# Study of Vector Boson Scattering including Pile-up with the ATLAS Detector

•Philipp Anger, Jan Schumacher, Michael Kobel, Anja Vest philipp.anger@physik.tu-dresden.de

Institut für Kern- und Teilchenphysik der Technischen Universität Dresden

Terascale Workshop Dresden 02/12/2010



### Vector Boson Scattering



Naive Standard Model without Higgs: Scattering of longitudinal W bosons rises infinitely:

$$\sigma\left(W_{\rm L}W_{\rm L} \to W_{\rm L}W_{\rm L}\right) \xrightarrow{\sqrt{s_{\rm WW}} \to \infty} \infty$$

- Intimately related to electro-weak symmetry breaking
- Perturbation theory violates unitarity above  $\sqrt{s_{\rm WW}} \approx 1.2 ~{\rm TeV}$
- Vector Boson Scattering at LHC reaches this limit in parts of the phase space

Image: Image:

#### Motivation

# Flagship Solution: Higgs Mechanism

- Also solves problem of masses in the Standard Model
- Introduction of a new scalar particle: Higgs boson



But: Higgs boson not discovered in experiment up to now

< □ > < <sup>[]</sup> >

## No Higgs Observed

### Unitarity conservation requires physics beyond the Standard Model

- Strong Electroweak Symmetry Breaking (review e.g. hep-ph/0203079)
- ▶ Technicolor (S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D13 (1976) 974)
- Neutrino condensation (C. T. Hill, M. A. Luty and E. A. Paschos, Phys. Rev. D43 1991)
- ▶ Top see-saw (B. A. Dobrescu and C. T. Hill, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 1998)
- Advantage: Particular signals
- Disadvantage: A lot of them

Image: A matrix

### EWChL and Unitarization

- Effective Electroweak Chiral Lagrangian (EWChL):

  - Approximates the rising edge of a resonance beyond the accessible mass range (anomalous couplings)
- No longer valid at LHC energies
  - "Resonances and Unitarity in Weak Boson Scattering"
     A. Alboteanu, W. Kilian and J. Reuter (arXiv:0806.4145v1)
  - ▶ Need resonance(s) with mass(es) *m* and coupling(s) *g* to weak bosons

weak isospin I		I = 0	I = 1	<i>I</i> = 2
7	J = 0	$\sigma^0$	0	$arphi^{0}$ , $arphi^{\pm}$ , $arphi^{\pm\pm}$
spin	J = 1 J = 2	f <sup>0</sup>	$ ho$ 0, $ ho^{\pm}$	$t^0$ , $t^\pm$ , $t^{\pm\pm}$

K-matrix formalism guarantees unitarization

Image: A matrix and a matrix

### Experimental Signature



- Tagjets (3,4, large  $p_{\rm T}$ , large distance in  $\eta$ )
- Few jets between tagjets
- Final state ℓνℓν:
  - ► Missing *E*<sub>T</sub>
  - Decay products (1,2) between tagjets





#### Analysis

# Signal and Background Processes



- Signal: Resonance
- nce 🔹 🕨 Irreducible BG: QCD

proton

proton



- ► Irreducible BG: EW
- Also all SM triple and quartic boson vertices (except Higgs) included



▶ Single top (*Wt*)



• W/Z + jets



Top pairs tt

< □ > < <sup>[]</sup> >

### Event Generator WHIZARD

WHIZARD: W. Kilian, T. Ohl, J. Reuter. FR-THEP-07-01, SI-HEP-2007-07, Aug 2007.



- Only generator that implements K-matrix unitarization with resonances
- http://projects.hepforge.org/whizard/

### No Effective W Approximation

• Quark splitting "W/Z p.d.f."

# Full matrix element for the six-fermion final state

- Angular correlations preserved
- Irreducible backgrounds included



### Analysis

- Assumed integrated luminosity: 100 fb<sup>-1</sup> (not an early study)
- ▶ All samples for 14 TeV center-of-mass energy

Pile-up

- In-time pile-up: More than one proton-proton interaction per bunch crossing
- First studies with available samples to study general influence of pile-up
  - Poisson-distributed mean number of pile-up collisions: 6.9
  - ► Luminosity:  $10^{33} \, \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$  (low luminosity pile-up)
- Goal: High luminosity pile-up

< < >>

# Boosted Decision Tree

 $\rm TMVA$  (Toolkit for Multivariate Analysis), Release 4.0.6  $\tt http://tmva.sourceforge.net$ 



- Input variables
- Distributions...

