

## Charting the Universe: the next generation of cosmological surveys Part 2

Joe Liske Fachbereich Physik Hamburger Sternwarte jochen.liske@uni-hamburg.de





CLUSTER OF EXCELLENCE QUANTUM UNIVERSE

# Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Some historical notes
- 3. Setting the cosmological scene
- 4. What is there left to do (for surveys)?
- 5. Gaia and the Dark Matter halo of the Milky Way
- 6. Rubin Observatory / LSST
- 7. Method: SN Ia
- 8. eROSITA
- 9. Method: cluster abundance



# The $\Lambda\text{CDM}$ standard model of cosmology

Parameter	TT+lowE 68% limits	TE+lowE 68% limits	EE+lowE 68% limits	TT,TE,EE+lowE 68% limits	TT,TE,EE+lowE+lensing 68% limits	TT,TE,EE+lowE+lensing+BAO 68% limits	
$\Omega_{\rm b}h^2$	$0.02212 \pm 0.00022$	$0.02249 \pm 0.00025$	$0.0240 \pm 0.0012$	$0.02236 \pm 0.00015$	0.02237 ± 0.00015	$0.02242 \pm 0.00014$	
$\Omega_{\rm c}h^2$	$0.1206 \pm 0.0021$	$0.1177 \pm 0.0020$	$0.1158 \pm 0.0046$	$0.1202 \pm 0.0014$	$0.1200 \pm 0.0012$	$0.11933 \pm 0.00091$	
$100\theta_{MC}$	$1.04077 \pm 0.00047$	$1.04139 \pm 0.00049$	$1.03999 \pm 0.00089$	$1.04090 \pm 0.00031$	$1.04092 \pm 0.00031$	$1.04101 \pm 0.00029$	
τ	$0.0522 \pm 0.0080$	$0.0496 \pm 0.0085$	$0.0527 \pm 0.0090$	$0.0544^{+0.0070}_{-0.0081}$	$0.0544 \pm 0.0073$	$0.0561 \pm 0.0071$	
$\ln(10^{10}A_s)$	$3.040 \pm 0.016$	$3.018^{+0.020}_{-0.018}$	$3.052\pm0.022$	$3.045\pm0.016$	$3.044 \pm 0.014$	$3.047 \pm 0.014$	
<i>n</i> <sub>s</sub>	$0.9626 \pm 0.0057$	$0.967 \pm 0.011$	$0.980 \pm 0.015$	$0.9649 \pm 0.0044$	$0.9649 \pm 0.0042$	$0.9665 \pm 0.0038$	
$H_0 [\mathrm{kms^{-1}Mpc^{-1}}]$	$66.88 \pm 0.92$	$68.44 \pm 0.91$	69.9 ± 2.7	$67.27 \pm 0.60$	67.36 ± 0.54	$67.66 \pm 0.42$	
$\Omega_\Lambda \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ $	$0.679 \pm 0.013$	$0.699 \pm 0.012$	$0.711\substack{+0.033\\-0.026}$	$0.6834 \pm 0.0084$	$0.6847 \pm 0.0073$	$0.6889 \pm 0.0056$	
$\Omega_m \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	$0.321 \pm 0.013$	$0.301 \pm 0.012$	$0.289^{+0.026}_{-0.033}$	$0.3166 \pm 0.0084$	$0.3153 \pm 0.0073$	$0.3111 \pm 0.0056$	
$\Omega_{\rm m} h^2$	$0.1434 \pm 0.0020$	$0.1408 \pm 0.0019$	$0.1404^{+0.0034}_{-0.0039}$	$0.1432 \pm 0.0013$	$0.1430 \pm 0.0011$	$0.14240 \pm 0.00087$	
