

Hidden Symmetry of Vanishing Love

Sergei Dubovsky CCPP (NYU)

a fishing expedition in progress with Panos Charalambous and Misha Ivanov 2102.08917, 2103.0123, + to appear



Masses in the Stellar Graveyard

LIGO-Virgo-KAGRA Black Holes LIGO-Virgo-KAGRA Neutron Stars EM Black Holes EM Neutron Stars



We are witnessing the dawn of precision black hole physics

A typical binary merger





is sensitive to tidal responses of the mergers

Tidal response of neutron stars from GW170817

LIGO/Virgo 1805.11581



Tidal Love numbers in Newtonian gravity



Tidal Love numbers in Einstein gravity

Fang, Lovelace gr-qc/0505156 Binnington, Poisson 0906.1366 Damour, Nagar 0906.0096

Morally, one wants to say that far away from an object gravity is Newtonian, and use the same definition.

However,

*One may worry whether this definition is reparametrization invariant

*Einstein gravity is non-linear, one may worry that the source/resource separation is not well-defined

A conceptually clean definition is provided by the worldline effective theory

> Goldberger, Rothstein hep-th/0409156, 0511133 Kol, Smolkin 1110.3764 Porto 1601.04914



© LIGO collaboration, ligo.org

EFT is the tool to disentangle physics from different length scales R r_{orbit} λ r_s r_{orb} tidal effects potential radiation

at $r \gg r_s$ a black hole is described by the worldline effective action

$$S = -M \int d\tau + \dots$$

To keep formulas short in what follows gravity -> massless scalar

$$S_{worldline} = -M \int d\tau + \lambda_1 \int (\partial_i \phi)^2 + \lambda_2 \int (\partial_i \partial_j \phi)^2 + \dots$$

Love numbers -> Wilson coefficients

$$\lambda_l \sim \Lambda_l r_s^{2l-1}$$

Provides unambiguous gauge-invariant definition of Love numbers

*****One expects to find $\Lambda_l \gtrsim \mathcal{O}(1)$

*One expects to find (classical) RG running



Schwarzschild Love numbers

*****No Love in 4d: $\Lambda_l = 0$

Fang, Lovelace gr-qc/0505156 Binnington, Poisson 0906.1366 Damour, Nagar 0906.0096 Kol, Smolkin 1110.3764 Hui, Joyce, Penco, Santoni, Solomon 2010.00593

*d>4, generically Love is a non-vanishing constant

$$\Lambda_l = \frac{2\hat{l} + 1}{2\pi} \frac{\Gamma(\hat{l} + 1)^4}{\Gamma(2\hat{l} + 2)^2} \tan \pi \hat{l} \qquad \qquad \hat{l} = \frac{l}{d - 3}$$

Love vanishes at integer \hat{l}

Love runs logarithmically at half-integer \hat{l}

Kerr Love numbers all vanish (in 4d)

Chia 2010.07300 Charalambous, SD, Ivanov 2102.08917 also Le Tiec, Casals, Franzin 2010.15795

a bit subtle, the actual worldsheet action (also for Schwarzschild)

$$S_{worldline} = -M \int d\tau + \lambda_1 \int (\partial_i \phi)^2 + \lambda_2 \int (\partial_i \partial_j \phi)^2 + \dots + \int d\tau \partial_i \phi \mathcal{O}_i + \dots$$

 $\phi \sim (\lambda + \langle \mathcal{OO} \rangle) \phi_{ext} \sim \nu \partial_t \phi_{ext} + \dots$ Schwarzschild

Kerr Love numbers all vanish (in 4d)

Chia 2010.07300 Charalambous, SD, Ivanov 2102.08917 also Le Tiec, Casals, Franzin 2010.15795

a bit subtle, the actual worldsheet action (also for Schwarzschild)

$$\begin{split} S_{worldline} &= -M \int d\tau + \lambda_1 \int (\partial_i \phi)^2 + \lambda_2 \int (\partial_i \partial_j \phi)^2 + \dots \\ &+ \int d\tau \partial_i \phi \mathcal{O}_i + \dots \\ \phi &\sim (\lambda + \langle \mathcal{O}\mathcal{O} \rangle) \phi_{ext} \sim \nu (\partial_t + \Omega \partial_\phi) \phi_{ext} + \dots \quad \text{Kerr} \\ &\text{static dissipative response due to frame dragging} \end{split}$$

Holographic interpretation

$$S_{worldline} = -M \int d\tau + \lambda_1 \int (\partial_i \phi)^2 + \lambda_2 \int (\partial_i \partial_j \phi)^2 + \dots + \int d\tau \partial_i \phi \mathcal{O}_i + \dots$$

Remarkably, in some cases we know what *O*'s are. For instance, for black 3-branes in type IIA string theory these are operators of N=4 supersymmetric Yang—Mills.

Love numbers characterize how black hole is "glued" to the rest of the space-time.

