



Top Quark Physics from the Tevatron to the LHC

Introduction to Terascale Physics 2011 Hamburg, Feb. 24, 2011

Georg Steinbrück

Hamburg University



GEFÖRDERT VOM

Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung





Introduction

UH

- Top Quark Production
- History of Top Quark Search, Discovery
- Tools for Top Physics at a Hadron Collider
- Measurements of Top Production: Cross Sections, differential CS, Asymmetries, BSM in M(tT)
- Top Properties: Mass
- Top Production: Single Top \rightarrow Top width and lifetime
- Top decay: W helicity, spin correlations (production and decay)



Introduction

UH

- Top Quark Production
- History of Top Quark Search, Discovery
- Tools for Top Physics at a Hadron Collider
- Measurements of Top Production: Cross Sections, differential CS, Asymmetries, BSM in M(tT)
- Top Properties: Mass
- Top Production: Single Top \rightarrow Top width and lifetime
- Top decay: W helicity, spin correlations (production and decay)



Introduction: The standard model









Why is the Top Quark so special?

1) Connection to mass:

Standard model described by SU(3)_{Color}xSU(2)_LxU(1)_Y symmetry.
Initially leads to massless particles, in clear contradiction to observation.
One solution: Electroweak symmetry breaking aka Higgs-Mechanism
Introduces new scalar Higgs field with non-zero vacuum expectation value v=246GeV that breaks the SU(2)xU(1) electroweak symmetry.
Electroweak gauge interaction → Weak gauge bosons (W,Z) obtain their masses
Yukawa coupling Y → Lepton and quark masses proportional to Higgs VUV and Y_{q,I}
Strong mass hierarchy → Y ranges from 10⁻⁶(electron)...10⁻² (b-quark) up to ~1 for the top quark

→Top strongly couples to dynamics of electroweak symmetry breaking Note also: Higgs Boson coupling to Fermions: g~m_f

→Good laboratory to test mechanism of EWSB. I.e. is it Higgs or something else...

Also: Quantum loop corrections from BSM larger for top quark observables than for lighter particles

New physics can effect tT production in two ways:

1) Production of tT via intermediate particles

2) Decay of top into new particles (for example $t \rightarrow H^+$ b in models with multiple Higgs doublets)

→Production (1) and decay(2) variables both sensitive to new physics. Look at the complete picture.

 $y_{\mathrm{t}} = \sqrt{2}m_{\mathrm{t}}/v \simeq 1$



Why is the Top Quark so special?

2) Short lifetime (in the end again connected with mass...)

Lifetime $\tau_{top} \sim 5x10^{-25}$ s (compare with $\tau_b \sim 1.5x10^{-12}$ s) $\tau_{top} <<$ hadronisation timescale ($\tau_{had} \sim 10^{-23}$ s) \rightarrow Top decays before it hadronizes: \rightarrow Spin information preserved in decay products!

 \rightarrow study bare quarks. For example: Quark mass, not meson mass

$$\mathbf{m}_{\mathsf{top}} \gg \mathbf{m}_{\mathsf{W}} \diamondsuit \underline{\mathsf{real W}} \text{ in top decay} \\ \tau_{t} \sim \frac{1}{|V_{tb}|^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{m_{t}^{3}} \approx 5 \cdot 10^{-25} s$$

$$\mathbf{compare to b-quark:} \\ \tau_{b} \sim \frac{1}{a|V_{cb}|^{2} + b|V_{ub}|^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{m_{b}^{5}} \approx 1.5 \cdot 10^{-12} s$$

$$\mathbf{W}$$



Top Quark Physics: Overview





Introduction

UH

• Top Quark Production

- History of Top Quark Search, Discovery
- Tools for Top Physics at a Hadron Collider
- Measurements of Top Production: Cross Sections, differential CS, Asymmetries, BSM in M(tT)
- Top Properties: Mass
- Top Production: Single Top \rightarrow Top width and lifetime
- Top decay: W helicity, spin correlations (production and decay)



Top Quark Production (tT)





Tt Decay Channels

Top quark pairs:

- Top decay ~100% \rightarrow Wb \rightarrow tT \rightarrow bb + W+W-
- decay channels: (3 leptons, 2 quark families, 3 colour, 2 branches)
 - dileptonic: 3x3
 - semileptonic:3x2x3x2
 - all-hadronic :2x2x3x3



