

# LATEST RESULTS FROM THE LHC

# **Thomas Müller, KIT**



**Results from** 







#### **1. Soft Particle Production**

Charged Hadron Multiplicities Strange Hadrons and Hyperons Angular Correlations

#### 2. QCD Phenomena

Di-Muon Production D Meson Production J/Y Production B-Production Jet Physics

#### 3. B-Physics

B Hadrons, Lifetime Prospects for B -> μμ B Oscillations

#### 4. Electroweak Physics

W/Z Production

#### 5. Top Quark Physics

Top Quark Evidence

#### 6. Physics beyond the Standard Model

W<sup>4</sup> Di-Jet Resonances Compositness Search for SUSY

#### Most results from 103rd LHCC http://indico.cern.ch/conferenceDisplay.py?confld=105780

#### **Cross Sections**





## **1. SOFT PARTICLE PRODUCTION**

- Charged Hadron Multiplicities
- Strange Hadrons and Hyperons
- Angular Correlations



Minimum-bias: events collected with (ideally) totally inclusive trigger, in principle contains all types of interactions proportionally to their natural production rate.

Underlying event: "connected" with the hard scattering.



Minimum bias events: soft QCD ( $p_T$  tracks down to 50 MeV) Non single-diffractive event selection (correction 6%  $\rightarrow$  2.5% systematic error)



 $N_{ch}$  at  $|\eta|$ <0.5

0.9 TeV:  $3.48 \pm 0.02$  (stat.)  $\pm 0.13$  (syst.) 2.36 TeV:  $4.47 \pm 0.04$  (stat.)  $\pm 0.16$  (syst.) 7.0 TeV:  $5.78 \pm 0.01$ (stat)  $\pm 0.23$ (syst)







Distributions not well described by our event generators: Tuning is needed

#### **Particle Ratios vs p**<sub>t</sub>





Poor agreement with models, at higher  $p_T$  for K/ $\pi$  and lower  $p_T$  for p/ $\pi$ 

## **1.2 Strange Hadrons and Hyperons**





## Hyperons, cont.





Mass accuracy at the level of  $10^{-4} \rightarrow$  good alignment of Si-strip and pixels

DESY, BIC3.010 Karlsruhe

Thomas Müller, NASIA Run Expense Ale Kernphysik, KIT

#### **1.3 Observation of Long Range Correlations**

$$R(\Delta\eta,\Delta\varphi) = \left\langle (N-1) \left( \frac{S_N(\Delta\eta,\Delta\varphi)}{B_N(\Delta\eta,\Delta\varphi)} - 1 \right) \right\rangle_N$$

MinBias, 1.0GeV/c<p\_<3.0GeV/c

**MinBias** 



N>110, 1.0GeV/c<p\_<3.0GeV/c



DESY, 27. 9. 2010

Thomas Müller, Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, KIT

#### Nearside Longitudinal Structure?



5 - 6 x < M> ,  $p_{\tau}$  > 1.5 GeV

Highlights from the LHC

# **2. QCD PHENOMENA**

- Di-Muon Production
- D Meson Production
- J/ $\Psi$  Production
- Bottom Production
- Jet Physics



