



ARXIV: 2103.08662

dNNsolve

an efficient NN-based PDE solver

V. Guidetti



Y. Welling

F.Muia



A. Westphal







PDES ARE UBIQUITOUS IN NATURE



Damped Harmonic Oscillator 1.00 Analytical ···· NN prediction 0.75 0.50 0.25 y(t) 0.00 -0.25 -0.50 -0.75 0.0 2.5 5.0 7.5 12.5 17.5 20.0 10.0 15.0 Log(r) 0.0 2.5 7.5 10.0 12.5 15.0 17.5 20.0 5.0 t

NN OPTIMISATION PROBLEM

(Lagaris, Likas, Fotiadis, 1998) and **`PINNs'** (Raissi, Perdikaris, Karniadakis, 2017) + more

- Neural network approximates solution u(x) of PDE
- Loss function: physical laws + additional constraints

$$\mathcal{L}(\hat{u}(\vec{x}), \alpha_{\bullet}) \equiv \frac{\alpha_{\Omega}}{n_{\Omega}} \sum_{i} \underline{\left[\mathcal{G}[\hat{u}](\vec{x}_{i})\right]^{2}} + \frac{\alpha_{0}}{n_{0}} \sum_{j} \underline{\left[\mathcal{B}_{0}[\hat{u}](\vec{x}_{j})\right]^{2}} + \frac{\alpha_{\partial\Omega}}{n_{\partial\Omega}} \sum_{k} \underline{\left[\mathcal{B}_{\partial\Omega}[\hat{u}](\vec{x}_{k})\right]^{2}} + \dots$$

$$PDE \qquad \text{i.c.} \qquad \text{b.c.}$$

$$\text{INSIDERVISED}$$

Deautifully simple fuea:

ADVANTAGES OVER STANDARD SOLVERS

Solve multiple types of PDEs with same simple method

- initial value problem
- boundary value problem
- delay equation
- additional constraints
- inverse problem
- ...

ADVANTAGES OVER STANDARD SOLVERS

Solve multiple types of PDEs with same simple method

- initial value problem
- boundary value problem
- delay equation
- additional constraints
- inverse problem
- •••

Works on arbitrarily shaped domains and is mesh-free

ADVANTAGES OVER STANDARD SOLVERS

Solve multiple types of PDEs with same simple method

- initial value problem
- boundary value problem
- delay equation
- additional constraints
- inverse problem
- ...
- Works on arbitrarily shaped domains and is mesh-free
- ▶ PINNs already improve on memory complexity (≥3D) and time complexity (≥5D) as compared to FDM (Avrutskiy,2020)

ADVANTAGES OVER STANDARD SOLVERS

Solve multiple types of PDEs with same simple method

- initial value problem
- boundary value problem
- delay equation
- additional constraints
- inverse problem
- ...
- Works on arbitrarily shaped domains and is mesh-free
- ► PINNs already improve on memory complexity (≥3D) and time complexity (≥5D) as compared to FDM (Avrutskiy,2020)
- Cosmologist's dream: have competitive NN-based cosmo codes This calls for time efficiency improvements in 3+1D

Hidden Fluid Mechanics (Raissi, Yazdani, Karniadakis, Science 2020)





Identify location hole



PROMISING PINNS

(see talk by Karniadakis MLTP 2020) https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FQ0vsqU-K00

MAIN DRAWBACK OF PINNS

Fine tuning of hyper parameters NN for each problem at hand Also remarked in (DeepXDE; Lu, Meng, Zao, Karniadakis, 2020). See also (PyDEns; Koryagin, Khudorozkov, & Tsimfer, 2019) + (NeuroDiffEq; Chen, Sondak, Pavlos Protopapas, Mattheakis, Liu, Agarwal, Di Giovanni, 2019)

E.g. we failed to solve for the harmonic oscillator $\ddot{u}(t) + \omega^2 u(t) = 0; \ u(t_0) = 1; \ \dot{u}(t_0) = 0 \longrightarrow u(t) = \cos(\omega t)$

