Mixed gravitino and neutralino dark matter in the NMSSM in the degenerate scenario





Grigoris Panotopoulos

University of Valencia & IFIC

(work in progress with Gabriela Barenboim)

SUSY 2010, Bonn, August 23-29, 2010

Outline

Motivation

- Theoretical framework: Degenerate scenario in the cNMSSM
- Collider and cosmological constraints
- Cosmology of stable gravitino and neutralino freeze-out
- Neutralino direct detection in the mixed dark matter assumption
- Conclusions

CMB Temperature anisotropies







G Panotopoulos

Are there DM candidates in the SM?

THE STANDARD MODEL



The neutrino cannot be cold dark matter

From Omega m=10 eV From virial m > 100 eV

G Panotopoulos

Supersymmetry: A new symmetry between bosons and fermions

Basis of superstring theories
Unification of gauge coupling constants



Unification of the Coupling Constants in the SM and the minimal MSSM





G Panotopoulos

Particle content in Supersymmetric Models

The LSP is stable in SUSY theories with R-parity conservation. Thus, it will exist as a remnant from the early universe and may account for the observed Dark Matter.

SUSY 2010 Bonn

The superpartners

Squarks	$ ilde{u}_{R,L}$, $ ilde{d}_{R,L}$
	$ ilde{c}_{R,L}$, $ ilde{s}_{R,L}$
	${ ilde t}_{R,L}$, ${ ilde b}_{R,L}$
Sleptons	$ ilde{e}_{R,L}$, $ ilde{ u}_e$
	$ ilde{\mu}_{R,L}$, $ ilde{ u}_{\mu}$
	$ ilde{ au}_{R,L}$, $ ilde{ u}_{ au}$
Neutralinos	\tilde{B}^0 , \tilde{W}^0 , $\tilde{H}^0_{1,2}$
Charginos	$ ilde{W}^{\pm}$, $ ilde{H}^{\pm}_{1,2}$
Gluino	ĝ
Gravitino	Ĝ
Axino	ã

Popular candidates for playing the role of cold dark matter

Lightest neutralino: WIMP

<u>Gravitino</u>: Present in Supergravity theories. Can also be the LSP and a good dark matter candidate

Axino: SUSY partner of the axion. Extremely weak interactions

G Panotopoulos

Gauge interactions: Fixed Yukawa interactions: Superpotential

MSSM:

$$W = \epsilon_{ij} \left(Y_u H_2^j Q^i u + Y_d H_1^i Q^j d + Y_e H_1^i L^j e \right) + \mu \epsilon_{ij} H_1^i H_2^j$$

NMSSM:

$$W = \epsilon_{ij} \left(Y_u H_2^j Q^i u + Y_d H_1^i Q^j d + Y_e H_1^i L^j e \right) - \epsilon_{ij} \lambda S H_1^i H_2^j + \frac{1}{3} \kappa S^3$$

Gut idea: Universal boundary conditions

$$m_{\tilde{f}_{i}}(M_{GUT}) = m_{0}$$

$$A_{ij}^{u}(M_{GUT}) = A_{ij}^{d}(M_{GUT}) = A_{ij}^{l}(M_{GUT}) = A_{0}\delta_{ij}$$

$$M_{1}(M_{GUT}) = M_{2}(M_{GUT}) = M_{3}(M_{GUT}) = m_{1/2}$$

G Panotopoulos

The running of mass parameters with the mass scale



G Panotopoulos

Neutralino mass matrix in the MSSM & the NMSSM

Extensions of the MSSM also allow an increase of the Higgs-exchange amplitude. For instance, n the Next-to-MSSM, where a new singlet (and singlino) is included:

	(M_1	0	$-M_Z s_\theta c_\beta$	$M_Z s_{\theta} s_{\beta}$	0	
		0	M_2	$M_Z c_{\theta} c_{\beta}$	$-M_Z c_\theta s_\beta$	0	
${\cal M}_{ ilde{\chi}^0} =$	_	$M_Z s_{\theta} c_{\beta}$	$M_Z c_{\theta} c_{\beta}$	0	$-\mu$	$-\lambda v_2$	
	j	$M_Z s_{\theta} s_{\beta}$	$-M_Z c_\theta s_\beta$	$-\mu$	0	$-\lambda v_1$	
		0	0	$-\lambda v_2$	$-\lambda v_1$	$2\kappa \frac{\mu}{\lambda}$	J

The lightest neutralino has now a singlino component

$$\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} = \underbrace{N_{11} \ \tilde{B}^{0} + N_{12} \ \tilde{W}_{3}^{0}}_{\text{Gaugino content}} + \underbrace{N_{13} \ \tilde{H}_{d}^{0} + N_{14} \ \tilde{H}_{u}^{0}}_{\text{Higgsino content}} + \underbrace{N_{15} \tilde{S}}_{\text{Singlino content}}$$

G Panotopoulos

Collider constraints on SUSY models

Once the spectrum and couplings are computed, experimental constraints are applied

Masses of superpartners

 $m_{ ilde{\chi}_1^\pm} > 103$ GeV, $m_{\tilde{g}} > 150 \ {\rm GeV}$ $m_{ ilde{ au}} > 87$ GeV, . . .

