Status of electroweak computations w.r.t. numerical treatment of Feynman integrals (common work with: Ayres Freitas, Janusz Gluza, Krzysztof Grzanka, Martijn Hidding, levgen Dubovik) 1st Future Collider Workshop

Johann Usovitsch



8. October 2021

# Outline



2 Caesar: Blueprint for Multi Loop Feynman Integral Computation

#### 3 Benchmark

- v3t181
- Bhabha



#### Introduction

Many formal successfull studies are available on the market.

- Loop tree duality [Capatti, Hirschi, Pelloni, Ruijl, 2021]
- Unitarity cut techniques [Abreu, Ita, Page, Tschernow, 2021]
- pySecDec approach [Long Chen, Heinrich, Jones, Kerner, Klappert, Schlenk, 2021]
- Auxiliary mass flow [Brønnum-Hansen, Melnikov, Quarroz, Chen-YuWang, 2021]
- Solving a system of differential equations numerically [Lee, Smirnov, Smirnov, 2018], [Mandal, Zhao, 2019], [Moriello, 2019], [Bonciani, Del Duca, Frellesvig, Henn, Hidding, Maestri, Moriello, Salvatori, Smirnov, 2019], [Hidding, 2020], [Abreu, Ita, Moriello, Page, Tschernow, Zeng 2020]
- Many computational steps are automated. We are free to pick the individual computer programs and glue them together.
- The glue to connect the individuel tools for the full automation is still missing.

In this talk we demonstrate one possible engineering attempt to automate the differential equations approach.

#### Motivation

#### **Electroweak Precision Physics**

	Experiment	Theory	Main source
		uncertainty	
$M_W[{ m MeV}]$	$80385 \pm 15$	4	$N_f^2 lpha^3$ , $N_f lpha^2 lpha_{ m s}$
$\sin^2\theta_{\rm eff}^{\rm l}[10^{-5}]$	$23153 \pm 16$	4.5	$N_f^2 lpha^3$ , $N_f lpha^2 lpha_{ m s}$
$\Gamma_Z[MeV]$	$2495.2\pm2.3$	0.4	$N_f^2 lpha^3$ , $N_f lpha^2 lpha_{ m s}$ , $lpha lpha_{ m s}^2$
$\sigma_{ m had}^0[ m pb]$	$41540\pm37$	6	$N_f^2 lpha^3$ , $N_f lpha^2 lpha_{ m s}$
$R_b = \Gamma_Z^b / \Gamma_Z^{\text{had}}[10^{-5}]$	$21629\pm 66$	15	$N_f^2 lpha^3$ , $N_f lpha^2 lpha_{ m s}$

- The number of Z-bonos collected at LEP is  $1.7 \times 10^7$
- Many pseudo observables are determined with high precision
- Present theoretical predictions are accurate enough to fullfill experimental demands

#### **Overview Experiment Future**

	Experiment uncertainty			Theory uncertainty	
	ILC	CEPC	FCC-ee	Current	Future
$M_W[MeV]$	3-4	3	1	4	1
$\sin^2\theta_{\rm eff}^{\rm l}[10^{-5}]$	1	2.3	0.6	4.5	1.5
$\Gamma_Z[MeV]$	0.8	0.5	0.1	0.4	0.2
$R_b[10^{-5}]$	14	17	6	15	7

- The concepts for the new experiments will have new demands to the theoreticle predictions
- FCC-ee will generate  $5 \times 10^{12} Z$ -bosons which is  $10^5$  more than during the LEP times
- The projection to the theory errors in the future assumes that the missing corrections  $\alpha \alpha_{\rm s}^2$ ,  $N_f^2 \alpha^3$ ,  $N_f \alpha^2 \alpha_{\rm s}$  will become available

## Samples of two-loop and three-loop Feynman integrals



- We project all Feynman integrals to scalar integrals
- We need to compute all Feynman integrals only up to the finite order in  $\epsilon=(4-d)/2$ , d the space time dimension
- At the end we want to be able to compute all three-loop Feynman integrals appearing in e.g. the  $Z\bar{b}b$  vertex numerically with at least eight significant digits of accuracy in physical kinematic regions

#### Parameters

• The integrals depend on up to four dimensionless parameters

$$\left\{\frac{M_H^2}{M_Z^2}, \frac{M_W^2}{M_Z^2}, \frac{m_t^2}{M_Z^2}, \frac{(s+i\delta)}{M_Z^2}\right\}|_{s=M_Z^2}$$
(1)

- Many of them contain ultraviolet and infrared singularities, even though the divergences cancel in the final result
- $\bullet$  Computations involve  $\mathcal{O}(100)$  master integrals

