Electroweak contributions to squark and gluino production processes at the LHC

Edoardo Mirabella



In collaboration with J. Germer, W. Hollik & M. Trenkel

Outline

Motivations

- Production of squarks and gluinos
 - QCD contributions
 - EW contributions
- Closer look into EW contributions
 - UV divergences
 - IR divergences
- Numerical discussion
- Conclusions

Motivations



- TeV-scale SUSY will be tested @ LHC ...
- ... mainly via direct production of coloured SUSY particles

Motivations



- TeV-scale SUSY will be tested @ LHC ...
- ... mainly via direct production of coloured SUSY particles
- Electroweak Contributions
 - chirality, flavour, scenario dependent
 - can become numerically important
 - if small, reliable estimation of the theoretical error
- → investigation needed

Squark and Gluino production – QCD

The main formula, from hadron to parton level: $P_1 \equiv$ $d\sigma_{P_1P_2 \to FX} = \sum_{i,j} \int dx_1 \, dx_2 \, f_{i|P_1}(x_1) \, f_{j|P_2}(x_2) \, d\hat{\sigma}_{ij \to FX}$ FXLO is QCD based, of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ [Kane & Leveille '82] [Harrison & Llewellyn Smith '83] [Reya & Roy '85] [Dawson et al. '85] [Baer & Tata '85] • $F = \tilde{q}\tilde{q}' \& \tilde{q}^*\tilde{q}'^*$ • $F = \tilde{g}\tilde{q} \& \tilde{g}\tilde{q}^*$ Je une • $F = \tilde{g}\tilde{g}$ + $\delta_{\tilde{q}\tilde{q}'}$ + $\delta_{\tilde{q}\tilde{q}'}$ + • $F = \tilde{q}\tilde{q}'^*$ $\cdot \, \delta_{\tilde{q}\tilde{q}'}$

Squark and Gluino production – QCD

- The main formula, from hadron to parton level: $P_1 \equiv$ $d\sigma_{P_1P_2 \to FX} = \sum_{i,j} \int dx_1 \, dx_2 \, f_{i|P_1}(x_1) \, f_{j|P_2}(x_2) \, d\hat{\sigma}_{ij \to FX}$ FXLO is QCD based, of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ [Kane & Leveille '82] [Harrison & Llewellyn Smith '83] [Reya & Roy '85] [Dawson et al. '85] [Baer & Tata '85] • $F = \tilde{q}\tilde{q}' \& \tilde{q}^*\tilde{q}'^*$ • $F = \tilde{g}\tilde{q} \& \tilde{g}\tilde{q}^*$ • $F = \tilde{g}\tilde{g}$ Jose mark + • $F = \tilde{q}\tilde{q}'^*$ $\cdot \, \delta_{\tilde{q}\tilde{q}'}$
- NLO QCD corrrections, of $\mathcal{O}(lpha_s^3)$ [Beenakker et al. '96, '97, '98]
 - scale dependence is reduced
 - K-factor from 1.2 to 1.4
 - NLO QCD total cross section publicly available [PROSPINO, Beenakker, Höpker & Spira, '97]

Squark and Gluino production – QCD

- The main formula, from hadron to parton level: $d\sigma_{P_1P_2 \to FX} = \sum_{i,j} \int dx_1 \, dx_2 \, f_{i|P_1}(x_1) \, f_{j|P_2}(x_2) \, d\hat{\sigma}_{ij \to FX}$ FXLO is QCD based, of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ [Kane & Leveille '82] [Harrison & Llewellyn Smith '83] [Reya & Roy '85] [Dawson et al. '85] [Baer & Tata '85] • $F = \tilde{q}\tilde{q}' \& \tilde{q}^*\tilde{q}'^*$ • $F = \tilde{g}\tilde{q} \& \tilde{g}\tilde{q}^*$ Lee weeks the second • $F = \tilde{g}\tilde{g}$ + • $F = \tilde{q}\tilde{q}'^*$ $\cdot \, \delta_{\tilde{q}\tilde{q}'}$
- Beyond NLO QCD
 - $F = \tilde{q}\tilde{q}^{'*}$: approximate NNLO corrections [Langenfeld, Moch '09]
 - NLL resummation [Kulesza, Motyka '08, '09] [Beneke, Falgari & Schwimm '07, '09, '10] [Beenakker *et al.* '09]
 see the talk of Silja Brensing

