Quantum Computing at DESY (and beyond)

Karl Jansen



Quantum computing: why

- qubit = 2-level quantum system |0
angle, |1
angle

 \rightarrow superposition state: $|qubit\rangle = a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle$, $a^2 + b^2 = 1$

 \rightarrow 2-level atom, Josephson junction, polarized photons, ...

2 classical bits
 (bit1, bit2): 4 different states: (0,0),(1,0),(0,1),(1,1)

 \rightarrow only one state realized at a given time

• 2 qubits $|qubit1, qubit2\rangle$: 4 different basis states: $|0, 0\rangle, |1, 0\rangle, |0, 1\rangle, |1, 1\rangle$

 \rightarrow can be realized simultanenously:

 $|\Psi\rangle = \frac{1}{2}|0,0
angle + \frac{1}{2}|1,0
angle + \frac{1}{2}|0,1
angle + \frac{1}{2}|1,1
angle$



Quantum computing: why

• a general (2 qubit) quantum state is superposition

 $|\Psi\rangle=\alpha|0,0\rangle+\beta|1,0\rangle+\gamma|0,1\rangle+\delta|1,1\rangle \text{ , } |\alpha|^2+|\beta|^2+|\gamma|^2+|\delta|^2=1$

- can store abritrary information
- computation speed independent from number of qubits
- need to measure $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$

 \rightarrow count number of states $|0,0\rangle, |1,0\rangle, |0,1\rangle|1,1\rangle$ in repeated experiment

- computational speed
 - \rightarrow quantum supremacy: 50 qubits $\rightarrow 2^{50}$ states
 - \rightarrow classical optimization problems (e.g. particle track reconstruction)
 - \rightarrow demonstrated by google experiment

Entanglement

- entaglement \rightarrow allows operations which are classically impossible
- example:
 - superdense coding (infamous Alice and Bob experiment)
- realization of entanglement \rightarrow CNOT gate on $|\underbrace{\text{qubit1}}_{\text{control}} \underbrace{\text{qubit2}}_{\text{target}}\rangle$

$$egin{array}{cccc} |00
angle &
ightarrow & |00
angle \ |01
angle &
ightarrow & |01
angle \ |10
angle &
ightarrow & |11
angle \ |11
angle &
ightarrow & |11
angle \ |11
angle &
ightarrow & |10
angle \end{array}$$

Quantum computing applications

- problems extremely hard or inaccessible classically
 - quantum field theories
 - \rightarrow very early universe
 - \rightarrow matter-antimatter asymmetry
 - cooperation: DESY, IQOQI (Innsbruck)
 - IQC& Perimeter (Waterloo)
 - condensed matter physics:



- \rightarrow superconductivity: Hubbard model away from half-filling
- \rightarrow topological systems
- chemistry
- atom and molecule spectra
- material science
- problems in biology, chemistry, materials, ...



Quantum computing applications

- classical optimization problems
 - aerospace, e.g. flight gate assignment
 DESY-DLR collaboration
 - logistics
 - traffic
 - Einstein telescope
 - particle tracking
 - \rightarrow Higgs tagging
 - DESY-CERN OpenIab cooperation
 - \rightarrow electron-positron identification in LUXE experiment

problems share the same or very similar structure of cost function

• more examples (qgan, ...) \rightarrow Kerstin Borras





Classical optimization problem: flight gate assignment

• Find shortest path between two connecting flights

 $x_{i\alpha} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if flight } i \in F \text{ is assigned to gate } \alpha \in G \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

 $x \in \{0,1\}^{F \otimes G} \to x$ binary variable $\to x \in \{-1,1\}^{F \otimes G}$

eigenstate of third Pauli matrix σ_z

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^{n} Q_{jj} \sigma_j^z + \sum_{\substack{j,k=1\\j < k}}^{n} Q_{jk} \sigma_j^z \otimes \sigma_k^z$$

- Q_{ij} coeffecients specific for a real given airport
- Goal: find ground state (shortest path)
- contraints:
 - every flight can only be assigned to a single gate
 - no aircraft can be at the same gate at the same time





Hamiltonian formulation

- Quantum computing uses Hamiltonian approach
- search for wavefunction $|\Psi>$

$$|\Psi> = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_N} C_{i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_N} |i_1 i_2 \cdots i_N>$$

 C_{i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_N} coefficient matrix with 2^N entries \Rightarrow exponential scaling \rightarrow becomes impossible .



