



German LHC Physics School and Workshop DESY, Hamburg, Germany Sep 27 – Oct 1, 2010

Triggering @ LHC

Martin Wessels

Kirchhoff Institute for Physics University of Heidelberg

Introduction





Triggering @ LHC

Challenge

Implementation

Efficiencies

In Former Times



Data acquisition (DAQ) was made by means of photographs

Basically no first level trigger, later electronic signals used to trigger the camera

Dead time during picture processing

High-level trigger realised in human scanning teams

 \rightarrow Slow operation rate, only most common processes accessible

CERN PhotoLab

German LHC Physics School 2010

What Is Different Today?



The Discovery Machine





Large Hadron Collider LHC

Most powerful particle accelerator ever built

pp collisions at √s=14 TeV (7 times higher than Tevatron)

Luminosity of 10³⁴cm⁻²s⁻¹ (100 times higher than Tevatron)

What does this actually mean?

LHC Luminosity

High instantaneous luminosity ...

- increases available kinematic range (effective centre-of-mass energy depends on parton energy distribution in proton)
- is required to search for rare events

L = k N² f_R / (4π $\sigma_x^* \sigma_y^*$)

 $f_R = 11.25$ kHz LHC revolution frequency \rightarrow ring circumference / speed of light

- ... and requires ...
- small transverse beam size of typically $\sigma_x^* = \sigma_y^* = 16 \ \mu m$ at 7 TeV
- dense proton bunches containing up to N=10¹¹ protons per bunch
- as many LHC bunches filled with protons as possible (k_{max}=2808 out of 3564), spaced by 25 ns from each other, corresponding to 7.5m
 - \rightarrow 40 MHz LHC bunch crossing rate

LHC Machine Parameters		
Beam energy	E	7.0 TeV
Dipole magnetic field	В	8.4 T
Luminosity	L	10 ³⁴ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹
Injection energy	E,	450 GeV
Circulating current/beam	I _{beam}	0.53 A
Number of bunches	k _b	2835
Time between bunches	τ	24.95 ns
Protons per bunch	nb	1.05×10 ¹¹
Stored beam energy	E _s	334 MJ
r.m.s. beam radius at intersection point	σ*	16 μm
Crossing angle	¢	200 µrad
Number of events per crossing	n _c	19
Beam lifetime	Tbeam	22 h
Luminosity lifetime	τ	10 h

Event Rates

Event Multiplicities

~20 minimum bias events piling up per BC \rightarrow ~1700 charged particles per event

And where's the Higgs?

Another Constraint: Event Size

- Pile-up and sufficient precision
 → high resolution, small granularity
- Approx. 100 million readout channels
- Affordable mass storage ~ 300 MB/s
- \rightarrow Storage rate < 200 Hz

Recorded	per event	per year	
raw data	1.6 Mbytes	3 200 Tbytes	
reconstructed data	1 Mbytes	2 000 Tbytes	
physics data	0.1 Mbytes	200 Tbytes	

(A terabyte is a million megabytes)

The Challenge

LHC 40 MHz bunch crossing rate Pile-Up of 23 events

Mass storage rate ~200 Hz

→ Powerful trigger needed!

Rate reduction by ~10⁷

→ Highly selective while remaining efficient for rare events

New physics

→ Inclusive and fast ("searching"), minimisation of dead time

Large event size

→ Powerful network and computing resources

Trigger Concept

ATLAS Trigger Overview

LVL1: Mainly calorimeter and muon data with reduced granularity

LVL2: "Regions of Interest" Rol data with full granularity from selected subdetectors

EF: Refined selection based on full event readout

ATLAS Level-1 Trigger

Martin Wessels

German LHC Physics School 2010

ATLAS Level-1 Calorimeter Trigger

Fixed latency, pipe-lined, hardware based system using custom electronics

Nearly 300 VME modules of about 10 different types housed in 17 crates

Mixed-signal system

Entirely located off the detector in the service cavern USA15

PreProcessor PPr: Digitisation and bunch crossing identification

Cluster Processor CP: Identifies electrons, photons and hadrons

Jet/Energy Processor JEP: Jet finding and energy sums

Analogue Input: Trigger Towers

L1Calo Sliding Window Algorithms

Two independent processor subsystems (CP/JEP) using common architecture Processor input is matrix of digitized trigger tower energies from PPr system Search for local (isolated) maxima using overlapping, sliding windows Multiplicities of programmable thresholds transferred to central trigger

