 22.87 pe/MIP BC408small: 21.85 pe/MIP



## AHCAL vs. BC408 vs. small BC408



Lorenz Emberger





Channel E AHCAL:16.4 pe/MIP BC408: 19.3 pe/MIP BC408small: 23.9 pe/MIP

## Hadronic Interaction



Nuclear interaction length  $\lambda$ : Mean free path before inelastic interaction

- Typically several 10cm in metal, depends on element
- Depends on incoming hadron, e.g. Proton:  $\lambda_{\text{Iron}}=16.77$  cm, Pion:  $\lambda_{\text{Iron}}=20.42$  cm

Punch through probability: Probability of no inelastic interaction in the detector

```
PPunchThrough = \exp(-\lambda)
```



- Particle multiplication after inelastic interaction:
- Charged hadrons
- Neutral pions  $\rightarrow$  electrons/positrons
- Higher charged particle density leads to high energy calorimeter hits