MAIN DRAWBACK OF PINNS

Fine tuning of hyper parameters NN for each problem at hand Also remarked in (DeepXDE; Lu, Meng, Zao, Karniadakis, 2020). See also (PyDEns; Koryagin, Khudorozkov, &

Tsimfer, 2019) + (NeuroDiffEq; Chen, Sondak, Pavlos Protopapas, Mattheakis, Liu, Agarwal, Di Giovanni, 2019)

E.g. we failed to solve for the harmonic oscillator

- 3000 collocation points
- PINNi = i layers × 20 nodes of sigmoids
- 2000 epochs of ADAM



MAIN DRAWBACK OF PINNS

Fine tuning of hyper parameters NN for each problem at hand Also remarked in (DeepXDE; Lu, Meng, Zao, Karniadakis, 2020). See also (PyDEns; Koryagin, Khudorozkov, &

Tsimfer, 2019) + (NeuroDiffEq; Chen, Sondak, Pavlos Protopapas, Mattheakis, Liu, Agarwal, Di Giovanni, 2019)

E.g. we failed to solve for the harmonic oscillator

- 3000 collocation points
- PINNi = i layers × 20 nodes of sigmoids
- 20.000 epochs of ADAM

Wait.. what's going on?



BACK TO THE BASICS: UNIVERSAL APPROXIMATION THEOREM

PINNs are motivated by UAT (Cybenko 1989)

Theorem 1. Let σ be any continuous discriminatory function. Then finite sums of the form

$$G(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_j \sigma(y_j^{\mathsf{T}} x + \theta_j)$$
⁽²⁾

are dense in $C(I_n)$. In other words, given any $f \in C(I_n)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a sum, G(x), of the above form, for which

 $|G(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in I_n$.

Proof: based on binning a function



(Riemann Sum - Wikipedia)

BACK TO THE BASICS: UNIVERSAL APPROXIMATION THEOREM

For many PDEs there exist more efficient basis functions!
 Fourier series, polynomials, ...



mathisfun.com

Plus: how a NN actually learns:

https://cs.stanford.edu/people/karpathy/convnetjs/demo/regression.html

BACK TO THE BASICS: UNIVERSAL APPROXIMATION THEOREM

We propose to use Fourier series AND a secular expansion



Just like e.g. time-series extrapolation

(Godfrey, Gashler, 2017)





OUR LOSS FUNCTION

We use sum of RMSE (empirically: faster convergence & better accuracy)

$$\mathcal{L}(\hat{u}(\vec{x}), \alpha_{\bullet}) \equiv \alpha_{\Omega} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_{\Omega}} \sum_{i} \left[\mathcal{G}[\hat{u}](\vec{x}_{i})\right]^{2}} + \alpha_{0} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_{0}} \sum_{j} \left[\mathcal{B}_{0}[\hat{u}](\vec{x}_{j})\right]^{2}} + \alpha_{\partial\Omega} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_{\partial\Omega}} \sum_{k} \left[\mathcal{B}_{\partial\Omega}[\hat{u}](\vec{x}_{k})\right]^{2}}$$

$$PDE \qquad i.c. \qquad b.c.$$

- Loss weights a are necessary to escape local minima
- Only tuning we will encounter is the intrinsically required mild tuning of these weights in 3D!

RESULTS 1D

Data and loss weights: Neural network size: Training: 2000+1 points, $a_0 = a_{\Omega} = a_{\delta\Omega} = 1$ 35 nodes per branch (10 for oscillon) 150 epochs of Adam (mini-batches of size 256) + BFGS until convergence

Evolution solution during training





high and low freq are learned simultaneously

RESULTS 1D

Data and loss weights: Neural network size: Training: 2000+1 points, $a_0 = a_{\Omega} = a_{\delta\Omega} = 1$ 35 nodes per branch (10 for oscillon) 150 epochs of Adam (mini-batches of size 256) + BFGS until convergence

