# **ECFA Higgs Factory 1st Topical Workshop** on Generators / Simulation









## Jürgen Reuter



## **ECFA H/EW/Top Factory 1st Topical Simulation WS**

- Recommendation from the European Strategy Update 2020 (ESU2020)  $\implies$
- ECFA mandate to study the physics case for future Higgs/EW/Top factory
- Short reminder on the Study Group Structure:

WG 1: Physics Potential

### WG 2: Physics Analysis Methods

- Software framework
- Fast simulation (and its limitations)
- Particle flow
- Luminosity measurement ...
- . . .



J. R. Reuter, DESY









## **ECFA H/EW/Top Factory 1st Topical Simulation WS**



J. R. Reuter, DESY

- $\gtrsim$  100 participants, roughly 30 at CERN
- Quite intense discussions: true "work"shop











## **Connection to Future Collider Unit at CERN**

### **TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 9**

9:00 AM → 10:35 AM	<b>Generators: Taking Stock and Plans I</b> <b>Convener: Fabio Maltoni</b> (Universite Catholique de Louvain (UCL) (BE) and Università di Bologna)			
		Introduction Speaker: Fulvio Piccinini (Pavia University and INFN (IT))		
		The new "Future Collider" unit at CERN       ¶         Speaker: Michelangelo Mangano (CERN)         2021-11-09.pdf		

- 1. As of 1st of October, the unit exists as RCS/PRJ/FC, under the Directorate of Research and **Computing** [Joachim Mnich]
- 2. Users' registration:
  - the unit allows those not affiliated with a CERN expt, or TH, to register as CERN user
- **Resources:** 3.
  - 24 months of scientific associates (SASS) per year, during the 2022-2024 period
  - budget to support short visits (per diem), organize activities (workshops), ...
  - fellows, project associates or further SASS, as made available by the individual projects under their MTP allocations (FCC, CLIC, mucoll).



J. R. Reuter, DESY

Michelangelo Mangano

## A "Future Colliders" Unit at CERN

Suggestions / Proposals of Usage:

- Connect to the ECFA WGs
- organize workshops / tutorials
- help with tool validation / development
- storage of software projects / data





## Focus 1st WS: Generators, Beams & Software Frameworks

#### **PYTHIA**

Speaker: Ilkka Helenius (University of Jyväskylä)

Herwig Speaker: Simon Platzer (University of Graz (AT))

#### Madgraph5\_aMC@NLO

**Speaker**: Stefano Frixione (INFN)

#### Whizard

Speaker: Jürgen Reuter

#### **SHERPA**

Speaker: Steffen Schumann (Georg-August-Universitaet Goettingen)

#### Powheg

Speaker: Emanuele Re (Universita & INFN, Milano-Bicocca (IT))

#### Geneva

Speaker: Simone Alioli (Universita & INFN, Milano-Bicocca (IT))

Genuine weak corrections and tau lepton decays for phenomenology and M to LHC, Belle2 and to FCC, ILC, CLIC

Speaker: Zbigniew Andrzej Was (Polish Academy of Sciences (PL))

### **KKMC**

Speaker: Staszek Jadach (Polish Academy of Sciences (PL))

### BabaYaga

Speakers: Carlo Michel Carloni Calame (INFN - National Institute 1





Guinea Pig

Speaker: Daniel Schulte (CERN)

### **Discussion on Benchmarks**

- 1. Beamstrahlung
- 2. Processes for Performance
- 3. Processes for Physics Studies

#### CIRCE

**Speaker:** Thorsten Ohl (Würzburg University)

#### **Production Experience LHC**

Speaker: Andy Buckley (University of Glasgow (GB))

#### **Production Experience LC**

Speaker: Mikael Berggren (Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron (DE))

#### **Production Experience FCC**

**Speaker**: Clement Helsens (CERN)

#### The Software Ecosystem

**Speaker**: Gerardo Ganis (CERN)

Madgraph5\_aMC@NLO on GPUs and Vectorized C++ and HEP Software Foundation Generator Group Activities

Speaker: Andrea Valassi (CERN)





# **Organizers' Task for the Speakers**

- Beamstrahlung for FCC, CLIC, ILC: internal or external or ...?
- Beamspot / crossing angle internal / external
- Beam Polarization: available, foreseen, not foreseen / full matrix
- Interface to KEY4HEP: available, not doable, foreseen, need
- modifications in KEY4HEP, library or file interface
- Code management / availability or source code (website or Gitlab/Hepforge...) / feedback loop?
- Output format: which type?
- LHE: don't care, need it, need an extension
- NLO corrections : EW / QCD / none / planned
- Which hadronization model?
- External decays/FSR? Tauola / Photos / EvtGen
- CPU performance, support for multithreading, vectorization and GPUs
- Testing: interest in comparisons with other generators