Solution: Variational Quantum Simulation

- start with some initial state $|\Psi_{
 m init}
 angle$
- apply succesive gate operations \equiv unitary operations $e^{iS heta}$
- examples for S: Pauli matrices σ_x , σ_y , σ_z , parametric CNOT

$$|\Psi(\vec{\theta})\rangle = e^{iS_{(n)}\theta_n} \dots e^{iS_{(1)}\theta_1} |\psi_{\text{init}}\rangle$$

• with $R_j := e^{iS_{(j)}\theta_j}$ cost function evaluated on quantum computer

$$C := \left\langle \psi_{\text{init}} \left| \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} R_j \right)^{\dagger} H \prod_{j=1}^{n} R_j \right| \psi_{\text{init}} \right\rangle$$

- goal: minimize C over the angles $\vec{\theta}$ \rightarrow obtain minimal energy, i.e. ground state
- minimization performed classically (hybrid classical-quantum approach)

 — also possible on quantum computer itself

Finding ground state: Variational Quantum Simulation

(0) evaluate cost function for initial parameters $\vec{\theta}_{init}$ on quantum computer

$$C(\vec{\theta}_{\text{init}}) := \left\langle \psi_{\text{init}} \left| \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} R_j(\vec{\theta}_{\text{init}}) \right)^{\dagger} H \prod_{j=1}^{n} R_j(\vec{\theta}_{\text{init}}) \right| \psi_{\text{init}} \right\rangle$$

(1) give to *classical computer* \rightarrow optimize over $\vec{\theta}_{init}$ e.g. gradient descent, baysean optimization, ... \rightarrow obtain new set of parameters $\vec{\theta}_{new}$

(2) give to *quantum computer* evaluate new cost function

$$C(\vec{\theta}_{\text{new}}) := \left\langle \psi_{\text{init}} \left| \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} R_j(\vec{\theta}_{\text{new}}) \right)^{\dagger} H \prod_{j=1}^{n} R_j(\vec{\theta}_{\text{new}}) \right| \psi_{\text{init}} \right\rangle$$

(3) give to *classical computer* \rightarrow optimize over $\vec{\theta}_{init}$ and $\vec{\theta}_{new}$, ... \rightarrow obtain new set of parameters $\vec{\theta}_{new}$

(4) go to (2) until converge, i.e. find minimum

Variational quantum simulation



- evaluate cost function $\langle \Psi(\vec{\theta)}|H|\Psi(\vec{\theta})\rangle$ on quantum device



• feedback loop



• optimize over parameters $\vec{\theta}$ on classical computer \rightarrow give back new set of $\vec{\theta}$

VQS for FGA

(L. Funcke, T. Hartung, S. Kühn, T. Stollenwerk, P. Stornati, K.J.)

- use variational quantum simulation to find ground state
 - use 6 qubits on simulator
 - overlap: $\langle \Psi_{VQS} | \Psi_{\mathrm{exact}}
 angle$





- Remarks:
 - Hamiltonian is diagonal \rightarrow classical optimization
 - QC helpful through principles of superposition and entanglement?
 - the same Hamiltonian can be used in particle track reconstruction

A condensed matter physical model

• 1-dimensional Heisenberg model

 $H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta \left[\sigma_x(i) \sigma_x(i+1) + \sigma_y(i) \sigma_y(i+1) + \sigma_z(i) \sigma_z(i+1) \right] + J \sigma_z(i)$

• Pauli matrices

$$\sigma^{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad \sigma^{y} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad \sigma^{z} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- nearest neighbour interaction, tensor products
- Hamiltonian expressed in Pauli matrices → suitable for quantum computer
- shows phase transitions, critical behaviour, non-trivial spectrum

Using the simulator

- Simulator with no noise
- IBM's Simultaneous Perturbation Stochastic Approximation (SPSA) algorithm
- dashed line exact result