Accuracies of the tested ODEs

ODE	Epochs	$\log_{10}(r)$
Mathieu equation	1283	-3.6 (*)
Decaying exponential	393	-4.1
Harmonic oscillator	728	-5.8
Damped harmonic oscillator	765	-5.1
Linear equation	513	-3.1
Delay equation	414	-2.5 (*)
Stiff equation	642	-4.3
Gaussian	380	-3.7
Two frequencies equation	622	-4.2
Oscillon profile equation	748	-5.2

$$r \equiv \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_{\text{tot}}} \sum_{i} |\hat{u}(\vec{x}_i) - u(\vec{x}_i)|^2}$$

all results are obtained with one random initialisation, hence don't correspond to our best results

RESULTS 1D

Contributions of the sine, secular and non-linear branches



RESULTS 2D

Data and loss weights: Neural network size: Training:

1000+200+200 points, $a_0 = 10$, $a_{\Omega} = a_{\delta\Omega} = 1$

10 nodes per branch

210 epochs of Adam (mini-batches of size 256)

+ BFGS until convergence

Accuracies of the tested PDEs

PDE	Epochs	r
Wave equation (1)	528	-5.3
Wave equation (2)	538	-6.3
Traveling wave	496	-6.1
Heat equation (1)	911	-4.6
Heat equation (2)	3764	-3.9
Heat equation (3)	762	-4.5
Poisson equation (1)	533	-6.4
Poisson equation (2)	1437	-4.8
Advective diffusion equation	942	-5.2
Burgers' equation	3744	-3.9 (*)
Parabolic equation	1158	-5.4
Poisson equation (3, disk)	4574	-5.6 (*)

$$r \equiv \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_{\text{tot}}} \sum_{i} |\hat{u}(\vec{x}_i) - u(\vec{x}_i)|^2}$$

RESULTS 3D

Data and loss weights: Neural network size: Training: 1000+1200+500 points, a: see table
10 nodes per branch (20 for Lamb-Oseen)
210 epochs of Adam (mini-batches of size 256)
+ BFGS until convergence

Accuracies of the tested PDEs

PDE	Epochs	$(\alpha_{\Omega}, \alpha_0, \alpha_{\partial\Omega})$	r
Wave equation (1)	706	(1,10,1)	-5.8
Wave equation (2)	524	(1,10,1)	-5.8
Traveling wave	715	(1,1,1)	-4.5
Heat equation (1)	750	(1,10,10)	-4.5
Heat equation (2)	1484	(1,10,10)	-4.8
Poisson equation (1)	1546	(1,1,1)	-3.7
Poisson equation (2)	3277	(1,1,1)	-2.8
Poisson equation (3)	1640	(1,1,1)	-3.0
Taylor-Green vortex	1165	(1,10,1)	-4.2
Lamb-Oseen vortex	7389	(1,1,1)	-2.4
Vorticity equation	11232	(1,1,1)	(*)

$$r \equiv \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_{\text{tot}}} \sum_{i} |\hat{u}(\vec{x}_i) - u(\vec{x}_i)|^2}$$

choice a required max 4 trials

to do: automatised weight selection

DNNSOLVE IMPROVES ON ONE-STREAM PINNS

No hyper parameter tuning: every d-dimensional PDE is solved with the same architecture and initialisation and reaches precision 10⁻³-10⁻⁶
 (Only mild tuning for loss weights in 3D)

DNNSOLVE IMPROVES ON ONE-STREAM PINNS

- No hyper parameter tuning: every d-dimensional PDE is solved with the same architecture and initialisation and reaches precision 10⁻³-10⁻⁶
 (Only mild tuning for loss weights in 3D)
- We use d · O(100-200) trainable parameters and O(1000) epochs of Adam (or O(100) with mini-batches)

Compare e.g. to 1000-8000 trainable parameters and 15000-80000 epochs for 1,2 D examples presented in (DeepXDE; Lu, Meng, Zao, Karniadakis, 2020)

KINKS & OSCILLONS

Data and loss weights: Neural network size: Training: 300+1 points, $a_0 = a_\Omega = a_{\delta\Omega} = 1$ 10 nodes per branch 500 epochs of Adam + BFGS until convergence



BOUNCES?