Top Pair Decay Channels





Tt Decay Channels: Experimantal Signatures



UН

茁

• Top decay ~100% →Wb

• Classification of the events and experimental search strategy according to decay of W-bosons



- Dilepton channel (without tau)
 - 5%: 2 charged leptons, 2 jets, 2 ν
- lepton+jets channel (without tau)
 - 30%: 1 charged leptons, 4 jets, 1 ν
- all-jets channel
 - 44%: 6 jets

Note: At least 2 jets are b jets



Introduction

UH

- Top Quark Production
- History of Top Quark Search, Discovery
- Tools for Top Physics at a Hadron Collider
- Measurements of Top Production: Cross Sections, differential CS, Asymmetries, BSM in M(tT)
- Top Properties: Mass
- Top Production: Single Top \rightarrow Top width and lifetime
- Top decay: W helicity, spin correlations (production and decay)



History of Particle Discoveries

Quarks	Up/Down	1968	SLAC	1990 Nobel Prize
	Stra nge	1964	BNL	1980 Nobel Prize
	Charm	1974	SLAC /BNL	1976 Nobel Prize
	Bottom	1977	Fermila b	
	Тор	1995	Fermila b	
Leptons	Ele c tro n	1897	Thomson	1906 Nobel Prize
	E–Ne utrino	1956	Reactor	1995 Nobel Prize
	Muon	1937	Cosmic Rays	
	Mu–Ne utrino	1962	BNL	1998 Nobel Prize
	Tau	1976	SLAC	1995 Nobel Prize
	Ta u-Ne utrino	2000	Fermila b	first directevidence
Exchange Bosons	Photon	1905	Planck/Einste in	1918/1921 Nobel Prize
	Gluon	1979	DESY	1995 EPS Prize
	W/Z	1983	C ERN	1984 Nobel Prize

3 generation quark model postulated as early as 1973 by Kobayashi and Maskawa: Mechanism for CP-violation only possible with 3 generations.

Multi-generation quark model confirmed experimentally soon thereafter: Charm 1974 Third generation tau-lepton (1976) implied third quark generation.



History of Top Quark Search

Reminder (famous plot from your favorite textbook):

$$R = \frac{\sigma(e^+ + e^- \rightarrow hadrons)}{\sigma(e^+ + e^- \rightarrow \mu^+ + \mu^-)} = \frac{3\sum(quark\ ch\, arg\, e)^2}{1^2}$$







Z and W mass are altered by higher order loop corrections, i.e. virtual Tt pairs, etc.



Can we get some indirect hints?



Precision EW measurements (like the one of the Z boson mass) can therefore be used to constrain/ predict the mass of the top quark!

Success: Measured value after direct discovery at FNAL right on!



Year	Collider	Particles	Referen <i>c</i> es	Limit on me
1979-84	Petra (Desy)	e+e-	[50]-[63]	$> 23.3 \text{GeV}/c^2$
1987-90	TRISTAN (KEK)	e+e-	[64]-[68]	$> 30.2 \text{GeV}/c^2$
1989-90	SLC (SLAC), LEP (CERN)	e+e-	[69]-[72]	$> 45.8 { m GeV}/c^2$
1984	SppS (Cern)	pp	[75]	$> 45.0 {\rm GeV}/c^2$
1990	SppS (Cern)	$p\bar{p}$	[76, 77]	$> 69 { m GeV/c^2}$
1991	TEVATRON (FNAL)	pp	[78]-[80]	$> 77 {\rm GeV}/c^2$
1992	TEVATRON (FNAL)	$p\bar{p}$	[81, 82]	$> 91 {\rm GeV}/c^2$
1994	Tevatron (Fnal)	pp	[84, 85]	$> 131 ~ { m GeV}/c^2$
1995	Tevatron (Fnal)	pp	[42]	$= 174 \pm 10^{+13}_{-12} \mathrm{GeV}/c^2$
	, , ,		[43]	$= 199^{+19}_{-91} \pm 22 \text{ GeV}/c^2$

Table 1.2. History of the search for the top quark at e^+e^- and at hadron colliders. The quoted uncertainties for the top quark mass from the 1995 discovery publications are statistical and systematic uncertainties, respectively.





FIG. 3. Reconstructed mass distribution for the *b*-tagged $W + \ge 4$ -jet events (solid). Also shown are the background shape (dotted) and the sum of background plus $t\bar{t}$ Monte Carlo simulations for $M_{top} = 175 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ (dashed), with the background constrained to the calculated value, $6.9^{+2.5}_{-1.9}$ events. The inset shows the likelihood fit used to determine the top mass.

