

CMS Jet Calibration Scheme



Calibration Strategies



- First all corrections are taken from MC, later replace step by step by data-driven.
- Initial correction up to **Absolute** energy scale only.
- Initial assumption on JEC uncertainty: ±10%.

[g]: gluon initiated [q]: quark initiated

Relevance of Top Quarks for Jet Calibration





Top Events are a source of:

- quark initiated jets (indep. from Z/γ +jet)
- well defined/understood multijet topology
- b-jets with an increased chance of unambiguous identification

Top Quarks (semi-µ decay channel)



Validation of JEC Factors on MC Level

For the Validation on MC Level we use:

- The full MC statistics (Madgraph(ttbar only), 7TeV ~9fb⁻¹)
- A realistic selection on detector level (see above).
- MC truth to associate final state partons (from ME) to jets (unambiguous match within ΔR≤0.5).

Matching Efficiency:

	Effizienz [%]		Effizienz [%]	
$b_{ m lep}$	$83,6\pm0,3$	$t_{ m had}$	$56,9\pm0,4$	_
$b_{ m had}$	$84,2\pm0,3$	$b_{ m had}\&W_{ m had}$	$56,9\pm0,4$	
$W_{ m had}$	$67,9\pm0,4$	$b_{ m lep}\&b_{ m had}\&W_{ m had}$	$46, 9 \pm 0, 4$	→ Overall efficiency

Sources for Inefficiencies:

- Jets do not pass the $p_{_{T}}{>}30GeV$ (main source) or $|\eta|{<}2.4$ cut
- Partons cannot be associated unambiguously (more then one parton within $\Delta R \le 0.5$)

Effects of Finite Resolution and Selection



Due to finite resolution and the steeply falling spectrum we expect two effects:

- (1) More low p_T jets migrate to higher p_T then vice versa (\rightarrow resolution bias)
- (2) More low p_T jets pass the selection cut due to upwards fluctuation than high p_T jets fail due to downwards fluctuation (\rightarrow selection bias)
- Both effects (1) and (2) will be visible even with perfect calibration and generator matching
- Study by smearing the partons (parton → detector) and cut on the smeared partons. Typical resolution function:

$$\frac{\sigma(E)}{E} = \frac{4,44 \text{ GeV}}{E} \oplus \frac{1,11 \sqrt{\text{GeV}}}{\sqrt{E}} \oplus 0,03$$

Selection & Resolution Bias (integrated)

Effect on the Resolution/W-Mass/Top-Mass as a function of the selection cut on the smeared partons:



For a selection cut of 30GeV

Selection & Resolution Bias (differential)



Validation of the JEC (derived from MC)



Reconstructed W-/Top-Mass



Jet Parton Association in Data



We check five different algorithms:

- Geometric: choose closest candidates in ∆R
- MaxSumPtWMass: choose largest Σ(vec(p_T)) and best fit of W-Mass
- WMassMaxSumPt: see above, different order
- MVA: choose 4-5 kinematic variables
- KinFit: apply constraint kinematic fit (W-Mass, M_{Top}=M_{~Top})

Jet Parton Association Performance

	Effizienz [%]			
	WMassMaxSumPt	MVA	KinFit	
$b_{ m lep}$	$\textbf{37}, \textbf{1} \pm \textbf{0}, \textbf{4}$	$47,7\pm0,4$	$50,7\pm0,6$	
$b_{ m had}$	$24,4\pm0,4$	$41,8\pm0,4$	$36, 6 \pm 0, 6$	
$W_{ m had}$	$24,\mathbf{2\pm 0},4$	$30, 5\pm0, 4$	$31, 4\pm 0, 6$	
$t_{ m had}$	$20,4\pm0,4$	$19,4\pm0,3$	$34, 5\pm0, 6$	
$b_{ m had}\&W_{ m had}$	$12,2\pm0,3$	$16,5\pm0,3$	$23,3\pm0,5$	
$b_{ m lep}\&b_{ m had}\&W_{ m had}$	$9,4 \pm 0,3$	$14,2\pm0,3$	$19,9\pm0,5$	

- Measure: 1/12 ≈ 8%
- Keep in mind: ~50% of the associated jets not make the selection cuts (mostly p₁>30GeV)

- Enhance the probability to get the full system by 12(simple) 250(KinFit) %
- We get the right $t_{_{had}}$ in more than 20% of all cases
- This is without B-Tag information, in the meantime we do better (Geometric \rightarrow 30%)
- To keep things easy we stayed with the simples method (Geometric)

What we can expect from Data



Summary

- Top Events will provide a good tool to validate JEC from other samples or from MC
- JEC derived from MC are validated within 1-2% for uds, some deviations O(5%) are seen for b-jets
- We had an detailed study of jet parton association algorithms (some time ago) need to revoke and update
- A validation of the uds jet energy scale down to 2% seems possible with 50pb⁻¹, (more detailed studies needed and on or To-Do list)
- Will transform: JES validation on MC, JES validation on data and first top measurements into a PhD (Sebastian Naumann-Emme)
- Main statement will be the JES uncertainty on the production cross section for ttbar events
- Longterm aim: make use of top events to determine the JES (flavor dependent?) in the Kalibri calibration Ansatz of the UHH

Backup

Jet Algorithms in Use



Algorithm	R	GenJet-Label	CaloJet-Label	PFJet-Label
AntiKt	0.5	ak5GenJets	ak5CaloJets	ak5PFJets
AntiKt	0.7	ak7GenJets	ak7CaloJets	ak7PFJets
SISCone	0.5	sisCone5GenJets	sisCone5CaloJets	sisCone5PFJets
SISCone	0.7	sisCone7GenJets	sisCone7CaloJets	sisCone7PFJets
kT	0.4	kt4GenJets	kt4CaloJets	kt4PFJets
kT	0.6	kt6GenJets	kt6CaloJets	kt6PFJets



Correction to Parton Level