#### Feynman integral

$$T(a_1, \dots, a_N) = \int \left(\prod_{i=1}^{L} \mathrm{d}^d \ell_i\right) \frac{1}{P_1^{a_1} P_2^{a_2} \cdots P_N^{a_N}}, \quad N = \frac{L}{2}(L+1) + LE$$
(2)

- $P_j = q_j^2 m_j^2$ , j = 1, ..., N, are the inverse propagators
- The momenta  $q_j$  are linear combinations of the loop momenta  $\ell_i$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, L$  for an L-loop integral, and external momenta  $p_k$ ,  $k = 1, \ldots, E$  for E + 1 external legs
- The  $m_j$  are the propagator masses
- The  $a_j$  are the (integer) propagator powers

#### **Differential Equations**

• Each family of Feynman integrals  $T(a_1, \ldots, a_N)$  may be charcterized through a system of differential equations [Kotikov, 1991], [Remiddi, 1997][Gehrmann, Remiddi, 2000]

$$\partial_{s_i} \vec{f} = M_{s_i}(s_i, \epsilon) \vec{f} \tag{3}$$

and a set of master integrals  $\vec{f}$ 

- We take derivatives on kinematic invariants and masses denoted as  $s_i$  in  $\vec{f}$
- We express these derivatives again as a linear combination in terms of the same master integrals with the help of integration-by-parts identities [Chetyrkin, Tkachov, 1981]

# Caesar: Blueprint for Multi Loop Feynman Integral Computation

- Main developers of Caesar Martijn Hidding and J.U.
- Caesar has to interface Kira [Klappert, Lange, Maierhöfer, Usovitsch, 2020], Reduze 2 [Von Manteuffel, Studerus, 2012], pySecDec [Borowka et al., 2018] and DiffExp [Martijn Hidding, 2021].
- For all the programs we have prepared templates which are filled automatically for each problem individually
- Kira is the backbone / major bottleneck of the Caesar implementation solves linear system of equations
- Reduze 2 finds candidates for a finite basis of master integrals
- pySecDec computes the finite integrals in Euclidean regions boundary terms
- DiffExp transports the Euclidean point to an arbitrary physical point with well understood propagation of errors
- Repeat the chain of tools for different Euclidean points to get an error estimate

#### **One Possible Application of Caesar**



Euclidean Minkowski

- All master integrals  $f_i(...)$  are finite integrals (Reduze)
- Master integrals  $f_i(...)$  are evaluated numerically in Euclidean regions (pySecDec)
- System of differential equations is generated with (Kira)
- Use series expansion of the system of differential equations to transport from the Eucliden points to Minkowskien physical regions (DiffExp)

## Benefits of the Blueprint Caesar

- We may set all masses to physical values reductions with Kira simplify enormously
- Finite integrals in Euclidean regions avoid the contour deformation and the tedious resolving of the UV or IR divergences
- Proof of concept available in other projects [Frellesvig, Hidding, Maestri, Moriello,

Salvatori, 2020], [Faela, Lange, Sch Ìönwalda, Steinhauser, 2021]

v3t181

#### Caesar: Integral family v3t181



• In Euclidean regions  $(s, M_W^2, m_t^2) = (-2, 4, 16)$ -> v3t181<sup>d=4-2\epsilon</sup>[1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0] = 0.133952666444160183902749812 with 25 significant digits v3t181

## Caesar: Integral family v3t181



# Caesar: Integralfamily Bhabha



- In physical regions  $(s, t, m_1^2, m_2^2) = (2, 5, 4, 16)$
- -> bhabha<sup>d=6-2\epsilon</sup>[1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0] = (0.0002973066815 + 0.001542581913 i)  $-(0.002805345908 - 0.003106827180 i) \epsilon + O(\epsilon^2)$

# Outlook

- $\bullet\,$  Get a basis where the matrix of the system of differential equations is linear in  $\epsilon$ 
  - -> DiffExp does order of magnitudes faster transport of the boundary terms

#### Conclusions

- The first physics goals are already in 6 month reach
- Important is the knowledge transfer and to get people motivated to engineer other methods for practical applications
- Strong computing resources are needed not only for the final product but also for the development of the tools.
- Without spending significant effort on simplification of the basis, we can numerically solve the differential equations of non-trivial 3-loop Feynman integrals.
- By choosing the basis representatives to be finite integrals, we can obtain precise numerical boundary conditions in the Euclidean region using pySecDec.
- We find that the precision of the boundary conditions in the Euclidean region carries over to the physical region.
- The process can be fully automated.