$\Omega_{\rm m} h^3$	$0.09589 \pm 0.00046$	$0.09635 \pm 0.00051$	$0.0981\substack{+0.0016\\-0.0018}$	$0.09633 \pm 0.00029$	$0.09633 \pm 0.00030$	$0.09635 \pm 0.00030$	
<i>σ</i> <sub>8</sub>	$0.8118 \pm 0.0089$	$0.793 \pm 0.011$	$0.796\pm0.018$	$0.8120 \pm 0.0073$	$0.8111 \pm 0.0060$	$0.8102 \pm 0.0060$	
$S_8\equiv \sigma_8(\Omega_{\rm m}/0.3)^{0.5}$ .	$0.840 \pm 0.024$	$0.794 \pm 0.024$	$0.781\substack{+0.052\\-0.060}$	$0.834 \pm 0.016$	$0.832 \pm 0.013$	$0.825 \pm 0.011$	
$\sigma_8\Omega_{ m m}^{0.25}$	$0.611 \pm 0.012$	$0.587 \pm 0.012$	$0.583 \pm 0.027$	$0.6090 \pm 0.0081$	$0.6078 \pm 0.0064$	$0.6051 \pm 0.0058$	
Zre	$7.50\pm0.82$	$7.11^{+0.91}_{-0.75}$	$7.10^{+0.87}_{-0.73}$	$7.68 \pm 0.79$	$7.67\pm0.73$	$7.82 \pm 0.71$	
$10^9 A_s$	$2.092 \pm 0.034$	$2.045\pm0.041$	$2.116\pm0.047$	$2.101^{+0.031}_{-0.034}$	$2.100\pm0.030$	$2.105\pm0.030$	
$10^9 A_{\rm s} e^{-2\tau}$	$1.884 \pm 0.014$	$1.851\pm0.018$	$1.904 \pm 0.024$	$1.884\pm0.012$	$1.883 \pm 0.011$	$1.881 \pm 0.010$	
Age [Gyr]	$13.830\pm0.037$	$13.761 \pm 0.038$	$13.64^{+0.16}_{-0.14}$	$13.800\pm0.024$	$13.797 \pm 0.023$	$13.787 \pm 0.020$	
Z*	$1090.30 \pm 0.41$	$1089.57 \pm 0.42$	$1087.8^{+1.6}_{-1.7}$	$1089.95 \pm 0.27$	$1089.92 \pm 0.25$	$1089.80 \pm 0.21$	
<i>r</i> <sub>*</sub> [Mpc]	$144.46\pm0.48$	$144.95\pm0.48$	$144.29\pm0.64$	$144.39 \pm 0.30$	$144.43 \pm 0.26$	$144.57 \pm 0.22$	
100 <i>0</i> *	$1.04097 \pm 0.00046$	$1.04156 \pm 0.00049$	$1.04001 \pm 0.00086$	$1.04109 \pm 0.00030$	$1.04110 \pm 0.00031$	$1.04119 \pm 0.00029$	
Z <sub>drag</sub>	$1059.39 \pm 0.46$	$1060.03 \pm 0.54$	$1063.2 \pm 2.4$	$1059.93 \pm 0.30$	$1059.94 \pm 0.30$	$1060.01 \pm 0.29$	
<i>r</i> <sub>drag</sub> [Mpc]	$147.21\pm0.48$	$147.59\pm0.49$	$146.46\pm0.70$	$147.05 \pm 0.30$	$147.09 \pm 0.26$	$147.21 \pm 0.23$	
$k_{\rm D}  [{\rm Mpc}^{-1}]  \ldots  \ldots  .$	$0.14054 \pm 0.00052$	$0.14043 \pm 0.00057$	$0.1426 \pm 0.0012$	$0.14090 \pm 0.00032$	$0.14087 \pm 0.00030$	$0.14078 \pm 0.00028$	
Zeq	$3411 \pm 48$	$3349 \pm 46$	3340 <sup>+81</sup> _92	3407 ± 31	$3402 \pm 26$	$3387 \pm 21$	
$k_{\rm eq}  [{ m Mpc}^{-1}]  \ldots  \ldots$	$0.01041 \pm 0.00014$	$0.01022 \pm 0.00014$	$0.01019\substack{+0.00025\\-0.00028}$	$0.010398 \pm 0.000094$	$0.010384 \pm 0.000081$	$0.010339 \pm 0.000063$	
$100\theta_{s,eq}$	$0.4483 \pm 0.0046$	$0.4547 \pm 0.0045$	$0.4562 \pm 0.0092$	$0.4490 \pm 0.0030$	$0.4494 \pm 0.0026$	$0.4509 \pm 0.0020$	