All Love properties follow from the Teukolsky equation

 $\varphi = \Phi(t, r, \phi) S(\theta) = R(r) S(\theta) e^{-i\omega t + im\phi}$

$$\partial_r (\Delta(r)\partial_r R) + V(\omega, m, r)R = AR$$

in 4d

$$\Delta = (r - r_+)(r - r_-)$$

and

$$A = l(l+1) + \mathcal{O}(\omega\Omega)$$

is the eigenvalue of the angular equation

To identify Love numbers one extracts a decaying tail of the solution which is regular at the horizon



Looks like a good fishing place for some interesting hidden structure (symmetry?)

NB: static Love numbers only care about $\omega = 0$ limit. Let's look at the whole thing nevertheless...

plest case of a massless sca**latear zone expansion** ground. The resulting Klein–

t<mark>&tBrobinsky</mark>273]eMaldaceBoyStrominger hep-th/9702015, Castro, Maloney, Strominger 1004.0996, Bertini, Cacciatori, Klemm 1106.0999, Chia 2010.0730 fter writing

near zone $t{+}im\phi$ = R(r2- 0 Leukols Ry equation near horizon region It is straightforward to check that these fields satisfy the $\frac{(2m)^2 - 4\omega\Omega m}{r_{r_+} r_- r_-} \xrightarrow{r_-} SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \text{ algebra}, \qquad I = r_- r_-$ At low frequencies, $\mathcal{D}_{r_+} \underbrace{\mathcal{D}_{r_+} \mathcal{D}_{r_+} \mathcal{D}_{r_+}}_{(r_+} \underbrace{\mathcal{D}_{r_+} \mathcal{D}_{r_+} \mathcal{D}_{r_+}}_{(r_+) \mathcal{D}_{r_+} \mathcal{D}_{r_+}} \xrightarrow{r_+} \underbrace{\mathcal{D}_{r_+} \mathcal{D}_{r_+} \mathcal{D}_{r_+} \mathcal{D}_{r_+}}_{(r_+) \mathcal{D}_{r_+} \mathcal{D}_{r_+}} \xrightarrow{r_+} \underbrace{\mathcal{D}_{r_+} \mathcal{D}_{r_+} \mathcal{D}_{r_+} \mathcal{D}_{r_+}}_{(r_+) \mathcal{D}_{r_+} \mathcal{D}_{r_+} \mathcal{D}_{r_+}} \xrightarrow{r_+} \underbrace{\mathcal{D}_{r_+} \mathcal{D}_{r_+} \mathcal{D}_{r_+} \mathcal{D}_{r_+}}_{(r_+) \mathcal{D}_{r_+} \mathcal{D}_{r_+} \mathcal{D}_{r_+}} \xrightarrow{r_+} \underbrace{\mathcal{D}_{r_+} \mathcal{D}_{r_+} \mathcal{D}_{r$ with the asymptotically flat region casimir of this algebra $V_{\mathcal{V}} = 0 \mathcal{V}_{0}^{2} + L_{1} \mathcal{V}_{0} = 0$ (9) $\underline{\operatorname{err}}_{H} \stackrel{\text{fretric}}{=} 2\pi I_{H}^{\operatorname{summarized}}$ in Ap- $M_{r_+r_-}$ one finds that the $\epsilon = 0$ Teukolsky equation can be writ- $\frac{1}{4\epsilon} = 1$ is a formal expansion parameter. In what follows $\epsilon = 0$. value of the angular operator $\mathcal{C}_2 \Phi = \ell(\ell+1)\Phi \,.$ (10)al l to not the sent Hine the near zone e near zone expansion. For the frequency frequency of the operator L_0 are given by $\epsilon = 1$, while throughout this

the loading near some ennear

$$L_0 \Phi = i\beta^{-1}\omega \Phi \equiv h\Phi \,. \tag{11}$$

Let's choose the following near zone split

$$V_0 = \frac{(2Mr_+)^2}{\Delta} \left((\omega - \Omega m)^2 - 4\omega \Omega m \frac{r - r_+}{r_+ - r_-} \right)$$

$$V_{1} = \frac{2M(\omega am\beta + 4M^{2}\omega^{2}r_{+})}{r_{+}(r - r_{-})} + \omega^{2}(r^{2} + 2Mr + 4M^{2})$$
$$\beta = \frac{4Mr_{+}}{r_{+} - r_{-}}$$
lefine

and define

$$L_0 = -\beta \partial_t ,$$

$$L_{\pm 1} = e^{\pm \beta^{-1} t} \left(\mp \Delta^{1/2} \partial_r + \beta \partial_r (\Delta^{1/2}) \partial_t + \frac{a}{\Delta^{1/2}} \partial_\phi \right)$$