N-field benchmark example (BubbleProfiler; Athron et al, 2019) (SimpleBounce; Sato, 2020)
 (FindBounce; Guada, 2020) (OptiBounce; Bardsley, 2021) see also (Piscopo, Spannowsky, Waite, 2019)

$$\ddot{\phi_i}^B + \frac{D-1}{\rho} \dot{\phi_i}^B = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi_i^B} \qquad V_{n_\phi} = \left(\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n_\phi} c_i (\phi_i - 1)^2 \right] - \delta \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_\phi} \phi_i^2 \right)$$



CONCLUSIONS

- dNNsolve: a two-stream Fourier + secular architecture
- dNNsolve improves on PINNs:

(1) it can solve a wide range of PDEs without (or mild in 3D) hyper parameter tuning and good precision 10⁻³-10⁻⁶
 (2) it requires much fewer NN parameters and converges much faster during training

- We gained new insights how to further improve dNNsolve
 - automatised a weight tuning
 - reduce noise from superfluous neurons
- Code becomes available soon
- We are open for new ideas/collaborations



This work is supported by the ERC Consolidator Grant STRINGFLATION under the HORIZON 2020 grant agreement no. 647995.



ADAM VS BFGS



Mathieu equation:

$$\begin{cases} u''(t) + (a - 2q\cos(2t))u(t) = 0 & a = 1, q = 0.2 \\ u(0) = 1 \\ u'(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Damped harmonic oscillator:

$$\begin{cases} u''(t) + \beta u'(t) + \omega^2 u(t) = 0\\ u(0) = 1\\ u'(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$u(t) = e^{-\beta t/2} \left(\cos(f t) + \frac{\beta}{2f} \sin(f t) \right), \quad f \equiv \sqrt{\omega^2 - \beta^2/4}$$

Two frequencies:

$$\begin{cases} u''(t) + u(t) + A_1 \cos(\omega_1 t) + A_2 \sin(\omega_2 t), & A_1 = 2, A_2 = 6, \omega_1 = 5, \omega_2 = 10\\ u(0) = 1\\ u'(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

with analytical solution

$$u(t) = \frac{1}{132} (121\cos(t) + 11\cos(5t) - 80\sin(t) + 8\sin(10t))$$

Oscillon Profile equation:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} u''(t) + \frac{d-1}{r} \, u'(t) + m^2 \, u(t) - 2 \, u^3(t) = 0, \qquad d = 1 \\ u' + m u = 0 \quad \text{when} \quad t \to \infty \\ u'(0) = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

$$u(t) = \frac{m}{\cosh(m\,t)}$$

Decaying exponential:

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) + \beta u(t) = 0 \qquad \beta = 0.52\\ u(0) = 1 \end{cases}$$

with analytical solution

$$u(t) = e^{-\beta t}$$

Harmonic oscillator:

$$\begin{cases} u''(t) + \omega^2 u(t) = 0 & \omega = 5 \\ u(0) = 1 \\ u'(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$u(t) = \cos(\omega t)$$

Linear function:

$$u'(t) - 1 = 0$$

 $u(0) = 1$

with analytical solution

$$u(t) = 1 + t$$

Delay equation:

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) - \beta u(t) + u(t - d) = 0, u(t|t < 0) = t - 1, \quad d = 1\\ u(0) = 1 \end{cases}$$

Stiff equation::

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) + 21 u(t) - e^{-t} = 0\\ u(0) = 1 \end{cases}$$

with analytical solution

$$u(t) = \frac{1}{20} \left(e^{-t} + 19e^{-21t} \right)$$

Gaussian:

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) + 2 b t u(t) = 0 & b = 0.1 \\ u(0) = 1 & \end{cases}$$

$$u(t) = e^{-bt^2}$$

Advenction diffusion equation:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \frac{1}{4} \partial_{xx}^2 = 0\\ u(0, x) = \frac{1}{4} \sin(\pi x)\\ u(t, 0) = u(t, 1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

with analytical solution

$$u(t,x) = \frac{1}{4}e^{-\frac{1}{4}\pi^2 t}\sin(\pi x)$$

Burger's equation:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \partial_x u - \frac{1}{4} \partial_{xx}^2 = 0\\ u(0,x) = x(1-x)\\ u(t,0) = u(t,1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Parabolic equation on unit disk:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{tt}^2 u + \partial_{xx}^2 u - 4 = 0\\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$u(t,x) = \frac{1}{4}e^{-\frac{1}{4}\pi^2 t}\sin(\pi x)$$

Heat equation 1:

$$\partial_t u - 0.05 \ \partial_{xx}^2 u = 0$$
$$u(0, x) = \sin(3\pi x)$$
$$u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

with analytical solution

$$u(t,x) = \sin(3\pi x)e^{-0.05(3\pi)^2 t}$$

Heat equation 2:

$$\partial_t u - 0.01 \ \partial_{xx}^2 u = 0$$

$$u(0, x) = 2\sin(9\pi x) + 0.3\sin(4\pi x)$$

$$u \mid_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

with analytical solution

$$u(t,x) = 2\sin(9\pi x)e^{-0.01(9\pi)^2t} - 0.3\sin(4\pi x)e^{-0.01(4\pi)^2t}$$

Heat equation 3:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - 0.05 \ \partial_{xx}^2 u = 0\\ u(0, x) = \sin(3\pi x)\\ \partial_x u \mid_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$u(t,x) = \cos(3\pi x)e^{-0.05(3\pi)^2 t}$$

Poisson equation 1:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{tt}^2 u + \partial_{xx}^2 u + 2\pi^2 \sin(\pi t) \sin(\pi x) &= 0 \\ u |_{\partial \Omega} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

with analytical solution

$$u(t,x) = \sin(\pi x)\sin(\pi t)$$

Poisson equation 2:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{tt}^2 u + \partial_{xx}^2 u + 10(t-1)\cos(5x) + 25(t-1)(x-1)\sin(5x) = 0\\ u(0,x) = (1-x)\sin(5x)\\ u(1,x) = u(t,0) = u(t,1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

with analytical solution

$$u(t,x) = (1-t)(1-x)\sin(5x)$$

Poisson equation on unit disk:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \partial_{tt}^2 u + \partial_{xx}^2 u - e^{-(t^2 + 10x^2)} = 0 \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Wave equation:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{tt}^2 u - \partial_{xx}^2 u = 0\\ u(0, x) = \sin(3\pi x)\\ \partial_t u(0, x) = 0\\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \end{cases}$$

 $u(t,x) = \cos(3\pi t)\sin(3\pi x)$

with analytical solution

Wave equation:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{tt}^2 u - \partial_{xx}^2 u = 0\\ u(0,x) = \sin(3\pi x)\\ \partial_t u(0,x) = 0\\ \partial_x u \mid_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \end{cases}$$

with analytical solution

$$u(t,x) = \cos(3\pi t)\cos(3\pi x)$$

Traveling Wave equation:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \partial_x u = 0\\ u(0, x) = \sin(2\pi x)\\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = \sin(2\pi t) \end{cases}$$

$$u(t,x) = \cos\left(2\pi(t+x)\right)$$

Taylor-Green vortex:

$$\begin{array}{l} \partial_t u + u \partial_x u + v \partial_y u + \frac{1}{2} e^{-4t} \sin 2x - (\partial_{xx} u + \partial_{yy})u = 0\\ \partial_t v + u \partial_x v + v \partial_y v + \frac{1}{2} e^{-4t} \sin 2y - (\partial_{xx} v + \partial_{yy} v) = 0\\ \partial_x u + \partial_y v = 0\\ \text{Dirichlet BC} \end{array}$$

with analytical solution

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u(t,x,y) = \cos(x)\sin(y)e^{-2t} \\ v(t,x,y) = \sin(x)\cos(y)e^{-2t} \end{array} \right.$$