# **Our Universe**

- Best measurements:  $\Omega_{\Lambda} \approx 0.68$   $\Omega_{M} \approx 0.32 \ (\Omega_{b} \approx 0.05)$   $\Omega_{rad} \approx 10^{-5}$  $H_{0} \approx 70 \ km/s/Mpc$
- The Universe
  - is flat
  - is infinite
  - accelerates!
  - expands for ever
  - is 13.8 × 10<sup>9</sup> yr old
  - consists of unknown
     mass/energy components
     at the 95% level!
     Dark Matter



# So what is there left to do (for surveys)?

Two elephants in the room:



### **COSMOLOGY IN THE 2020S AND BEYOND**

With both compelling mysteries and extensive observational means by which to explore them, this will be an amazing decade for cosmology. In this report, the panel identifies four major science questions for the upcoming decade: (1) What set the Hot Big Bang in motion? (2) What are the properties of dark matter and the dark sector? (3) What physics drives the cosmic expansion and large-scale evolution of the universe? (4) How will measurements of gravitational waves reshape our cosmological view? The panel also identified a discovery area: The Dark Ages as a cosmological probe.



"2% MILK" IS 2% MILKFAT. BUT "WHOLE MILK" ISN'T 100% MILKFAT-IT'S 3.5%. VEIRD. WHAT'S THE REST OF IT? ABOUT 27% IS DARK MATTER. THE REMAINDER IS DARK ENERGY. xkcd

# So what is there left to do (for surveys)?

## Is the model beginning to crack?

Hubble tension



Ezquiaga et al. (2018)



#### Di Valentino et al. (2021)

#### CMB with Planck

Balkenhol et al. (2021), Planck 2018+SPT+ACT : 67.49 ± 0.53

- Pogosian et al. (2020), eBOSS+Planck  $\Omega_m H^2$ : 69.6 ± 1.8
- Aghanim et al. (2020), Planck 2018: 67.27 ± 0.60 Aghanim et al. (2020). Planck 2018+CMB lensing: 67.36 ± 0.54
- Ade et al. (2016), Planck 2015, Hg = 67.27 ± 0.66

#### CMB without Planck

- Dutcher et al. (2021), SPT: 68.8 ± 1.5
- Aiola et al. (2020), ACT: 67.9 ± 1.5
- Alola et al. (2020), WMAP9+ACT: 67.6 ± 1.1
- Zhang, Huang (2019), WMAP9+BAO: 68.36<sup>+0,53</sup> Hinshaw et al. (2013), WMAP9: 70.0 ± 2.2

#### No CMB, with BBN

- D'Amico et al. (2020), BOSS DR12+BBN: 68.5 ± 2.2
- Colas et al. (2020), BOSS DR12+BBN: 68.7 ± 1.5
- Philcox et al. (2020), Pt+BAO+BBN: 68.6 ± 1.1 Ivanov et al. (2020), BOS5+BBN: 67.9 ± 1.1
- Alam et al. (2020), BO55+eBOSS+BBN: 67.35 ± 0.97

#### P<sub>1</sub>(k) + CMB lensing

Philcox et al. (2020), P<sub>i</sub>(k)+CMB lensing: 70.6<sup>+1.1</sup>/<sub>-5</sub>