Mass of the Higgs boson

 $m_h > 114.1 \, GeV$

Low energy observables that receive SUSY contributions

Muon anomalous magnetic moment

 $(g-2)_{\mu}$

Rare decays

$$(b \rightarrow s\gamma)$$

G Panotopoulos

Neutralino freeze-out and relic density

Boltzmann equation
Equillibrium number density (cold relic)

$$\dot{n} + 3Hn = -\langle \sigma_A v \rangle (n^2 - n_{eq}^2)$$

$$n_{eq} \simeq (mT)^{3/2} exp(-m/T)$$

Relic density

Decoupling

$$H = n_{eq} \langle \sigma_A v \rangle$$
$$\frac{m}{T} \simeq 25$$

$$\Omega_{\chi}h^2 = \frac{10^{-10}GeV^{-2}}{\langle \sigma_A v \rangle}$$

G Panotopoulos

Typical $(m_0 - m_{1/2})$ plane



From hep-ph/0402240 (Covi et al)

G Panotopoulos

The gravitino can be the LSP in Supergravity

The relation between the gravitino mass and the rest of the soft masses depends on the SUSY-breaking mechanism

The gravitino mass is directly related to the scale of SUSY breaking

Interactions completely determined by the SUGRA Lagrangian

Anomaly-Mediated (AMSB)	m _{3/2} = <i>O</i> (10⁴ – 10⁵ GeV) >> m, M	Gravitino not LSP
Gravity-mediated (GMSB)	m _{3/2} = O(10 ² – 10 ³ GeV) ~ m, M	Gravitino LSP in some regions of the parameter
Gaugino-Mediated	m _{3/2} = O(10 ⁻² – 10 ² GeV) ≲m, M	space
Gauge-Mediated	m _{3/2} = <i>O</i> (10 ⁻¹⁰ − 10 ⁻⁸ GeV) << m, M	Gravitino LSP

G Panotopoulos

Feynman rules for the gravitino interactions

Ψμ

5 (a)

 ${}^{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_{\sigma}^{c}}$



 $\frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}M_p}\gamma_\nu\gamma_\mu(1+\gamma_5)p^\nu$

$$\frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}M_p}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu}(1-\gamma_5)p^{\nu}$$

$$\frac{-1}{2\sqrt{2}M_p}gT^a_{ji}\gamma_\nu\gamma_\mu(1+\gamma_5)$$

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}M_p}gT^a_{ij}\gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu(1-\gamma_5)$$

$$\frac{-i}{4M_p} p_{\rho}[\gamma^{\rho}, \gamma_{\sigma}]\gamma_{\mu}$$

$$\frac{-1}{4M_p}gf^{abc}[\gamma_\rho,\gamma_\sigma]\gamma_\mu$$

(From Moroi s Thesis hep-ph/9503210)

G Panotopoulos

SUSY 2010 Bonn

14

Gravitino production mechanisms



Thermal production of gravitinos



•



Thermal field
 theory



Thermal production of gravitinos

Collision term

•

- Boltzmann equation
- Define the yield

$$C(T) \sim \frac{T^6}{M_p^2} \left(1 + \frac{m_{\tilde{g}}^2}{3m_{3/2}^2} \right)$$

$$\dot{n} + 3Hn = C(T)$$

$$Y = n/s, \Omega = mn/\rho_{cr}$$

 Hubble parameter & entropy density

$$H(T) = 1.66\sqrt{g_*}\frac{T^2}{M_p}$$

$$s(T) = 2\pi^2 h_* T^3 / 45$$

G Panotopoulos

Assumption: Gravitino LSP & stable <--- R-parity conservation

 $\Omega_{3/2}h^2 = \Omega_{3/2}^{TP}h^2 + \overline{\Omega_{3/2}^{N}}h^2$

$$\Omega_{3/2}^{NTP}h^2 = \frac{m_{3/2}}{m_{NLSP}}\Omega_{NLSP}h^2$$

$$\Omega_{3/2}^{TP}h^2 = 0.27 \frac{T_R}{10^{10} GeV} \frac{100 GeV}{m_{3/2}} \left(\frac{m_{\tilde{g}}}{TeV}\right)^2$$

G Panotopoulos

The degenerate scenario

- Gravitino LSP
- Neutralino NLSP
- Almost degenerate in mass

Unique decay channel

$$\chi \to \Psi \gamma$$

Neutralino lifetime

$$\Delta m = m_{\chi} - m_{3/2}$$

$$m_{3/2}, m_{\chi} \gg \Delta m$$

$$\tau \simeq \frac{1.78 \times 10^{13} \ sec}{|N_{11} \cos \theta_W + N_{12} \sin \theta_W|^2} \left(\frac{GeV}{\Delta m}\right)^3$$

G Panotopoulos

Collider and cosmological constraints in cNMSSM (degenerate scenario)

Collider constraints

$$m_{\chi} > 50 \ GeV$$

$$tan\beta \geq 6$$

$$m_h < 90 \ GeV$$

• No BBN or CMB constraints ($\tau \gg t_0$)

• WMAP bound

$$\Omega_{CDM}h^2 = \Omega_{3/2}h^2 + \Omega_{\chi}h^2 \simeq 0.113$$

Spin independent neutralino-nucleon cross section

Process

$$N\chi \to N\chi$$
Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \alpha \bar{\chi} \chi \bar{q} q$$

Formula

$$\sigma = \frac{4m_r^2}{\pi} f_N^2$$

G Panotopoulos





Numerical results

 Cross section (cm²) vs neutralino mass (GeV)

 Cross section (cm²) vs light Higgs mass (GeV)





Conclusions

- SUSY: Well motivated and most popular journey beyond the SM
 Byproduct: Ideal candidates for CDM (axino, gravitino, neutralino)
- Gravitino: Mass related to SUSY breaking scheme, interactions completely determined by SUGRA Lagrangian
 - Degenerate scenario: Neutralino quasi-stable & no CMB nor BBN constraints, only WMAP bound
- NMSSM: Simplest SUSY model solving the mu problem
- Points that pass all collider constraints: Bino or singlino
- Neutralino direct detection searches: bino excluded, singlino can be probed in future experiments