Love Symmetry

******L*'s are regular at the horizon *****Satisfy SL(2,R) algebra

$$[L_n, L_m] = (n - m)L_{n+m}, \quad n, m = -1, 0, 1$$

*Near zone Teukolsky equation turns into

$$\mathcal{C}_2 \Phi = \hat{l}(\hat{l}+1)\Phi$$

where C_2 is the quadratic Casimir

$$\mathcal{C}_2 \equiv L_0^2 - \frac{1}{2}(L_{-1}L_1 + L_1L_{-1})$$

All properties of Love numbers can be nicely explained in terms of SL(2,R) representation theory

Highest Weight Banishes Love









regular static solution belongs to a highest weight representation

indeed, solve
$$\begin{cases} L_1 v_{\hat{l}} = 0 \\ L_0 v_{\hat{l}} = -\hat{l} v_{\hat{l}} \end{cases} \implies v_0 = L_{-1}^{\hat{l}} v_{\hat{l}} \end{cases}$$
highest weight \implies zero Love $L_1^{\hat{l}+1} v_0 = 0$

Schwarzschild



finite dim rep as a consequence of regularity at the white hole horizon

Kerr



semi-infinite Verma module related to a singularity at the white hole horizon

*****generic \hat{l}



infinite rep, non-zero Love numbers, no running because regular and singular solutions belong to algebraically different reps

*half-integer \hat{l}

infinite rep, regular and singular solutions belong to isomorphic reps, log running, cf. resonance condition in conformal perturbation theory

$(1) \zeta (1) \zeta (1)$

th η_{ξ} and ϑ_{ξ} two scalar functions independent of pends that transform covariantly the transform covariantly the transform covariantly the transform of the transform covariant the transform of the transform covariant transform covariant the transform covariant transform covariant the transform covariant transform c

*a story for vectors $\frac{\lambda,\chi}{\partial n}$ dytensors is $\frac{\lambda,\chi}{\partial s}$ imilar. (E.19)

The are also jinear in the vector field ξ^{μ} . For the minimal choice derivatives 0, we see scalar functions it has a prease above arbitr $L_{\pm 1}^{(s)} = L_{\pm 1} - se^{\pm\beta t}(1\pm 1)\partial_r(\Delta^{1/2})$ by uniquely constructing a generalized of derivative when Lie dragging along a Killing vector. This was first proposed by derivative when Lie dragging along a Killing vector. This was first proposed by derivative when Lie dragging along a Killing vector. This was first proposed by derivative when Lie dragging along a Killing vector. This was first proposed by derivative when Lie dragging along a Killing vector. This was first proposed by derivative when Lie dragging along a Killing vector. This was first proposed by derivative when Lie dragging along a Killing vector. This was first proposed by derivative when Lie dragging along a Killing vector. This was first proposed by derivative when Lie dragging along a Killing vector. This was first proposed by derivative when Lie dragging along a Killing vector. This was first proposed by derivative when Lie dragging along a Killing vector. This was first proposed by derivative when Lie dragging along a Killing vector. This was first proposed by derivative when Lie dragging the split derivative of th

$$n_{\mu}\mathcal{L}_{\xi}\ell^{\mu} = \bar{m}_{\mu}\mathcal{L}_{\xi}m^{\mu}$$
 =

iquely fixing η_{ξ} and ϑ_{ξ} with the end res

$$\mathcal{L}_{\xi} = \mathcal{L}_{\xi} + b \, n_{\mu} \mathcal{L}_{\xi} \ell^{\mu} - s \, \bar{m}_{\mu}$$

$$\lim_{Q \to M} (\pounds (2\mathbb{R}))$$

$$\operatorname{Lie} \operatorname{derivative}^{M^2}, M^2$$

$$\lim_{a \to M} SL(2, \mathbb{R})_{\operatorname{Love}} \times \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})_{\operatorname{Love}} \times \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \operatorname{Love} \times \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{$$

1 Preserving the algebra $\operatorname{AdS}_2 = SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ gravity in 4d: no symmetry, log's are generic.

further feature we want the generalized Lie derivative to have is for it to preserv

Is this a triumph of naturalness a la 't Hooft?

In the current version looks more like an example of a "UV miracle"

$$L_{0} = -\beta \partial_{t},$$

$$L_{\pm 1} = e^{\pm \beta^{-1} t} \left(\mp \Delta^{1/2} \partial_{r} + \beta \partial_{r} (\Delta^{1/2}) \partial_{t} + \frac{a}{\Delta^{1/2}} \partial_{\phi} \right)$$

Love symmetry mixes UV and IR modes!

The story is likely to be incomplete:

*Starobinsky near zone has another SL(2,R) leading to an identically vanishing response at the locking frequency $\omega = m\Omega$. Combining the two leads to an infinite extension of the Love algebra.

*There is a glimpse of a symmetry argument operating strictly in the static limit, at least for the 4d Schwarzschild. *Hui, Joyce, Penco, Santoni, Solomon 2105.01069*

The verdict in this case is not out yet. Independently of the result, it is fascinating to entertain a possibility that some of the fine-tunings in Nature can be explained by symmetries which mix UV and IR.