- b-tag
- $p_{\rm T}$  of leptons
- invariant tagjet mass
- $\Delta\eta$  between tagjets
- transverse mass
- ▶ p<sub>T</sub> of tagjets
- missing E<sub>T</sub>
- lepton centrality  $\zeta$
- ▶ *p*<sub>T</sub> balance
- minijet veto

 Training: Pile-up events trained with pile-up events and vice versa



#### Event Selection

### Cutflow of Boosted Decision Tree Output



- $\Delta$ events  $\equiv$  (pile-up no pile-up)/(pile-up + no pile-up)
- Reducible backgrounds disappear for BDT cuts  $r_{\rm cut} > 0.3$
- EW irreducible background most important background

Separate backgrounds. .

# **Discovery Significance**

- Example: Discovery significance for φ resonance with m = 850 GeV and pile-up
- Profile likelihood method
- ► Optimal cut on BDT output: r ≥ 0.2 (best 5-sigma discovery significance)
- Assumed experimental luminosity:  $100 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  at  $\sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ TeV}$
- Amount of Monte Carlo scaled to 100 fb<sup>-1</sup>



# **Discovery Significance**

Couplings discoverable  $(5\sigma)$  including pile-up and systematic uncertainties:

	g	Pile-up	Systematics
$\sigma$ :	> 0.70	12.7%	69.9%
arphi:	> 1.05	7.2%	46.5%
$\rho$ :	> 2.34	9.4%	42.2%
<i>f</i> :	> 1.43	14.1%	37.1%
t:	> 2.15	26.3%	53.7%

- ▶ Mass of resonances: 850 GeV
- Pile-up trained with pile-up and no pile-up trained without pile-up
- Reasonable couplings:  $g \lessapprox 2.5$
- Higgs ( $\sigma$ , g = 1) discoverable
  - Systematic uncertainties . . .



## Summary

### This Analysis:

- ▶ ATLAS has discovery potential in the di-leptonic Vector Boson Scattering channel for resonances with a mass of 850 GeV in the relevant coupling range of  $g \leq 2.5$  for 100 fb<sup>-1</sup> of data at 14 TeV center-of-mass energy
- $\blacktriangleright$  Effect of systematic uncertainties:  $\approx 50\%$
- $\blacktriangleright$  Contribution of low luminosity pile-up:  $\approx 15\%$

### Similar analyis of Jan Schumacher without pile-up:

- Upper limit setting potential
- ▶ Discoverable minimal couplings for m = 1150 GeV up to 100% worse compared to m = 850 GeV

Thank you!

2

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

### BACKUP

2

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

# Signal and Irreducible Backgrounds

proton









Background: QCD

- ▶ All generated with WHIZARD for 14 TeV
- Signal entangled with irreducible background
- WHIZARD  $qql\nu l\nu$  samples available:
  - EW ... Resonances and QCD switched off
  - Signal + EW ... QCD switched off
  - ▶ QCD + EW ... Resonances switched off
- Realistic detector simulation using GEANT
- Assumed Monte Carlo Luminosites: 100 fb<sup>-1</sup>
- Pile-up and no pile-up samples available
- $\blacktriangleright$  Five resonance types at 850 GeV and 1150 GeV each

## Reducible Backgrounds Back...



- Top pairs  $t\overline{t}$
- ► MC@NLO
- Two-lepton filter
- Atlfast-II



- ► Single top (*Wt*)
- ► AcerMC
- Two-lepton filter
- Atlfast-II
- no pile-up available



- ► W/Z + jets
- Alpgen

### Training options

- Number of trees: 1000
- Boosting type: Gradient
- ► Shrinkage: 0.3
- Separation type: Gini index
- Pruning method: Cost Complexity
- Pruning strength: 50
- Maximum number of nodes: 5

