background estimation for semileptonic top pair production

towards a top pair production cross-section measurement



Clemens Lange (DESY)

DESY top & friends meeting DESY 15th November, 2010





- >event selection follows signal topology
- require most efficient trigger for signal
- exactly one isolated high-quality lepton (electron or muon) that matches with trigger (electron), p_T > 20 GeV
- >require ≥ 4 jets, p⊤ > 25 GeV
- >one of them b-tagged
- >MET > 20 GeV (neutrino)
- >topological cut on MET to further reduce QCD





top quark candidate at ATLAS





backgrounds to semi-leptonic top pair production



- need to consider final states
- high jet multiplicity
- isolated leptons
- b-tagged jets
- >major backgrounds:
 - W+Jets & W + heavy flavour
 - QCD multijets



top and background cross-sections



signal and background crosssections calculated in theory

top pair production at 7 TeV and mt = 172.5 GeV (Moch, Uwer):

 $\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 164.57^{+8.34}_{-11.33} \text{ pb}$

- >W+jets production: $\sigma \sim 30$ nb
- >QCD production: $\sigma \sim \mu b/mb$
- to calculate cross-section in cut and count experiment, need to evaluate background:

$$\sigma = \frac{N_{\rm tot} - N_{\rm bg}}{\epsilon \times \mathcal{L}}$$





- >model all processes in MC
- >apply tight selection cuts to reject backgrounds
- cannot reject W+jets background completely due to similar topology → estimate using datadriven approach
- >QCD contributes only due to mis-identified leptons (electron fake rate of order 10⁻³-10⁻⁴)
- >QCD MC production limited by computing power
- >mostly instrumental background, difficult to model → take from data
- >my work: QCD estimation in electron channel



intermezzo: electron identification



- >electron produced at interaction point travel through inner detectors
- >track can be reconstructed from hits
- >electron is then stopped in electromagnetic calorimeter
- to obtain high-quality electron candidates we can cut on (particle identification (PID) variables):
 - inner detector track quality
 - track match with calorimeter cluster
 - shower shape
 - Ieakage into hadronic calorimeter
- >also require isolation





- > need to find region where QCD dominates while staying as close as possible to signal selection
- > select sample orthogonal to standard top selection by inverting cut on electron PID selection → anti-electron sample
- > find distribution that is sensitive to lepton fakes → missing transverse energy (QCD here mostly instrumental background)
- > shape of QCD background taken from data, but model provides no cross-section → determine amount of QCD background from fit





- binned Likelihood fit using TMinuit
- >allow background contributions to vary from Standard Model expectation within expected uncertainties



anti-electron model



- if we can fit in sideband we can perform another fit (e.g. W+jets background) in the signal region later
- >top selection applies MET cut of 20 GeV → use 0-20 GeV sideband for fit and extrapolate
- >all processes taken from MC and scaled to SM expectation
- >challenge: tune background model to agree with signal-selected data
- having a full background model should also allow us to look into other kinematic distributions



control plots





top signal region

- estimated QCD fraction very high in previous plots
- >need to reduce QCD contribution to "see" signal
- introduced additional QCD-killer cut
- problem: kills sideband region
- solution: fit before cut is applied, extrapolate and then apply cut (for each process)
- >QCD fraction reduced substantially (though very limited statistics here)
- n.b.: there is a similar method using jets for the QCD modell

ከ

20

40

Candidate Events

Candidate Events



60

QCD estimation in muon channel



- >multijet background predominantly from heavy quark decays (nonprompt leptons)
- top group using matrix method (c.f. ATLAS-CONF-2010-087)
- >define "loose" and "tight" sample, where "tight" ∈ "loose"
- >measure ε_{fake} in multijet dominated region, ε_{real} from Zµµ events
- > two approaches for ε_{fake} estimation:
 - use low MET
 - high muon impact parameter





- W to Z ratio predicted with smaller uncertainty than W+jets MC predictions
- >extrapolate from low jet multiplicity control region (CR) to top signal region (SR)
- caveat: QCD contribution in W+jets CR significant - needs to be subtracted
- >different approach now used

$$(W^{\text{SR}}/W^{\text{CR}})_{\text{data}} = (Z^{\text{SR}}/Z^{\text{CR}})_{\text{data}} \cdot C_{\text{MC}}$$

$$C_{\rm MC} = \frac{(W^{\rm SR}/W^{\rm CR})_{\rm MC}}{(Z^{\rm SR}/Z^{\rm CR})_{\rm MC}}$$



summary



- > top pair cross-section measurement challenging
- >anti-electron model allows to model QCD background from data
- matrix method used for muon channel
- >W+jets background also obtained from data

>all ingredients available for an ATLAS top pair cross-section measurement