#### Cepheids – SNIa

- Riess et al. (2020), R20: 73.2 ± 1 3 Breuval et al. (2020): 72.8 ± 2.7 Riess et al. (2019), R19: 74.0 ± 1 4 Camarena, Marra (2019): 75.4 ± 1.7
- Burns et al. (2018): 73.2 ± 2.3 Dhawan, Jha, Leibundgut (2017), NIR: 72.8 ± 3.1
- Follin, Knox (2017): 73.3 ± 1.7 Feeney, Mortlock, Dalmasso (2017): 73.2 ± 1.8 Riess et al. (2016), R16: 73.2 ± 1.7
- Cardona, Kunz, Pettorino (2016), HPs: 73.8 ± 2.1
- Freedman et al. (2012): 74.3 ± 2.1

#### TRGB – SNIa

- Soltis, Casertano, Riess (2020): 72.1 ± 2.0 Freedman et al. (2020): 69.6 ± 1.9
- Reid, Pesce, Riess (2019), SH0ES: 71.1 ± 1.9
  - Freedman et al. (2019): 69.8 ± 1.9 Yuan et al. (2019): 72.4 ± 2.0
    - Jang, Lee (2017): 71.2 ± 2.5
    - Miras SNIa Huang et al. (2019): 73.3 ± 4.0

#### Masers Pesce et al. (2020): 73.9 ± 3.0

- Tully Fisher Relation (TFR) Kourkchi et al. (2020): 76.0 ± 2.6
- Schombert, McGaugh, Lelli (2020): 75.1 ± 2.8

#### Surface Brightness Fluctuations

Blakeslee et al. (2021) IR-SBF w/ HST: 73.3 ± 2.5 Khetan et al. (2020) w/ LMC DEB: 71.1 ± 4.1

de Jaeger et al. (2020): 75.8+5.2

#### HII galaxies

Fernández Arenas et al. (2018): 71.0 ± 3.5

#### Lensing related, mass model - dependent

- Denzel et al. (2021): 71.8\*
- Denzer et al. (2020), TDCOSMO+SLACS: 67 412, 17 COSMO: 74 553 Yang, Birrer, Hu (2020); H<sub>0</sub> = 73.651120 Million et al. (2020), TDCOSMO: 74.2 ± 126 Baxter et al. (2020); 73.5 ± 5.3
  - - Qi et al. (2020): 73.6+1.6
    - Liao et al. (2020): 72.8<sup>+1</sup> Liao et al. (2019): 72.2 ± 2.1
  - Shajib et al. (2019), STRIDES: 74.2+2
  - Wong et al. (2019), H0LICOW 2019: 73.3\*
  - Birrer et al. (2018), HOLICOW 2018: 72.54 Bonvin et al. (2016), H0LiCOW 2016: 71.9+2
    - Optimistic average

Di Valentino (2021): 72 94 ± 0.75 Ultra – conservative, no Cepheids, no lensing Di Valentino (2021): 72.7 ± 1.1

#### GW related

Gayathri et al. (2020), GW190521+GW170817: 73.4+6.9 Mukherjee et al. (2020), GW170817+ZTF: 67.6+3 Mukherjee et al. (2019), GW170817+VLBI: 68.3+4 Abbott et al. (2017), GW170817: 70.0+121

# Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Some historical notes
- 3. Setting the cosmological scene
- 4. What is there left to do (for surveys)?
- 5. Gaia and the Dark Matter halo of the Milky Way
- 6. Rubin Observatory / LSST
- 7. Method: SN Ia
- 8. eROSITA
- 9. Method: cluster abundance



# Gaia and the Dark Mater halo of the Milky Way

- A complete description of the dynamical structure of the Milky Way requires a survey of the 6D phase space of its stars
- 3D position in space
  - Position on sky
  - Distance
- 3D velocity
  - Tangential velocity (i.e. in the plane of the sky)
  - Radial velocity



# Distance determination by parallax



## Trigonometric parallax

- Direct distance determination
- Parallax angle π: sin(π) ≈ π = a/r (for r ≫ a)
- Definition of the unit of length parallax second = parsec = pc:

a = 1 AU (
$$\approx 150 \times 10^{6}$$
 km)

- >  $r \equiv 1 \text{ pc} = 3.26 \text{ Lj} = 30.9 \times 10^{12} \text{ km}$
- Works only for very nearby stars
- Except when you can measure positions with extreme precision
- Gaia: 26 μas (G = 15 mag)!