## **2.1 Di-Muon Production**









 $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$  $D_s^+ \rightarrow \Phi \ \pi^+ \rightarrow (K^+ K^-) \pi^+$ 400 Combinations per 12 MeV **ATLAS** Preliminary  $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$  $L_{int} = 1.4 \text{ nb}^{-1}$ **ATLAS** Preliminary  $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$  $L_{int} = 1.4 \text{ nb}^{-1}$ 350 Data 2010 Data 2010 300 250 200 150 300 fit :  $N(D^{\pm}) = 1667 \pm 86$ fit :  $N(D_{o}^{\pm}) = 326 \pm 57$ 100 200 M(D<sup>±</sup>) = 1871.8 ± 1.1 MeV  $M(D_{2}^{\pm}) = 1971.5 \pm 4.6 \text{ MeV}$ 50  $\sigma[M(D^{\pm})] = 19.7 \pm 1.2 \text{ MeV}$ 100  $\sigma[M(D_{s}^{\pm})] = 24.0 \pm 3.8 \text{ MeV}$ 0 <sup>L</sup> 0 2.2 1.8 1.9 2.1 1.6 1.7 2 2.1 2.2 1.7 1.8 1.9 2 1.6 M(Kππ) [GeV] M(KKπ) [GeV] Candidates/0.01GeV 200 wpretsystemy and opported paraliphon operating 300/  $D^0 \rightarrow K\pi$ 2 CMS Preliminary and the second **CMS** pretiminary 180 **CMS** Preliminary 7 TeV data SENT THY 250 31400 Ja = 7TeV 160 140 8 200 200 720 G 1000 150 800 100 6003 and cc ± 0.002 GeV 403.4 45 400 .... 60 Mean = 1.8693 = 0.002113.1 D\*+--->Dº(Kπ)π+ (and cc) -15.+ 25. 200 Nignau - 417.29 × 2.515 NieV/e<sup>3</sup> 26 m<sub>K \*</sub> [GeV] 1.7 1.76 1.8 1.85 CTAN COMP COMP ONE COMP COMP COMP COM 3.9 9.8 M(Kan\_) - M(Ka) [GeV/o'] M(Kax) [GeV/e\*]

#### **Measurement of D Cross Section**



- First measurement at  $\sqrt{s}=7$  TeV.
- Measure cross section vs y, p<sub>T</sub> in ~2 nb<sup>-1</sup>, with open trigger.
- Impact parameter distribution used to separate prompt D<sup>0,+</sup>,D<sup>+</sup>, D<sub>s</sub> from secondary.
- Good agreement with expectations!





#### **2.3 J/\Psi Production**



Preliminary cross section measurement with ~14 nb<sup>-1</sup> (ICHEP):



Inclusive J/ψ production:

 $\sigma(2.5 \le y \le 4, p_T \le 10 \text{ GeV/c}) = 7.65 \pm 0.19 \pm 1.10^{+0.87} \mu b$ 

J/ψ production from b:

 $\sigma(2.5 \le y \le 4, p_T \le 10 \text{ GeV/c}) = 0.81 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.13 \mu b$ 

Sources of B at the LHC: FEX and GS are higher order, but dominate at the LHC!





Cross section in four η bins, open trigger (~3 nb<sup>-1</sup>) and muon trigger sample (~12 nb<sup>-1</sup>) submitted to PLB (arXiv:1009.2731)



Shapes and scales agree well with expectation. Validates QCD predictions at LHC energies

σ (pp→H<sub>b</sub>X ) = 75.3±5.4±13.0 µb for 2<η<6, any p<sub>T</sub> √s=7 TeV

Extrapolating to  $4\pi$  with PYTHIA 6.4:  $\sigma(pp \rightarrow bbX) = 284 \pm 20 \pm 49 \ \mu b$ Averaging with prel. result from  $b \rightarrow J/\psi$ :  $\sigma(pp \rightarrow bbX) = 292 \pm 15 \pm 43 \ \mu b$ NFMR 254  $\mu b$ 

→ b rate (at least) as high as assumed in LHCb sensitivity studies.

## **2.5 Jet Physics**







Thomas Müller, Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, KIT

#### **Inclusive Jet Cross Sections**



- Observed jets corrected to particle-level using partonshower MC (Pythia, Herwig)
  - justified by detailed comparison studies and good agreement with data
- NLO QCD comparison after corrections for hadronization and underlying event
- Theoretical uncertainty: ~20% (up to 40% at large |y|) from variation of PDF, α<sub>s</sub>, scale
- Experimental uncertainty: ~30-40% dominated by Jet Energy scale (known to ~7%)
  - Luminosity (11%) not included



Good agreement with QCD over 5 orders of magnitude



Inclusive jet  $p_T$  spectra have been produced with three different jet approaches All results are in good agreement with NLO theory With Particle Flow approach distributions can be extended to a low  $p_T$  value of 18GeV.