J. R. Reuter, DESY





	NNLO	Le	SSO	<b>ns</b>	fr	omth	e Ge	ener		
LO	LO NLO E	QCD state- EW for e⁺e <sup>-</sup> NNLO (QE	to com	e		Process $e^+e^- \rightarrow jj$ $e^+e^- \rightarrow jjj$ $e^+e^- \rightarrow jjjj$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\sigma_{NLO}$ [fb] 639.39(5) 317.8(5) 104.2(4)		
$\begin{array}{l} e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t} \\ e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}j \\ e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}jj \\ e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}jjj \\ e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}t\bar{t} \\ e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}t\bar{t} \\ e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}t\bar{t}j \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c c} 166.37(12) \\ 48.12(5) \\ 8.592(19) \\ 1.035(4) \\ 0.6388(8) \cdot 10^{-3} \\ 2.673(7) \cdot 10^{-5} \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 174.55(20) \\ 53.41(7) \\ 10.526(21) \\ 1.405(5) \\ 1.1922(11) \cdot 10^{-3} \\ 5.251(11) \cdot 10^{-5} \end{array}$	$1.05 \\ 1.11 \\ 1.23 \\ 1.36 \\ 1.87 \\ 1.96$	$d\sigma_{kl}(p_k, j)$	$p_l) =$	/	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	24.57(7) 4.46(4) $,m^2)\Gamma_{j/l}(z,\mu)$		
$\begin{array}{l} e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}H\\ e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}Hj\\ e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}Hjj\\ e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}Z\\ e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}Zj\\ e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}Zj\\ e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}Zjj \end{array}$	$ \begin{vmatrix} 2.020(3) \\ 2.536(4) \cdot 10^{-1} \\ 2.646(8) \cdot 10^{-2} \\ 4.638(3) \\ 6.027(9) \cdot 10^{-1} \\ 6.436(21) \cdot 10^{-2} \end{vmatrix} $	$\begin{array}{l} 1.912(3) \\ 2.657(4) \cdot 10^{-1} \\ 3.123(9) \cdot 10^{-2} \\ 4.937(3) \\ 6.921(11) \cdot 10^{-1} \\ 8.241(29) \cdot 10^{-2} \end{array}$	0.95 1.05 1.18 1.06 1.15 1.28		$egin{aligned} ij=e^+,e^-,\gamma^{~J} \ & imes d\hat{\sigma}_{ij}(z_+p_k,zp_l,\mu^2) + \mathcal{O}\left(\left(rac{m^2}{s} ight)  ight) \ &e^+e^-  o \mu^+\mu^- + N\gamma \end{aligned}$					
$\begin{array}{l} e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}W^\pm jj\\ e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}HZ\\ e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}ZZ\\ e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}HH\\ e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}W^+W^- \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.387(8) \cdot 10^{-4} \\ 3.623(19) \cdot 10^{-2} \\ 3.788(6) \cdot 10^{-2} \\ 1.3650(15) \cdot 10^{-2} \\ 1.3672(21) \cdot 10^{-1} \end{array}$	$3.716(10) \cdot 10^{-4}$ $3.584(19) \cdot 10^{-2}$ $4.032(7) \cdot 10^{-2}$ $1.2168(16) \cdot 10^{-2}$ $1.5385(22) \cdot 10^{-1}$	$1.56 \\ 0.99 \\ 1.06 \\ 0.89 \\ 1.13$		[qd] ω 10 <sup>3</sup>			KKMC ISR+FSR SHERPA ISR+FSR Born		
<ul> <li>Inclusive</li> </ul>	e part: eleo	ctron PDFs	(polariz	ed?)	10 <sup>1</sup>			-		
<ul> <li>LL vs. NL</li> <li>Exclusive</li> </ul>	•		ts vs. YF	·	100 1.010 1.005 1.000					



J. R. Reuter, DESY

0.995

0.990

150

## rators I

$$\int dz_{+}dz_{-} \Gamma_{i/k}(z_{+},\mu^{2},m^{2}) \Gamma_{j/l}(z_{-},\mu^{2},m^{2})$$

$$d\hat{\sigma}_{ij}(z_+p_k, z_-p_l, \mu^2) + \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{m^2}{s}\right)\right)$$











## Lessons from the Generators II



J. R. Reuter, DESY



to LEP/OPAL data in all detail?

- High statistics for ee  $\rightarrow$  WW
- $\Rightarrow$  Clean environment to study CR effects, no-CR scenario excluded at 99.5% in LEP II





## Lessons from the Generators III

Several MCs have Weizsäcker-Williams / EPA ... many precision/validity studies needed





- 1. Total number of decay channels: 278

Need for dedicated MCs:

E.g. comparison BabaYaga@NLO vs. BHWIDE (Jadach, Płaczek, Ward) at KLOE





J. R. Reuter, DESY

BSM: several tools with versatile support via UFO and Lagrangian level tools

basf2 software of Belle II, new  $\tau$  decay channels prepared for tauola:

2. 2 body neutrinoless non SM decays: 58

3. 3 body neutrinoless non SM decays: 46

Complete support for SMEFTsim 3.0 Spin 0, 1/2, 1, 3/2, 2 supported

Arbitrary Lorentz structures supported

Support for customized propagators

5-, 6-, 7-, 8-point vertices

Majorana and Dirac statistics

... in tauola:



Polarization not available for some generators

### **Event formats:**

LHE (v1-3), HepMC2/3 standard LCIO not widely available, confusion on scope of LCIO











- [in principle, there is also CAIN]
- Simulation of circular machines is tedious and tricky
- 6-7 parameter fits with delta peaks and tails insufficient
- CIRCE2 can simulate correlated statistics-improved Guinea Pig fits
- "Have your machine-physicist consultant" [Daniel Schulte]
- Solution CIRCE3 will simulate *z*-dependence, supported in Whizard [Thorsten Oh]]
- Strong wish in MC community to define/standardize beam simulation



J. R. Reuter, DESY

## **Simulation of beam dynamics**



simple Gaussian beam spread





### [Gerard Ganis]

## Software Ecosystems

## Complete set of tools for

- Generation, simulation, reconstruction, analysis
- Build, package, test, deploy, run





Many discussions: how to inject tools? LCIO-based event format vs. HepMC Generators as programs or libraries? Chains of generators supported?

J. R. Reuter, DESY

## **Core Ingredients of current key4hep**

- PoDIO for EDM4hep, based on LCIO and FCC-edm
- Gaudi framework, devel/used for (HL-)LHC
- **DD4hep** for geometry, adopted at LHC
- **Spack** package manager, lot of interest from LHC Regular (weekly) meetings

O(10) people attending, mostly from CERN, DESY, CEPC







## Lessons from MC mass productions

**Report from LHC software frameworks:** [Andi Buck] 40% of Sherpa CPU in PDFs! ≈ 100,000 different P Report on ILC (CLIC) mass production: [Mikael Berg As of today, 104 channels are done, producing 2.7 billio 15788 LCIO files details, occupying 5.4 TB. This used 72 hours, obtained in  $\sim$  10 days. **Report on FCC MC production:** [Clement H Review on HEP Software Foundation (HSF) [Andrea Completely different simulation philosophies LHC v "stacked signal processes" vs. complete inclus Computing demands for ILC still slim Discussion on parallelization strategies: GP **Communication with authors essential** Physics content is very complex, many hidden wrinkl Design interfaces to enable communication with aut Incentivise rapid responses, provide dev person-pow J. R. Reuter, DESY



ley]	Bulk of CPU comes from multileg NLO V+jets, tt					
ython options: jet slices, flavour filtering, BSM grid scans etc.						
ggren]	ILC 250 GeV production: Generation status					
on events in 233 CPU	<ul> <li>Medium-term wishes</li> <li>γ ISR/FSR matching</li> <li>Work out priority processes for EW-NLO.</li> </ul>					
lelsens]	<ul> <li>Spring2021" production, EDM4hep</li> <li>Delphes events IDEA with <i>Track Covariance full matrix lower triangle</i></li> <li>Total: ~10<sup>10</sup> events, ~53 TB, mostly at Z peak</li> </ul>					
a Valassi]						
vs. Higgs factories						
sive "multiplicity samples"						
will change partially for N(N)LO productions						
U vs. CPU, SIMD vs. SIMP, benchmarking						
les	"MC authors not strongly incentivised to solve expt. problems!"					
hors. ver to help	"Also 2nd ('validation') implementations [Thom have to get credit!"					



## **Summary & Conclusions**

- Transferral of SLC/LEP legacy & LHC frameworks, reports on existing ILC / CLIC simulations •
- Quite special rôle of CEPC (simulation framework): not excluded, but not officially invited (yet?) ullet
- Lots of discussions: both strategic & many technical
- Need for Les Houches/Aspen-style workshops: lacksquare
- Three main parts: generators, beam simulation, software frameworks ullet
- Discussion on specialized tools like higher-order calculators: not highlighted at 1st workshop
- Some concerns of duplication of efforts and person-power issues: ECFA vs. Snowmass vs. IDT vs. .... lacksquare
- Great start and concrete plans to go ahead:

Simulation/Reco-focused WS, 01/22: Patrizia Azzi **MC Generator (esp.**  $\gamma\gamma$ ), 06/22 Wolfgang Kilian



**ILD Event** Display





CERN/ECFA as hub/platform for organization





# On a personal note

- Great to have a workshop (again) in person
- Very appropriate for COVID-19 times, discussions were in the *Filtration Plant*  $\bullet$
- For justification of in-person meetings, one has to learn from the best:









# On a personal note

- Great to have a workshop (again) in person
- Very appropriate for COVID-19 times, discussions were in the *Filtration Plant*  $\bullet$
- For justification of in-person meetings, one has to learn from the best:











# On a personal note

- Great to have a workshop (again) in person
- Very appropriate for COVID-19 times, discussions were in the *Filtration Plant*
- For justification of in-person meetings, one has to learn from the best:



Denny Martin Flinn: "The fearful summons"

It was rumored that the Fleet's Department of Humanoid Resources began some years ago to encourage face-to-face meetings where possible. The department apparently now felt that the failure of electronic dialogue to carry useful nuances and improvised content was a factor in inhibiting the quality of collaborative decision making.



J. R. Reuter, DESY