# Velocities



 Measure from repeated astrometric observations

- Radial velocity v<sub>r</sub>
  - Spectroscopy → Doppler effect



# Gaia: a 6D survey of the Milky Way









## Gaia in numbers

	Hipparcos	Gaia
Magnitude limit	12 mag	20 mag
Completeness	7.3 – 9.0 mag	20 mag
Bright limit	0 mag	3 mag (assessment for brighter stars ongoing)
Number of objects	120,000	47 million to G = 15 mag 360 million to G = 18 mag 1192 million to G = 20 mag
Effective distance limit	1 kpc	50 kpc
Quasars	1 (3C 273)	500,000
Galaxies	None	1,000,000
Accuracy	1 milliarcsec	7 µarcsec at G = 10 mag 26 µarcsec at G = 15 mag 600 µarcsec at G = 20 mag
Photometry	2-colour (B and V)	Low-res. spectra to G = 20 mag
Radial velocity	None	15 km s <sup>-1</sup> to G <sub>RVS</sub> = 16 mag
Observing	Pre-selected	Complete and unbiased

## Gaia in numbers

- Originally planned as 5-yr mission, now extended to 10 yr
- Astrometry (G < 20 mag):</p>
  - Complete to 20 mag (on-board detection)  $\rightarrow$  10<sup>9</sup> stars!!!
  - Precision: 26 μas at G=15 mag (Hipparcos: 1 mas at 9 mag)
  - Scanning satellite, two viewing directions
    - $\Rightarrow$  global accuracy, with optimal use of observing time
  - Principle: global astrometric reduction (as for Hipparcos)
- Photometry (G < 20 mag):</p>
  - Astrophysical diagnostics (low-dispersion photometry) + chromaticity  $\rightarrow \Delta T_{eff} \sim 100$  K, log g, [Fe/H] to 0.2 dex, extinction (at G=15 mag)
- Radial velocity (G<sub>RVS</sub> < 16 mag):</li>
  - Precision: 15 km/s at G<sub>RVS</sub>=16 mag
  - Principle: slitless spectroscopy of Ca triplet (845 872 nm) at R = 10,800

# Payload and telescope



# **Inside Gaia**



## Gaia focal plane



### Total field:

- active area: 0.75 deg<sup>2</sup>
- CCDs: 14 + 62 + 14 + 12 (+ 4)
- 4500 x 1966 pixels (TDI)
- pixel size =  $10 \ \mu m \times 30 \ \mu m$ 
  - = 59 mas  $\times$  177 mas

### Sky mapper:

- detects all objects to G=20 mag
- rejects cosmic-ray events
- field-of-view discrimination

#### Astrometry:

- total detection noise ~4 e<sup>-</sup>

### **Photometry:**

- spectro-photometer
- blue and red CCDs

### Spectroscopy:

- high-resolution spectra
- red CCDs

# Gaia





## Gaia





## Gaia

## NSL field transits in ICRS after: 0 years 000 days 00 hr 10 min -180 -90 Berry Holl, AGISLab (2013) Plot routines: Francesca De Angeli, GaiaTools

## Gaia: Parallax and proper motion





# Gaia: 10<sup>9</sup> stars in 6D

- Will provide in our Galaxy:
  - Distance and velocity distributions of all stellar populations
  - Spatial and dynamical structure of the disk and halo
  - Formation history
  - Detailed mapping of the Galactic Dark Matter distribution
    - Extent and shape of the halo
    - ρ<sub>DM</sub>(r)
    - Towards full 6D distribution function of DM