Simultaneously discovered at CDF and D0.





location	
start	
collider type	
experiments (top)	
√S	
L (instantaneous)	
L (integrated)	
$\sigma(tt)$ expected	
tT events / 50 pb ⁻¹	

UН

H.

Fermilab, USA 1987 proton – anti-proton **CDF, D0** 1.8 GeV \rightarrow 1.96 GeV 10³⁰ \rightarrow 3x10³² cm⁻²s⁻¹ \approx 10,000 pb⁻¹ \approx 7 pb \approx 350 CERN, Geneve, Switzerland 2008 (restart 2010) proton – proton **ATLAS, CMS,** ALICE, LHC-B 14 TeV (7 TeV for 2010) 10^{34} (4x10³² for 2010) cm⁻²s⁻¹ \approx 300/3000 fb⁻¹ \approx 850 pb (7 TeV: 160 pb) \approx 42,500 (8,000)



The Experiments: Example CMS





Introduction

UH

- Top Quark Production
- History of Top Quark Search, Discovery
- Tools for Top Physics at a Hadron Collider
- Measurements of Top Production: Cross Sections, differential CS, Asymmetries, BSM in M(tT)
- Top Properties: Mass
- Top Production: Single Top \rightarrow Top width and lifetime
- Top decay: W helicity, spin correlations (production and decay)





Complex signatures

UH

ΗŤ

- All detector components used to identify electrons, muons, taus, jets, b-jets, MET
- In turn: Top events can be used in understanding the detector. Especially interesting @LHC: Large Tt-data samples, high purity samples possible



- B tagging algorithms attempt to identify (tag) jets produced by b-quarks
- Identifying b-quarks is crucial for analyses of top quarks, Higgs, SUSY,...



Jet

 \rightarrow search for leptons, from semileptonic B decays, with large transverse momentum w.r.t. jet axis



-Large B hadron lifetime \rightarrow large impact parameter,d₀, of B decay products -Impact parameter: Distance of track and primary vertex (PV) at closest approach

→Search for tracks displaced w.r.t. primary vertex

-Can use either 2D (transverse plane to beam line) or 3D impact parameter

Use "sign" of the impact parameter
 positive if track intersection with jet
 axis is downstream the PV along jet direction.
 negative: Used to measure IP-resolution

 Impact parameter significance, d₀/σd₀, used as discriminant between signal (true b-jets) and background (fake b-jets)



UH

пг

Btagging: Impact Parameter



Several methods to exploit tracks with large impact parameters

• Track counting: Count tracks with IP(significance) above some threshold

• Track Probability: Calculate probability that track comes from primary vertex. Combine for all tracks in a jet to get overall probability: Use as discriminant







- Exploit large semileptonic branching fraction of B decays (Br(b \rightarrow lepton) \approx 10%) along with the large b-hadron mass (~5 GeV)



-Often variables from the different methods described here are combined using multi variate techniques



Idea:

Exploit the full event to fully reconstruct tT kinematics

 \rightarrow Improve resolution of momenta of reconstructed particles

Difficulties:

-jet-parton matching.

For example I+jets: 4!/2=12 jet-parton assignments considered in a 4 jet event. Reduces to 6 if 1 jet is b-tagged, 2 if both jets are btagged: Another strong reason for btagging!

Wrong combinations: Combinatorial background.

Using highest chisq typically yields right combination in 65-85%, depending on details of the selection and the channel. Most difficult: all-jets channel

-Unknown longitudinal momentum of neutrino, inability to distinguish two neutrinos





Introduction

UH

- Top Quark Production
- History of Top Quark Search, Discovery
- Tools for Top Physics at a Hadron Collider
- Measurements of Top Production: Cross Sections, differential CS, Asymmetries, BSM in M(tT)
- Top Properties: Mass
- Top Production: Single Top \rightarrow Top width and lifetime
- Top decay: W helicity, spin correlations (production and decay)



Top Cross Section





Top Cross Section Tevatron \rightarrow LHC

First Top publication at the LHC σ_{*tī*} [pb] based on ~3 pb⁻¹ NLO QCD (pp) ATLAS (2.9 pb⁻ Approx. NNLO (pp) CMS: 11 dilepton events NLO QCD (pp) CMS 10^{2} (3.1 pb⁻¹) Approx, NNLO (pp) ATLAS: 9 dilepton + 37 CDF ▲ D0 300 semileptonic events 250 10 200 150 100 6.5 75 3 5 6 2 4 √s [TeV] CMS $\sigma(pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}) = 194 \pm 72(stat.) \pm 24(syst.) \pm 21(lumi.)$ pb.