(figure by Xiaoyang Wang)

• 3 qubits

Switching on noise

• Simulator with noise



(figure by Xiaoyang Wang)

- 3 qubits
- measurement error → cannot find ground state
- need of error mitigation/correction correcting readout error → L. Funcke, T. Hartung, S. Kühn, P. Stornati, X. Wang, K.J.,arXiv:2007.03663

General measurement error mitigation in NISQ area (L. Funcke, T. Hartung, S. Kühn, P. Stornati, X. Wang, K.J.,arXiv:2007.03663)

- generated state $|\Psi(\vec{\theta})\rangle$ is a bit string $|00110011100101\rangle$
 - false measurement $|0\rangle \rightarrow |1\rangle$ with probability p_1 $|1\rangle \rightarrow |0\rangle$ with probability p_2
- setting (for simplicity) $p_1 = p_2 = p$
- measuring *s*-times: get k correct and s - kincorrect results distributed as

$$f(k,s,1-p) = \begin{pmatrix} s \\ k \end{pmatrix} (1-p)^k p^{s-k}$$

- recompute <u>exact</u> energy from noisy measurments
- can be generalized to arbitrary number of qubits
- only readout noise!



example: transverse ising model

Error mitigation

• Simulator with error mitigation



(figure by Xiaoyang Wang)

- 3 qubits
- works well \rightarrow find ground state
- perspective for larger number of qubits

(Rigetti Aspen)

- reaching 128 qubits this year
- IBMQ: Free 14 qubits, 400 qubits 2021, 1000 qubits 2023
- D-Wave: 2000 qubits \rightarrow 5000 qubits

Inside a Quantum Computer

- Shielded to 50,000 times less than Earth's magnetic field
- In a high vacuum: pressure is 10 billion times lower than atmospheric pressure
- Cooled 180 times colder than interstellar space (0.015 Kelvin)
- \rightarrow prevent decoherence
- qubits based based on Josephson junction
- application of unitary gate operations
- \rightarrow generate entanglement

Quantum Computing: how?

- python programming language
 - \rightarrow company provides quantum libraries
- very convenient setup
 - \rightarrow simulator runs on your local machine
 - \rightarrow hardware usable through quantum cloud service
 - \rightarrow build on reservation system



- documentation, tutorials and examples availabe on website
 - \rightarrow you can start now

Some publications

- Zeta-regularized vacuum expectation values from quantum computing simulations
 T. Hartung and K.J., J.Math.Phys. 60 (2019) 9, 093504
- Measurement Error Mitigation in Quantum Computers
 Through Classical Bit-Flip Correction
 - L. Funcke, T. Hartung, S.Kühn, P. Stornati, K.J., arxiv:2007.03663
- A resource efficient approach for quantum and classical simulations of gauge theories in particle physics
 J.F. Haase, L. Dellantonio, A.Celi, D.Paulson, A. Kan, K.J., C.A. Muschik, Quantum 5 (2021) 393



- C. Kokail, R. van Bijnen, K.J., P. Zoller, C. A. Muschik, accepted in **PRX Quantum**
- Simulating Lattice Gauge Theories within Quantum Technologies M.C. Banüls et.al., Eur.Phys.J.D 74 (2020) 8, 165
- Dimensional Expressivity Analysis of Quantum Circuits
 L. Funcke, T. Hartung, S.Kühn, P. Stornati, K.J., Quantum 5 (2021) 422
- Flight gate assignment with variational quantum simulations
 L. Funcke, T. Hartung, S.Kühn, P. Stornati, T. Stollenwerk, K.J., in preparation
- A measurement-based variational quantum eigensolver
 R. Ferguson, L. Dellantonio, A. Al Balushi, W.Dür, C. Muschik, K.J., Phys.Rev.Lett. 126
- Investigating a 3+1D Topological θ-Term in the Hamiltonian Formulation of Lattice Gauge Theories for Quantum and Classical Simulations
 A. Kan, L. Funcke. S. Kühn, L. Dellantonio, C.A. Muschik, K.J., to appear in PRD