#### **b-jets**

High Purity ~ 0.7 using Secondary Vertex Tagger

Thomas Müller, Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, KIT





Alpgen found to give good description of data

# **3. B-PHYSICS**

- B Hadrons, B Lifetime
- Prospects for  $B\to \mu\mu$
- B Oscillations



#### **1.1 B Hadrons and Lifetimes**



- Two body charmless B decays are core to LHCb programme: γ angle, loop effects etc.
- Crucial use of PID from RICH and very good mass resolution.



## 1.2 Prospects for $B_s \to \mu \mu$



Very rare decay in SM, well predicted  $BR(B_s \rightarrow \mu\mu) = (3.35 + 0.32) \times 10^{-9}$ .



- Sensitive to NP, in particular new scalars.
   In MSSM: BR ∝ tan<sup>6</sup>β / M<sub>H</sub><sup>2</sup>
- Sensitivity from MC assuming measured bb cross-section
- Expectation being confirmed by tests on data.

approaching new limit possible already with 50 pb<sup>-1</sup>



First signal of flavour oscillation from  $B^0_d \rightarrow D^{*-}(D^0\pi^-)\mu^+\nu$  events.



 "Out of the box" un-calibrated tagging performance ( algorythm tuning, tagger combination etc..) already at 60% of expected performance.

## **4. ELECTROWEAK PHYSICS**

• W/Z Boson Production



#### W/Z candidates





## **Charge Asymmetry of W Bosons**





First result: charge asymmetry in  $W^{\pm} \rightarrow \mu^{\pm} \nu$  events

LHCb preliminary 59nb<sup>-1</sup>



Events

80

60

40

20

0

20

40

60

Lepton Pseudorapidity

## **Mass Distributions of IVB**









DESY, 27. 9. 2010

Thomas Müller, Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, KIT

Highlights from the LHC

 $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$ 





#### **Cross Sections of W and Z**





# **5. TOP QUARK PHYSICS**

The mother of physics:

- Precise SM measurements
- A window to new physics (decay product, anomalies)

- Great tool to calibrate detector: Jet energy scale, b-jet efficiency
- In many new physics scenarios (e.g. SUSY) top is dominant BG



Thomas Müller, Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, KIT

#### **Pair Production of Top Quarks**





9/2010: See a few dozen candidates at ATLAS and CMS 2011: Expect more tt Events at LHC than at Tevatron



DESY, 27. 9. 2010

Thomas Müller, Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, KIT

Highlights from the LHC





The QCD background here is datadriven (these plots use the matrix method).

The single top and W/Z+jets backgrounds are taken from Monte Carlo: MC@NLO and ALPGEN

In parallel, we are using the 3 pb<sup>-1</sup> of data taken so far to quantify the backgrounds







# **µµ +Jets Candidate Event** (from July 18)



## **Top-Antitop Signal in Di-Lepton Mode**



- Full selection applied: Z-bosonVeto, |M(II)-M(Z)|>15 GeV
- MET >30 (20) GeV in ee,μμ, (eμ); N(jets) ≥2
- 4 tt candidates (1 eµ, 1 ee, 2 µµ) over a negligible background.
- Top signal at LHC established.

## **Top-Antitop Signal in Lepton-Jets Mode**



- Using 0.84pb<sup>-1</sup> and requiring at least 1 secondary vertex tagger with ≥2 tracks;
  - ~50% efficiency ~1% fake rate
- N(jets)≥3
  - 30 signal candidates over a predicted background of 5.3
- tt rate consistent with NLO cross section
  - Up to experimental (JES, btagging) and theoretical (scale, PDF, HF modeling,



## 6. SEARCH FOR NEW PHYSICS

- Search for W'
- Di-Jet Resonances
- Quark Compositness
- SUSY Prospects



## 6.1 Search for W<sup>4</sup>



- Analysis uses 317 nb-1 of data ٠
- Data consistent with SM predictions ٠
- Current limit that can be set (electrons): ٠ 465 GeV
  - Present Tevatron limit is 1 TeV
- Current results support estimates from ٠ previous MC sensitivity studies