Vorticity equation:

$$\begin{cases} \omega = \partial_x v - \partial_y u \\ \partial_t \omega + u \partial_x \omega + v \partial_y \omega - 5 \cdot 10^{-3} (\partial_{xx} \omega + \partial_{yy}) \omega - 0.75 \left[\sin \left(2\pi (x+y) \right) + \cos \left(2\pi (x+y) \right) \right] = 0 \\ \partial_x u + \partial_y v = 0 \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} w(0, x, y) = \pi \left[\cos(3\pi x) - \cos(3\pi y) \right] \\ u(t, 0, y) = u(t, 1, y) \\ u(t, x, 0) = u(t, x, 1) \\ u(t, 0, y) = u(t, 1, y) \\ v(t, x, 0) = u(t, x, 1) \end{cases}$$

Lamb-Oseen vortex:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega = \partial_x v - \partial_y u \\ \partial_t \omega + u \partial_x \omega + v \partial_y \omega - 5 \cdot 10^{-3} (\partial_{xx} \omega + \partial_{yy}) \omega = 0 \\ \partial_x u + \partial_y v = 0 \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} u(t,x,y) = -\frac{y}{2\pi(x^2+y^2)} \left(1 - \exp\left[-\frac{x^2+y^2}{4t}\right]\right) \\ v(t,x,y) = \frac{x}{2\pi(x^2+y^2)} \left(1 - \exp\left[-\frac{x^2+y^2}{4t}\right]\right) \end{cases}$$

Heat equation 1:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - (\partial_{xx}^2 + \partial_{yy}^2)u = 0\\ \text{Dirichlet BC} \end{cases}$$

with analytical solution

$$u(t, x, y) = e^{x+y+2t}$$

Heat equation 2:

$$\partial_t u - (\partial_{xx}^2 + \partial_{yy}^2)u = 0$$

Dirichlet BC

$$u(t, x, y) = (1 - y)e^{x+t}$$

Poisson equation 1:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{tt}^2 u + \partial_{xx}^2 u + \partial_{yy}^2 u + 3\pi^2 \sin(\pi t) \sin(\pi x) \sin(\pi y) = 0\\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \end{cases}$$

with analytical solution

$$u(t, x, y) = \sin(\pi t)\sin(\pi x)\sin(\pi y)$$

Poisson equation 2:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_{tt}^2 u + \partial_{xx}^2 u + \partial_{yy}^2 u - 6 = 0 \\ \text{Dirichlet BC} \end{array} \right.$$

with analytical solution

$$u(t, x, y) = u(t, x, y) = t^2 + x^2 + y^2$$

Poisson equation 3:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_{tt}^2 u + \partial_{xx}^2 u + \partial_{yy}^2 u - 6 = 0 \\ \text{Dirichlet BC} \end{array} \right.$$

$$u(t, x, y) = t^2 + x^2 - y^2$$

Wave equation:

$$\begin{array}{l} \partial_{tt}^2 u - (\partial_{xx}^2 u + \partial_{yy}^2 u) = 0\\ u(0, x, y) = \sin(\pi x)\sin(\pi y)\\ \partial_t u(0, x, y) = 0\\ u \mid_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \end{array}$$

with analytical solution

$$u(t, x, y) = \cos(\sqrt{2\pi}t)\sin(\pi x)\sin(\pi y)$$

Wave equation:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{tt}^2 u - (\partial_{xx}^2 u + \partial_{yy}^2 u) = 0 \\ u(0, x, y) = \sin(3\pi x)\sin(4\pi y) \\ \partial_t u(0, x, y) = 0 \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \end{cases}$$

with analytical solution

$$u(t, x, y) = \cos(5\pi t)\sin(3\pi x)\sin(4\pi y)$$

Traveling wave equation:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \frac{1}{5}(\partial_x u + \partial_y u) = 0\\ u(0, x, y) = \sin(3\pi x + 2\pi y)\\ \text{Dirichlet BC} \end{cases}$$

$$u(t, x, y) = \sin(3\pi x + 2\pi y + \pi t)$$