Squark and Gluino production – tree level EW

• The main formula, from hadron to parton level: $d\sigma_{P_1P_2 \to FX} = \sum_{i,j} \int dx_1 \ dx_2 \ f_{i|P_1}(x_1) \ f_{j|P_2}(x_2) \ d\hat{\sigma}_{ij \to FX}$



- Contributions of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s \alpha + \alpha^2)$ [Bozzi *et al.* '07] [Alan, Cankocak, & Demir '07] [Bornhauser *et al.* '07] [Hollik, Kollar & Trenkel '07] [Hollik, EM '08] [Hollik, EM & Trenkel '08] [Germer, Hollik, EM & Trenkel '10]
- Contributions from qq-, $q\bar{q}$ -initiated processes:

Squark and Gluino production – tree level EW

• The main formula, from hadron to parton level: $d\sigma_{P_1P_2 \to FX} = \sum_{i,j} \int dx_1 \ dx_2 \ f_{i|P_1}(x_1) \ f_{j|P_2}(x_2) \ d\hat{\sigma}_{ij \to FX}$



- **Contributions of** $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s \alpha + \alpha^2)$ [Bozzi *et al.* '07] [Alan, Cankocak, & Demir '07] [Bornhauser *et al.* '07] [Hollik, Kollar & Trenkel '07] [Hollik, EM '08] [Hollik, EM & Trenkel '08] [Germer, Hollik, EM & Trenkel '10]
- Contributions from qq-, $q\bar{q}$ -initiated processes:

$$F = \tilde{q}\tilde{q}' \& \tilde{q}^*\tilde{q}'^* \quad \mathcal{O}(\alpha^2) \rightsquigarrow \left| \begin{array}{c} \tilde{\chi} \\ \tilde{\chi} \\ \tilde{\chi} \\ \end{array} \right|^2 \quad \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s \alpha) \rightsquigarrow \begin{array}{c} \tilde{\chi} \\ \tilde{\chi} \\ \tilde{\chi} \\ \end{array} \quad \tilde{\chi} \\ \tilde{\chi} \\ \tilde{\chi} \\ \tilde{\chi} \\ \end{array} \quad \tilde{\chi} \\ \tilde{\chi}$$

$$\tilde{\chi}$$

Contributions from photon-induced processes:

$$F = \tilde{q}\tilde{q}'^* \qquad \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s \alpha) \rightsquigarrow \left| \begin{array}{c} & & \\$$

 $F = \tilde{g}\tilde{q} \& \tilde{g}\tilde{q}^* \quad \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s \alpha) \rightsquigarrow \left| \right\rangle \longrightarrow \left| \right\rangle^2$

Squark and Gluino production – NLO EW

The main formula, from hadron to parton level:

$$d\sigma_{P_1P_2 \to FX} = \sum_{i,j} \int dx_1 \, dx_2 \, f_{i|P_1}(x_1) \, f_{j|P_2}(x_2) \, d\hat{\sigma}_{ij \to FX}$$



• NLO EW are of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2 \alpha)$ [Beccaria *et al.* '07] [Hollik, Kollar & Trenkel '07] [Hollik, EM '08] [Hollik, EM & Trenkel '08] [EM '09] [Germer, Hollik, EM & Trenkel '10]

<u>Virtual Corrections</u> ($F = \tilde{q}\tilde{q}^*$ case)

QCD Born × 1-loop EW:









Squark and Gluino production – NLO EW

- The main formula, from hadron to parton level: $d\sigma_{P_1P_2 \to FX} = \sum_{i,j} \int dx_1 \, dx_2 \, f_{i|P_1}(x_1) \, f_{j|P_2}(x_2) \, d\hat{\sigma}_{ij \to FX}$ FXImposed with the second secon [Hollik, EM & Trenkel '08] [EM '09] [Germer, Hollik, EM & Trenkel '10] <u>Virtual Corrections</u> ($F = \tilde{q}\tilde{q}^*$ case) • QCD Born \times 1-loop EW: EW Born × 1-loop QCD: <u>Real Corrections</u> ($F = \tilde{q}\tilde{q}^*$ case)
 - photon emission ($X = \gamma$):

 - quark emission (X = q):













- α_s in the $\overline{\mathsf{MS}}$ scheme
 - top, squarks, and gluino decoupled [Beenakker et al. '97]
 - **SUSY restoring term in the** $q \ \tilde{q} \ \tilde{g}$ **ct** [Hollik, Stockinger '01]



- α_s in the MS scheme
 - top, squarks, and gluino decoupled [Beenakker et al. '97]
 - SUSY restoring term in the $q \ ilde{q} \ ilde{g}$ ct [Hollik, Stockinger '01]
- Renormalization of the squark & quark sectors:
 - First two generations \rightarrow on-shell
 - Third generation \rightarrow four different schemes
 - check of their reliability [Heinemeyer *et al.* '05] [Heinemeyer, Rzehak & Schappacher '10]
 see the talk of Sven Heinemeyer

IR & Collinear Divergences



IR & Collinear Divergences



[BN & KLN theorems]

IR & Collinear Safe

- **IR** & Collinear singularities
 - regularized by mass regularization
 - Extracted using both phase space slicing & dipole subtraction

┿

╋

Numerical Results – Cross Section Vs squark / gluino mass



 $m{
m I}\sim{
m SPS1a'}$ scenario

•
$$\delta = \sigma^{\rm EW}/\sigma^{\rm LO}(\%)$$
 $K = \sigma^{\rm EW}/\sigma^{\rm LO}+1$

- EW contributions
 - $\tilde{q}\tilde{q}^*$, $\tilde{g}\tilde{g}$: grow with the mass (key role of $q\bar{q}$ channel)
 - $\tilde{q}\tilde{q}'$: important if $m_{\tilde{q}}$ small (key role of $\tilde{q}_L \tilde{q}'_R$ production)
 - $\tilde{g}\tilde{q}$: $|\delta| \sim 1\%$ if $m_{\tilde{q}} \leq 1200$ GeV (not shown here)



Numerical results $- PP \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{q}} \tilde{\mathbf{q}}'$



Numerical results $- PP \rightarrow \tilde{q}\tilde{q}'$





- \checkmark SPS1a' scenario $m_{\tilde{q}\neq\tilde{b},\tilde{t}}\simeq 560~{\rm GeV}$
- Complicate pattern
 - Different sets dominate in different regions



Numerical results $- PP \rightarrow \tilde{q}\tilde{q}'$





p_ [GeV]

- \checkmark SPS1a' scenario $m_{\tilde{q}\neq\tilde{b},\tilde{t}}\simeq 560~{\rm GeV}$
- Complicate pattern
- Chirality-dependent
 - bigger corrections in the LL case
 w.r.t. the LR & RR case
 - different sets depend differently on the chirality

Numerical results $- \mathbf{PP} \rightarrow \mathbf{\tilde{q}}\mathbf{\tilde{q}}'$

-8

0





500

- \checkmark SPS1a' scenario $m_{\tilde{q}\neq\tilde{b},\tilde{t}}\simeq 560~{\rm GeV}$
- EW contributions
 - LL: important when $p_T \leq 250 \text{ GeV}$
 - LR: below 10~%
 - $\tilde{q}\tilde{q}'$: above 10 % if p_T small

1500

1000

p_ [GeV]

Scale dependence



- SPS1a' scenario $m_{\tilde{q}\neq\tilde{b},\tilde{t}}\simeq 560 \text{ GeV}$ • $\Delta \sigma^{\text{EW}} = \Delta \sigma^{\text{tree EW}} + \Delta \sigma^{\text{NLO EW}}$
- PDF sets used \rightarrow MRST2001LO (LO QCD)
 - \rightarrow MRST2004NLO (NLO QCD)
 - \rightarrow MRST2004QED (NLO QCD & NLO EW)
- reduced scale dependence @ NLO EW
- if $\mu \sim m_{\tilde{q}}/2$ NLO EW $\mathcal{O}(20\%)$
 - \rightsquigarrow NLO EW needed for reliable predictions .