### Event Selection - Fiducial Precuts

- $\Delta\eta$  between tagjets > 3.0
- ▶ p<sub>T</sub> of tagjets > 20 GeV
  - Generator level
- $p_{\rm T}$  of 1st and 2nd lepton > 30 GeV
  - Generator level
  - Trigger plateau
- $m_{\text{leplep}} > 150 \text{ GeV}$ 
  - Removing Z+jets background
  - Caveat: Sample has a cut *m*<sub>leplep</sub> < 200 GeV
     </li>
- Triggers:
  - Electron trigger: 25 GeV
  - Muon trigger: 20 GeV



### Input Variables Distributions • Back to Boosted Decision Tree ...



Philipp Anger (IKTP TU Dresden)

### Input Variables Distributions • Back to Boosted Decision Tree ...



Philipp Anger (IKTP TU Dresden)

### Input Variables Distributions Back to Boosted Decision Tree ...



э

### Boosted Decision Tree Results • Back to BDT Cuttlow.



Philipp Anger (IKTP TU Dresden)

Terascale Workshop 02/12/10 22 / 15

# TMVA Training Crosschecks

Trust a multivariate method? Statistical uncertainty of training?



Retraining with equivalent subsamples of the same size

Retraining with random picking of training events inside  $\ensuremath{\mathrm{TMVA}}$ 

Retraining with samples with different number of events

- $\varphi$  resonance with m = 850 GeV and pile-up
- ► Training uncertainty: 2.8%

# Luminosity Studies



 $\varphi$  resonance with m = 850 GeV and pile-up

### Angular Separation of Leptons



- Signal shows clear lepton angular separation
  - Preserved by WHIZARD
  - Motivation for  $l\nu l\nu$  final state
- Lepton angular separation  $\Delta \varphi^{\ell \ell}$  no input variable of BDT
- ▶ No cut on BDT output ightarrow Possible control region at low  $\Delta arphi^{\ell \ell}$
- After cut on BDT output ightarrow Separation power of  $\Delta arphi^{\ell \ell}$  lost

# Disentangling Signal and Irreducible Backgrounds



- Samples reweighted from high to low coupling values
- ►  $S(g) = n_{\text{Signal}+\text{EW}}(g) n_{\text{Signal}+\text{EW}}(g = 0)$
- Reasonable couplings for strong EWSB:  $g \lesssim \sqrt{2\pi} \approx 2.5$

# Systematic Uncertainties Back to Results ...

The following systematic uncertainties are considered:

- ► Jet-energy scale:  $E'_{jet} = (1 + e_1)E_{jet}$  with  $\sigma_1 = 3.5\%$  ( $|\eta| \le 3.5$ ) or  $\sigma_1 = 7.5\%$  ( $|\eta| > 3.5$ )
- Jet-energy resolution:  $E' = E + \Delta E$  with  $\sigma_2 = 1$  and  $\Delta E$  randomly drawn from a Gaussian with  $\sigma(E) = \kappa e_2 \sqrt{E \times 1 \text{ GeV}}$  and  $\kappa = 0.45$  ( $|\eta| \le 3.5$ ) or  $\kappa = 0.63$  ( $|\eta| > 3.5$ )
- Electron-energy scale:  $E_{
  m e}' = (1 + e_3)E_{
  m e}$  with  $\sigma_3 = 0.5\%$
- Electron-energy resolution:  $E'_{e} = \left(1 + \frac{\Delta E_{T}}{E_{T}}\right) E_{e}$  with  $\sigma_{4} = 1$  and  $\Delta E_{T}$  randomly drawn from a Gaussian with  $\sigma(E_{T}) = 0.0073 e_{4} E_{T}$
- Muon-energy scale:  $E'_{\mu} = (1 + e_5)E_{\mu}$  with  $\sigma_5 = 1\%$
- Muon-reconstruction resolution: E'<sub>μ</sub> = (1 + p<sub>T</sub> Δ (1/p<sub>T</sub>))<sup>-1</sup> E<sub>μ</sub> with σ<sub>6</sub> = 1% and Δ (1/p<sub>T</sub>) randomly drawn from a Gaussian with σ(1/p<sub>T</sub>) = e<sub>6</sub> √ ((0.011/p<sub>T</sub>)<sup>2</sup> + ((0.00017)/(GeV))<sup>2</sup>)
  b-tag efficiency: b'<sub>i</sub> = (1 + e<sub>7</sub>)b<sub>i</sub> with σ<sub>7</sub> = 10%
  Luminosity: σ<sub>8</sub> = 3%

(日) (同) (三) (三)