14

12

10

2

 $n_a f_{
m lab}(\omega) \; [10^6 \; {
m km^{-2} \; s^2}]$ 

S1

S2Retrograde

Prograde Low-E

10 % Shards

0% Shards

 $\xi_{\rm tot}$ 

S2 dominated

- Density and velocity distribution of DM at the Sun's location in the MW
- Essential for the interpretation of DM direct detection experiments
  - DM flux distribution on sky
  - Spectral line shape in axion haloscope
  - WIMP interaction event rates



- Rotation curve → mass profile and total mass of the MW
  - Stars
  - Stellar streams
  - Globular cluster
  - MW dwarf satellites (Which are bound to MW? Orbits? Effect of LMC?)
  - $\blacktriangleright$  M<sub>tot</sub> = 1.1 × 10<sup>12</sup> M<sub> $\odot$ </sub>





Helmi et al. (2018), Maarten Breddels

## DM substructure from

Substructure in stellar streams



0: VOD/VSS	1: Monoceros	2: EBS	3: Her – Aq	4: PAndAS	5: Tri – And	6: Tri – And2	7: PiscesOv	8: EriPhe
9: Phoenix	10: WG1	11: WG2	12: WG3	13: WG4	14: Acheron	15: Cocytos	16: Lethe	17: Styx
18: ACS	19: Pal15	20: Eridanus	21: Tucanalli	22: Indus	23: Jhelum	24: Ravi	25: Chenab	26: Elqui
27: Aliqa Uma	28: Turbio	29: Willka Yaku	30: Turranburra	31: Wambelong	32: Palca	33: Jet	34: Gaia-1	35: Gaia-2
36: Gaia-3	37: Gaia-4	38: Gaia-5	39: PS1-A	40: PS1-B	41: PS1-C	42: PS1-D	43: PS1-E	44: ATLAS
45: Ophiucus	46: Sangarius	47: Scamander	48: Corvus	50: Sgr-L10	51: Orphan	52: Pal5	53: GD-1	54: Tri/Pis
55: NGC5466	56: Alpheus	57: Hermus	58: Hyllus	59: Cetus	60: Kwando	61: Molongio	62: Murrumbidgee	63: Orinoco
64: Phlegethon	65: Slidr	66: Sylgr	67: Ylgr	68: Fimbulthul	69: Svol	70: Fjorm	71: Gjoll	72: Leiptr
	1000 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00				100000000000			



Helmi et al. (2020)

SDSS / Koposov et al. (2017)

## DM substructure from

Substructure in stellar streams



Erkal et al. (2017)





- DM substructure from
  - Substructure in stellar streams
  - Warp and ripples in disk



Poggio et al. (2020), S. Payne-Wardenaar

## Questions?



# Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Some historical notes
- 3. Setting the cosmological scene
- 4. What is there left to do (for surveys)?
- 5. Gaia and the Dark Matter halo of the Milky Way
- 6. Rubin Observatory / LSST
- 7. Method: SN Ia
- 8. eROSITA
- 9. Method: cluster abundance



Credit: Rubin Obs

Large Synoptic Survey Telescope Vera C. Rubin Observatory

# LSST

- The Vera C. Rubin Observatory will deliver the Legacy Survey of Space and Time (LSST)
- LSST = An optical/near-IR survey of half the sky in ugrizy bands to r ≈ 27.5 mag based on ~1000 visits over a 10year period
- 90% of time will be spent on a uniform survey: every 3-4 nights, the whole observable sky will be scanned twice per night
- A catalogue of 20 billion stars and 20 billion galaxies with exquisite photometry, astrometry and image quality!
- > ~1 billion 16 Mpix images → ~100 PB of data
- Digital colour movie of the Universe