Scale dependence



- SPS1a' scenario $m_{\tilde{q}\neq\tilde{b},\tilde{t}}\simeq 560 \text{ GeV}$ • $\Delta\sigma^{\text{EW}} = \Delta\sigma^{\text{tree EW}} + \Delta\sigma^{\text{NLO EW}}$
- PDF sets used \rightarrow MRST2001LO (LO QCD)
 - \rightarrow MRST2004NLO (NLO QCD)
 - \rightarrow MRST2004QED (NLO QCD & NLO EW)
- μ_F dependence almost cancelled @ NLO EW
- QED evolution of the PDF not important ...
 - ... as expected [Kripfganz, Perlt '88] [Spiesberger '95] [Bauer, Keller, Wackeroth '98] [Roth, Weinzerl '04]

Conclusions

- Direct prodution of squarks and gluinos
 - important discovery channels for TeV-scale SUSY
- EW contributions to these processes:
 - under investigation ($\tilde{b}\tilde{b}^*$ in preparation)
 - chirality dependent
 - enhanced if \tilde{q}_L in the final state
 - can become numerically important ····
 - ... in particular in the distributions
 - $\tilde{q}\tilde{q}'$: scale dependence of the tree-level EW reduced by NLO EW

Backup Slides

Phase Space Slicing & Dipole

Consider the process $q' \ \bar{q}' \ \rightarrow \ \tilde{q}^a \ \tilde{q}^{a*} \ \gamma$

 $\sigma_{q'\bar{q}'\to\tilde{q}^a\tilde{q}^{a*}\gamma} = \int d\Phi_3 \ |\mathcal{M}|^2$

 $d\phi_3~=$ phase space measure

Consider the process
$$q' \ \bar{q}' \rightarrow \tilde{q}^a \ \tilde{q}^{a*} \ \gamma$$

 $\sigma_{q'\bar{q}'\rightarrow\tilde{q}^a\tilde{q}^{a*}\gamma} = \int_{\substack{E_{\gamma} > \Delta E \\ \theta_{q\gamma}, \ \theta_{\bar{q}\gamma} < \Delta \theta}} d\Phi_3 \ |\mathcal{M}|^2 + \int_{\substack{\text{singular} \\ \text{region}}} d\Phi_3 \ |\mathcal{M}|^2$

$$d\phi_3 = \text{phase space measure} \\ \theta_{i\gamma} = \text{angle between } \gamma \text{ and } i \\ E_{\gamma} = \text{energy of } \gamma$$

- Phase Space Slicing. The photon phase space is divided into two parts introducing cuts:
 - regular region integrated numerically
 - singular region eikonal approximation after mass regularization
 - Remarks:
 - + Intutive method
 - Cuts have to be small (eikonal approximation) ...
 - ... But not too much (numerical instabilities)

Consider the process $q' \ \bar{q}' \rightarrow \tilde{q}^a \ \tilde{q}^{a*} \ \gamma$ $\sigma_{q'\overline{q}' \rightarrow \tilde{q}^a \tilde{q}^{a*} \gamma} = \int d\Phi_3 \left[|\mathcal{M}|^2 - |\mathcal{M}_{sub}|^2 \right] + \int d\Phi_3 |\mathcal{M}_{sub}|^2$ $d\phi_3 = \text{phase space measure}$ exactly computed