5 Evidence Combined

v 10 Events

e v δα Evidence 10 Events

v 5α Evidenc

Simulation

1.5

- Extend sensitivity around 5 pb-1
- Discovery potential at 10-20 pb<sup>-1</sup>



Luminosity [pb<sup>\_</sup>]

 $10^{3}$ 

10<sup>2</sup>

10

50

1.0

Thomas Müller, Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, KIT

## 6.2 Search for Di-jet Resonances





- With 10x as much data the expected limit moves from 1.06 TeV to 1.51 TeV and the observed limit moves from 1.26 TeV to 1.53 TeV.
  - We raised the jet requirement to  $p_T(j_1) > 150$  GeV to match the evolving trigger.





- Search for narrow resonances in di-jet final states.
  - Differential cross section for  $|\eta_1,\eta_2|$  <2.5 and  $|\Delta\eta_{12}|$  <1.3.
    - Sensitive to coupling of any new massive object to quarks and gluons.
  - 95% CL mass limits
    - String resonances >2.5 TeV, Excited quarks >1.58 TeV
    - Axigluons/Colorons >1.17 TeV

## **6.3 Search for Compositeness**

a. Measuring centralicity:

$$R = \frac{N(|\eta| < 0.7)}{N(0.7 < |\eta| < 1.3)}$$



$$R = \frac{N(|\eta| < 0.1)}{N(0.7 < |\eta| < 1.3)}$$

- Roughly flat for t-channel QCD
- Rises for quark contact interactions

Data agree well with NLO + non-purturbative corrections:

Limit on the contact interaction scale  $\Lambda$ 

 $\Lambda < 1.9 \text{ TeV}$  at 95% C.L.

(Tevatron excludes  $\Lambda$ <2.8 TeV)



#### b. Measuring angular distributions:

- Angular distributions are sensitive ٠ to s-channel vs. t-channel (QCD) production of dijets
  - The variable  $\gamma$  is convenient it's flat for Rutherford scattering, and almost flat for QCD
  - s-channel exchange peaks at low  $\gamma$ .
- We require (depending on mass bin)
  - $p_{T}(j_{1}) > 80-150 \text{ GeV} (trigger)$
  - $p_T(j_2) > 30 \text{ GeV}$  (reconstruction)

  - $|y_1 + y_2| < 1.5$   $|y_1 y_2| < 4.9$  Makes accept relatively flat. Makes acceptance in  $\gamma$
- No significant deviation from QCD is observed
  - Expressed as our benchmark, a contact interaction  $\Lambda$ , this works out to

 $\Lambda > 3.4 \text{ TeV}$  (at 95%), with an expected sensitivity of 3.5 TeV

Previous best limit is from D0. Λ > 2.8 TeV





- 7 TeV data of less than 100 pb<sup>-1</sup> should provide sensitivity to SUSY parameter space well beyond current Tevatron limits.
- Sensitivity strongly depends on the understanding of the SM backgrounds.



# SUMMARY

- Data taking (and machine performance) is very smooth.
- Detectors are wonderful instruments! So far all four large experiments are covering almost all topics.
- Begin to "rediscover SM" at Hadron Colliders.
- Begin with searches for new physics partially surpassing Tevatron already! Higgs, however, still far away!
- Very promising prospects: up to 1fb<sup>-1</sup> in 2011 looks realistic.

#### We are entering the TEV area

## **One Word of Caution**

Tiny signal to background and the huge level of expectations may lead to false discoveries.

Some examples I experienced (not all were published):

Centauro Events (1982)
Diffactive Top Production (1984)
SUSY in Mono Jets (1984)
EWK Top Production (1984)
Top at 140 GeV (1993)
Compositnes in Jet pt distributions (1996)
170 GeV Higgs into muons (2000)
Multi-Higgs production into taus (2008)
I hope this will stay empty !



## **Definition of Two-Particle Correlations**





G. Tonelli and G. Roland, CMS, CERN seminar 21. Sept 2010





"Clusters": Resonances, string fragmentation (higher pT)