### Telescope System:

- Etendue ( A $\Omega$  ) : 319 meter²degrees²
- Field of View : 3.5 degrees (9.6 square degrees)
- Primary mirror diameter : 8.4 m
- Mean effective aperture : 6.423 m (area weighted over FOV)
- Final f-ratio : f/1.234
- Camera weight : 6,746 lbs (3,060 kg)
- Mirror (M1+M3 glass mirror only) weight : 35,900 pounds (16,284 kg)

### Imaging System:

- Pixel count : 3.2 Gpixels
- Focal plane : 189 4kx4k science CCD chips
- Pixel pitch : 0.2 arcsec/pixel
- Pixel size : 10 microns
- Filling factor : >90%
- Minimum exposure time : 1 sec

### Throughput:

• 5-sigma point source depth: Single exposure and idealized for stationary sources after 10 years,

Start of ops: Oct 2022

- u:23.9, 26.1
- g:25.0, 27.4
- r : 24.7, 27.5
- i: 24.0 , 26.8
- z:23.3, 26.1
- y : 22.1, 24.9

(https://smtn-002.lsst.io : Calculating Rubin Observatory limiting magnitudes and SNR)

#### Site Stats:

- Median Atmospheric PSF with outer scale of 30m: 0.67" (Tokovinin)
- Site: El Penon, Cerro Pachon, Chile
- Site coordinates: latitude -30:14:40.68 longitude -70:44:57.90
- Altitude: 2647m
- Site observatory code: TBD
- Photometric time: 53% of night time (estimated)

### **Observation Properties:**

- Standard visit exposures (expected) : 2 x 15 sec.
- Median (Mean) visit time : 39s (42.2s)
- Photometric accuracy : 10 mmag
- Astrometric accuracy : 50 mas
- Astrometric precision : 10 mas

### **Dataset properties:**

- Nightly data size: 20TB/night
- Final database size (DR11) : 15 PB
- Real-time alert latency : 60 seconds

### Data Releases:

- Survey duration : 10 years
- Number of Data Releases : 11
- Number of objects (full survey, DR11):
  - 20B galaxies
  - 17B resolved stars
  - 6M orbits of solar system bodies
  - Average number of alerts per night: about 10 million

### Telescope System:

- Etendue ( A $\Omega$  ) : 319 meter²degrees²
- Field of View : 3.5 degrees (9.6 square degrees)
- Primary mirror diameter : 8.4 m



Förster et al. (2020)







and a

----

-

-

N.







Credit: Rubin Obs









## 63 CM Diameter Focal Plane with 3.2 GigaPixels







# Legacy Survey of Space and Time (LSST)

- Covers over half of the entire sky
- Six photometric bands
- Each visit: 2 × 15 s exposure
- ~1000 visits of every patch of sky
  - Colour movie of the Universe
  - Enormous depth when stacked
- Exact cadence still being worked out
- Deep Drill Fields
- Headline science goals:
  - Probing dark energy and dark matter
  - Taking an inventory of the solar system
  - Exploring the transient optical sky
  - Mapping the Milky Way



arXiv:0912.0201

# LSST and dark energy

## How will LSST constrain dark energy?

- Cosmic shear (growth of structure + cosmic geometry)
- Counts of massive structures vs redshift (growth of structure)
- Baryon acoustic oscillations (angular diameter distance)
- Measurements of type Ia SNe (luminosity distance)
- Mass power spectrum on very large scales tests CDM paradigm
- Multiple probes are the key!



- White Dwarf in binary system with mass transfer:
- $M_{WD} \rightarrow$  critical mass  $\rightarrow$  core reaches T needed for C-fusion
- Type Ia Supernova = thermonuclear explosion



Artist's rendition of a white dwarf accumulating mass from a nearby companion star. This type of progenitor system would be considered singly-degenerate.