- **Subtraction method.** Add and subtract a function \mathcal{M}_{sub} such that
 - $i) \; \mathcal{M}_{\mathsf{sub}} \; \mathsf{and} \; \mathcal{M} \; \mathsf{have} \; \mathsf{same} \; \mathsf{singularity} \; \mathsf{structure}$
 - $ii) \mathcal{M}_{sub}$ easy enough to be analitically computed
 - $(|\mathcal{M}_{sub}|^2 |\mathcal{M}|^2)$ is regular and evaluated numerically
 - $\int d\Phi_3 |\mathcal{M}_{sub}|^2$ exactly evaluated (after mass regularization)
 - Remarks:
 - + All numerics involve regular functions
 - + No cut off are needed
 - + leads to more precise results

- Slicing & Subtraction
 - Two completely different approaches to the problem
 - Their comparison is a non trivial check for IR treatment
- Result of the comparison for the process $u\overline{u} \rightarrow \tilde{u}^L \tilde{u}^{L*} \gamma$



 $\Delta = (\text{Dipole} - \text{Slicing}), \text{ point SPS1a}'$

Renormalizing the strong coupling

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{strong}} = -\frac{1}{4} G^A_{\mu\nu} G^{A,\mu\nu} + g_s T^A \bar{\Psi}_u \gamma^\mu \Psi_u G^A_\mu - \sqrt{2} \hat{g}_s \left[T^A \bar{\Psi}^A_{\tilde{g}} \omega_- \Psi_u \Phi^*_{\tilde{u},L} + h.c. \right] + \dots$$

one field and two couplings to reparametrize:

$$G^A_\mu \to \left(1 + \frac{\delta Z_G}{2}\right) G^A_\mu$$
, $g_s \to g_s + \delta g_s$, $\hat{g}_s \to \hat{g}_s + \delta \hat{g}_s$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{strong}} = -\frac{1}{4} G^A_{\mu\nu} G^{A,\mu\nu} + g_s T^A \bar{\Psi}_u \gamma^\mu \Psi_u G^A_\mu - \sqrt{2} \hat{g}_s \left[T^A \bar{\Psi}^A_{\tilde{g}} \omega_- \Psi_u \Phi^*_{\tilde{u},L} + h.c. \right] + \dots$$

one field and two couplings to reparametrize:

$$G^A_\mu \to \left(1 + \frac{\delta Z_G}{2}\right) G^A_\mu, \quad g_s \to g_s + \delta g_s, \quad \hat{g}_s \to \hat{g}_s + \delta \hat{g}_s$$

• Definition of δg_s , δZ_G :



- Divergences within DREG, $\Delta = 2/\epsilon \gamma + \ln 4\pi$
- MS (DREG + UV poles subtraction) if light particles in loops
- Zero momentum subtraction scheme if heavy particles in loops
 \hookrightarrow SM-like running of g_s

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{strong}} = -\frac{1}{4} G^A_{\mu\nu} G^{A,\mu\nu} + g_s T^A \bar{\Psi}_u \gamma^\mu \Psi_u G^A_\mu - \sqrt{2} \hat{g}_s \left[T^A \bar{\Psi}^A_{\tilde{g}} \omega_- \Psi_u \Phi^*_{\tilde{u},L} + h.c. \right] + \dots$$

one field and two couplings to reparametrize:

$$G^A_\mu \to \left(1 + \frac{\delta Z_G}{2}\right) G^A_\mu, \quad g_s \to g_s + \delta g_s, \quad \hat{g}_s \to \hat{g}_s + \delta \hat{g}_s$$

- Definition of $\delta \hat{g}_s$:
 - Owing to SUSY should be a dependent parameter $\hookrightarrow \hat{g}_s = g_s; \quad \delta \hat{g}_s = \delta g_s$
 - But DREG spoils SUSY @ NLO [Beenakker et al.'96,98]

 \hookrightarrow SUSY restored setting: $\hat{g}_s = g_s + g_s \frac{\alpha_s}{3\pi}; \quad \delta \hat{g}_s = \delta g_s$

• The mismatch between g_s and \hat{g}_s is reabsorbed into $\delta \hat{g}_s$:

$$\hookrightarrow \hat{g}_s = g_s; \qquad \delta \hat{g}_s = \delta g_s + g_s \frac{\alpha_s}{3\pi}$$