		Cross-section [pb]	Signal significance $[\sigma]$
ATLAS	Single lepton channels	$142 \pm 34 {}^{+50}_{-31}$	4.0
	Dilepton channels	$151 {}^{+78}_{-62} {}^{+37}_{-24}$	2.8
	All channels	$145 \pm 31 {}^{+42}_{-27}$	4.8
		Stoiphrück	

Tt-> μ +jets event





Candidate µ+jets event (CMS)



		p _t [GeV]	Inl	
muo	n:	61	-0.12	-
jets:	1	152	-0.05	
-	2	106	1.37	
	3	100	-1.61	b tagged!
	4	57	1.79	b tagged!



Differential tT cross sections in top quark pT and tT mass: Test of SM top production, sensitive to physics beyond SM.





Top and BSM in M(tT)

- Search for heavy resonances decaying into tT pairs.
- Predicted by many SM-extensions
- Resonances with small natural width would yield enormous peaks in tT mass distribution





The forward-backward asymmetry in tT events is defined as



Sensitive to new physics



Use semileptonic channel: Charge of the lepton used to separate top from anti-top

SM prediction (NLO QCD): 0.058 ± 0.009 (in the tT rest frame)

CDF measurement (2010, 5.3 fb⁻¹): 0.158 ± 0.072 (stat) ± 0.017 (syst) Compatible within large errors

 \rightarrow



Introduction

UH

- Top Quark Production
- History of Top Quark Search, Discovery
- Tools for Top Physics at a Hadron Collider
- Measurements of Top Production: Cross Sections, differential CS, Asymmetries, BSM in M(tT)
- Top Properties: Mass
- Top Production: Single Top \rightarrow Top width and lifetime
- Top decay: W helicity, spin correlations (production and decay)



- 1. Select top-like events
- 2. Further reduce backgrounds to obtain clean sample
- 3. Reconstruct final state
- 4. Use sophisticated technique to extract top mass

Simplest method: Template fit

- Reconstruct m_T for each event
- Build templates in reconstructed m_T using Monte Carlo generated with different m_T
- Extract m_T using a maximum likelihood fit to the data





Any variable that's correlated with the top mass can in principle be used.

New measurement: Using lepton pT in the I+jets and dilepton channels (CDF).



 $m_t = 176.9 \pm 8.0(stat) \pm 2.7(syst) GeV$

- Statistics dominated
- Systematics independent of Jet Energy scale!



Top Mass Measurement at the Tevatron





Combining all channels and different methods gives final precision.

Advantages of combination:

- Full use of sample statistics
- cross checks
- Different systematic uncertainties

Mass of top quark has best relative precision of all quark masses!

Steinbrück



The Complete Picture...



• Famous Pull plot of electroweak precision measurements.

• It all fits together beautifully!

• No measurement inconsistent with global electroweak fit



What does the Top mass Measurement tell us?





Introduction

UH

- Top Quark Production
- History of Top Quark Search, Discovery
- Tools for Top Physics at a Hadron Collider
- Measurements of Top Production: Cross Sections, differential CS, Asymmetries, BSM in M(tT)
- Top Properties: Mass
- Top Production: Single Top \rightarrow Top width and lifetime
- Top decay: W helicity, spin correlations (production and decay)

Electroweak Single Top Production



UН

闬





Single Top: Motivation

- Direct measurement of V_{tb} : $\sigma |V_{tb}|^2 V_{tb}$ (PDG): 0.999152 $^{+0.000030}_{-0.000045} \approx 1$
- SM process!
- Sensitivity to new physics:
 - s-channel: Resonances like W', charged Higgs boson, Kaluza-Klein excited $W_{\rm KK} \ldots$
 - t-channel: flavor changing neutral currents (t $Z/\gamma/g$ c/u couplings), fourth generation quarks
- Measurement of top properties
 - Top partial decay width and lifetime
 - CP violation: Compare t and T rates
- Signature similar to WH associated production



Single Top@Tevatron

Cross section not that much smaller than for tT, but much more difficult to distinguish from background.