ATLAS L1Calo in USA15

(Half of) Receivers and PreProceccors

Processors

Readout Drivers

Martin Wessels

ATLAS Level-1 Muon Trigger

RPC 3 low p_T high p_T RPC 2 MDT TGC 1 M RPC 1 MDT TGC 1 M T

TGC 2

Chambers TGC

Barrel: |eta| < 1.1 Tracking with MDT Trigger with RPC

Endcaps: 1< |eta| < 2.7 Tracking with MDT and CSC Trigger with TGC Dedicated trigger chambers with good timing resolution, divided into 208 sectors

Muon candidates identified by coincidences of hits in different layers

MuCTPI collects sector muon candidates, removes ovelraps and forms total muon multiplicities at 6 different P_T thresholds

ATLAS Central Trigger Processor

Central Trigger Processor CTP = Single 9U VME crate

- CTP retrieves signals from the LVL1 subsystems and combines them based on a trigger menu
- Application of internal signals (bunch group, random rates)
- 256 trigger items, e.g. EM15, XE10, MU10+JET25
- Application of *prescale factors*

Veto evaluation and dead time

(prevent reading overlapping events and buffer overflows)

→ Level-1 decision is OR of actual trigger items

L1A

Bunch Groups

At maximum 2808 of 3564 , proton bunches filled OxDEC

Today 104 filled bunches, 93 colliding in ATLAS/CMS

Physics triggers only "wanted" for filled (paired) bunches

 \rightarrow Bunch group mechanism

Bunch structure measured using beam pickups

Bunch groups used in trigger definition

Martin Wessels

0 - BCRVeto

Inactive

0

500

1000

Active

1500

2000

Example configuration with 2 paired and 2 unpaired bunches

2500

German LHC Physics School 2010

3000

3500

Bunch Number

Bunch count reset Veto

Page 20

Prescales

- **Prescale** = A downscale factor *d* which can be applied such that in average only every *d*-th selected event (raw bit) is kept by the system (actual bit)
- \rightarrow Simple and effective mechanism for rate (and luminosity!) restriction

Martin Wessels

German LHC Physics School 2010

ATLAS High Level Trigger

ATLAS HLT PC Farms

XPUs = Interchangeable processing units (can be used for L2 or EF)

Martin Wessels

German LHC Physics School 2010

Trigger Chains

ATLAS General strategy

- Chains, stepwise processing, fast event rejects
- Seeded algorithms using results from previous steps as input
- Streaming for offline analysis based on different trigger signatures

Simplified Detector Transverse View

HLT Reduction methods

- Muons: Sharpen P_T threshold using full muon info and inner tracking
- Electrons: Match inner track, higher granularity information
- Photons: Inner track veto, higher granularity information

Energy sums: Correct L1 saturation, correct muon P_T in L1 missing E_T

A proton-proton collision

Tracking system EM Calorimeter HAD Calorimeter Muon system

LVL1

EM Rols identified, thresholds passed, coordinates passed to LVL2 EM Rol

LVL1

EM Rols identified, thresholds passed, coordinates passed to LVL2

LVL2 seeded by LVL1

Fast reconstruction algorithms within Rol, only detector information needed requested

EM Rol

LVL1

EM Rols identified, thresholds passed, coordinates passed to LVL2

LVL2 seeded by LVL1

Fast reconstruction algorithms within Rol, only detector information needed requested

EF seeded by LVL2

Full offline reconstruction algorithms, refined alignment and calibration

ATLAS Trigger Rates

Online rates with HLT rejection enabled for low threshold EM triggers (EM2, EM3)

Trigger Rates

L1_EM rates scaling with luminosity Rates dominated by QCD background (no L1 isolation or had. leakage criteria yet)

Purity versus signal efficiency!

Any process rate: $R = L * \sigma$ (L = inst. luminosity, σ = cross section)

For physics σ independent of L

Extra powers of L can be caused by

- overlapping objects from different interactions
- luminosity dependent fake

High purity triggers: R ~ L

Trigger Efficiency

Goal of the trigger is to maximise collection of data for various physics process analysis of interest \rightarrow Aim for high efficiency

Trigger efficiency = Ratio of events which actually have been triggered and those which should have caused the trigger (of interest)

Important quantity for analysis as it is usually **NOT** equal to 1

 \rightarrow Direct ingredient in every quantitative measurements such as

cross section:

$$\sigma = N / (\epsilon_{trig} L_{int} C)$$

 ε_{trig} trigger efficiency
 L_{int} integrated luminosity
 C reconstruction efficiency, detector acceptance etc.