Image courtesy of David A. Hardy, © David A. Hardy/www.astroart.org.

### The progenitor of a Type Ia supernova ...which spills gas onto the secondary star, causing it to expand and become engulfed Two normal stars The more massive are in a binary pair. star becomes a giant... The secondary, lighter star and the core of the giant star spiral toward within The common envelope is ejected, while the separation between the core and the The remaining core of the giant collapses and secondary star decreases. becomes a white dwarf. a common envelope. The aging companion star starts swelling, spilling gas onto the white dwarf. The white dwarf s mass increases until it reaches a ...causing the companion critical mass and explodes. star to be elected away.

- White Dwarf in binary system with mass transfer:
- $M_{WD} \rightarrow$  critical mass  $\rightarrow$  core reaches T needed for C-fusion
- Type Ia Supernova = thermonuclear explosion



### The progenitor of a Type Ia supernova ...which spills gas onto the secondary star, causing it to expand and become engulfed Two normal stars The more massive are in a binary pair. star becomes a giant... The common envelope is ejected, while the separation between the core and the The secondary, lighter star and the core of the giant star spiral toward within The remaining core of the giant collapses and becomes a white dwarf. secondary star decreases. a common envelope. The aging companion star starts swelling, spilling gas onto the white dwarf. The white dwarf's mass increases until it reaches a ... causing the companion critical mass and explodes. star to be elected away.

- White Dwarf in binary system with mass transfer:
- $M_{WD} \rightarrow$  critical mass  $\rightarrow$  core reaches T needed for C-fusion
- Type Ia Supernova = thermonuclear explosion
- > Extremely bright  $\rightarrow$  observable over cosmological distances



NASA/ESA, The Hubble Key Project Team and The High-Z Supernova Search Team

- Every SNIa explosion proceeds very similarly because the initial conditions are always the same
- Maximum luminosity of a SNIa is correlated with the width of its lightcurve
- SNIa are "standardisable", but need to calibrate the width-luminosity relation







# Cepheids

- Cepheids = periodic pulsating variable stars
- Giant stars, extremely bright → observable over large distances (Local Group)
- Amplitudes < 2 mag, Periods: 1 130 d</li>
- Empirical relationship between period and luminosity: L ∝ P<sup>n</sup>, n ≈ 1.1
- Cepheids are "standardisable", but need to calibrate the period-luminosity relation



Henrietta Swan Leavitt (1868 - 1921)

> Gaia!





- Every SNIa explosion proceeds very similarly because the initial conditions are always the same
- Maximum luminosity of a SNIa is correlated with the width of its lightcurve
- SNIa are "standardisable", but need to calibrate the width-luminosity relation
- Comparison of derived luminosity with observed brightness -> luminosity distance
- ➢ Measurement of luminosity distance as function of redshift: D<sub>L</sub>(z) ∝ ∫ 1/H(z)



Riess et al. (1998)

# Type la SNe

- Measurement of luminosity distance as function of redshift: D<sub>L</sub>(z) ∝ ∫ 1/H(z)
- Largest current sample: JLA + Pantheon ≈ 1000 SNIa



Betoule et al. (2014), Scolnic et al. (2018), Planck Collaboration (2021)

# Type la SNe

- Measurement of luminosity distance as function of redshift: D<sub>L</sub>(z) ∝ ∫ 1/H(z)
- Largest current sample: JLA + Pantheon ≈ 1000 SNIa
- As a transient detection machine, LSST is perfect for detecting large samples of SNIa
- > Expected sample: a few  $\times$  10<sup>5</sup>!
- Need identifications and redshifts
   → spectroscopy
- Will need to rely on photo-z for some (large) fraction
- Interesting constraints on dark energy equation of state parameters from SNe alone



LSST Science Book (2009)

# So what is there left to do (for surveys)?

## Is the model beginning to crack?

Hubble tension



Planck Collaboration (2021)

## Questions?