Events rejected by trigger are lost forever (remember factor 10⁷ rejection)

 \rightarrow Monitoring of trigger (in-) efficiency crucial for successful operation

Another source of inefficiency: Trigger dead time

Trigger Efficiency Calculation

→ Should (must) be determined from real data as trigger hardware usually not perfectly emulated in Monte Carlo simulation

Trigger efficiency For an unbiased event sample fulfilling a given analysis selection the number of events accepted by a raw trigger item divided by the original number of events

- \rightarrow By definition the efficiency depends on the offline event selection
- → Relies on unbiased event selection, i.e. the reference sample must be collected based on information independent from that used by the studied trigger (different detector components)

Methods (determined by availability of unbiased reference)

- Orthogonal signatures (e..g. tracks/calorimeter or muon/ETmiss)
- Double object final states (tag and probe)
- Bootstrap (looser trigger used to study tighter one, e.g. EM5 vs. EM20)

Trigger Efficiency Notes

Assuming an unbiased reference sample with N_0 events fulfilling the offline event selection and containing N^i events passing the trigger decision on level i

 $\varepsilon^{L1} = N^{L1} / N_0$

$$\varepsilon^{L1+L2} = N^{L1+L2} / N_0$$

L1/L2 combined efficiency

 $\varepsilon^{L2} = N^{L1+L2} / N^{L1}$

L2 only efficiency

- Usually (for data analysis) N₀ contains further correction factors such as reconstruction efficiencies and detector acceptance. Those factors usually need to be derived in further analysis, e.g. from simulation studies
- Some caution with prescaled triggers
 - Prescales usually vary during the data taking period
 - Danger of additional uncertainties for short runs or large prescales
 - Proper use of raw and actual trigger information might be important
- Combination of prescaled triggers (in multi-level) systems!

Tag & Probe For Electrons

Based on double object final state, e.g $Z \rightarrow ee$

Example offline selection:

2 good electrons (cluster/track match) Opposite charge

Restrict inv. mass 70<M_{ee}<100GeV

Trigger on "tag" electron and measure efficiency to trigger on 2nd electron ("probe")

Diagnostic sample: N1 events where at least one electron passes trigger

Control sample: N2 events where at least two electrons pass trigger

$$\epsilon = 2N_2/(N_1 + N_2)$$

Global efficiency (electrons nondistinguishable); different statistics applies for differential efficiency

Orthogonal Triggers for Electrons

Need unbiased event sample collected by independent trigger

For early data, e.g.

ATLAS Minimum Bias Scintillator

(mounted on LArg endcap crystat)

-1 Efficiency w.r.t. Offline Cluster 0.8 ATLAS Preliminary Data 2010 (\star{s} = 7 TeV) 0.6 - EM5 Turn On Non-diffractive minimum bias MC 0.4 EM5 Turn On 0.2 12 6 8 10 14 Offline Cluster E_T^{Raw} [GeV]

L1 efficiency for trigger selecting EM clusters above 5 counts (~5 GeV)

Shape reasonably well modelled

MBTS completely independent, but

- genuine EM objects rare, mostly studying background to EM objects
- Increasingly heavy prescaled
- Slowly dying (expected radiation)

Jet 'Tag & Probe' for Electrons

The reference sample ... a matter of independency and statistics!

- Use electrons in jet triggered sample to study EM trigger efficiency
- To avoid bias, need to ensure that EM object is NOT the cause of the jet trigger
- Apply strict offline isolation criteria in eta/phi plane

Events to be ignored

Conclusions

LHC is most powerful particle accelerator ever built and allows for first time to deeply explore TeV scale → Highest energies at highest luminosities

Triggering at LHC is a challenge, powerful selection needed in order to fit the best possible physics cocktail into the available bandwidth

Both ATLAS and CMS triggers are separated into fast first level hardware trigger and software based higher level triggers performing fine grained selection and rejection

Understanding and correct measurement of trigger efficiencies is crucial for both successful detector operation and precise data analysis

Thanks for your attention!

... and apologies to my CMS colleagues for spending an intolerable amount of time talking about ATLAS. I am convinced that changing all figures shown into corresponding CMS ones would not alter the message.

TDAQ Trends

Event Signatures

 $Higgs \to 4 \mu$

Event Signatures

 $Higgs \to 4 \mu$

+30 Min Bias Events

How do the interesting events (only) get to tape?