Very sophisticated analysis, i.e. boosted decision trees





Can be used to measure $|V_{tb}|$



Top Width

SM: Total top quark width dominated by partial width $\Gamma(t \rightarrow Wb)$

 $\Gamma(t \to Wb) = \frac{G_F m_t^3}{8\pi\sqrt{2}} |V_{tb}|^2 \left(1 - \frac{M_W^2}{m_t^2}\right)^2 \left(1 + 2\frac{M_W^2}{m_t^2}\right) \times \left[1 - \frac{2\alpha_s}{3\pi} \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{3} - \frac{5}{2}\right)\right]$

Leading to $\Gamma(t \rightarrow Wb) = 1.26 \ GeV$ BSM: additional channels, i.e. 4th generation b' \rightarrow tW

would enhance the total width.

• The width of an unstable particle can be measured by analyzing its mass/transverse mass spectrum (example: direct W width measurement)

• However: Experimental precision for transverse top mass spectrum not good enough, only leads to upper limit

• Indirect measurement via cross section of t-channel single top production

 $\Gamma(t \to Wb) = \sigma(t-\text{channel}) \ \frac{\Gamma(t \to Wb)_{\text{SM}}}{\sigma(t-\text{channel})_{\text{SM}}}$

• Using the measurement $B(t \rightarrow bW)$ one can determine the total width:

$$\Gamma_t = \frac{\sigma(t-\text{channel}) \ \Gamma(t \to Wb)_{\text{SM}}}{\mathcal{B}(t \to Wb) \ \sigma(t-\text{channel})_{\text{SM}}}$$

t



Top width



Most probable value: $\Gamma(t \rightarrow Wb) = 1.92^{+0.58}_{-0.51} GeV$

Can either be used to set a lower limit on the total width or, using the previous measurement of

$$\mathcal{B}(t \to Wb) = 0.962^{+0.068}_{-0.066} (\text{stat}) \, {}^{+0.064}_{-0.052} (\text{syst})$$

to determine the total width to $\Gamma(t) = 1.99^{+0.69}_{-0.55} GeV$ which can also be expressed as

$$\tau_t = (3.3^{+1.3}_{-0.9}) \times 10^{-25} \text{ s}$$





Introduction

UH

- Top Quark Production
- History of Top Quark Search, Discovery
- Tools for Top Physics at a Hadron Collider
- Measurements of Top Production: Cross Sections, differential CS, Asymmetries, BSM in M(tT)
- Top Properties: Mass
- Top Production: Single Top \rightarrow Top width and lifetime
- Top decay: W helicity, spin correlations (production and decay)



W helicity

- Measurement of the fraction of longitudinally polarized (f0) and right-handed polarized (f+) W bosons from top quark decay
- SM prediction: 70% longitudinal W's at tWb vertex
- BSM can alter this
- Measurement via angular distributions (lepton angle in W rest frame):





W helicity





• The spins of the t and T at production are correlated.

• τ_{top} < hadronization time scale and spin flip timescale \rightarrow correlation preserved and affect the angular decay products

• The angular correlations are strongest for the charged leptons from the W decay or the down type quarks, the two dimensional distribution in the respective angles are:

$$\frac{1}{\sigma}\frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta_1 d\cos\theta_2} = \frac{1}{4}\left(1 - C\cos\theta_1 d\cos\theta_2\right)$$

C is the spin-correlation coefficient, $C = \frac{N(\uparrow\uparrow) + N(\downarrow\downarrow) - N(\uparrow\downarrow) + N(\uparrow\downarrow)}{N(\uparrow\uparrow) + N(\downarrow\downarrow) + N(\uparrow\downarrow) + N(\uparrow\downarrow)}$ depending on:

- quantization axis used (i.e. beam axis or helicity basis)
- fraction of tT pairs produced at threshold
- m_{tT}
- fraction produced by gg-fusion
- \rightarrow Different spin correlation coefficient at LHC compared to Tevatron

Finding spin correlation would confirm top as spin ½ particle and the decay before hadronisation. Spin correlation can be altered by certain BSM models



Spin correlations



qq: Opposite helicity production (b) dominates gg: Equal helicity production (c) dominates



Spin correlations: Analysis

helicity angles





- The top quark is special:
 - Short lifetime → decay before hadronisation → study bare quarks
 - Large mass → Introcate link to electroweak symmetry breaking

 \rightarrow link to physics beyond the standard model

- Predicted for a long time, discovered late @the Fermilab Tevatron
- Since the discovery, based on a few events, top quark physics has evolved into precision physics with a large number of measurements
 - Production: Tt cross section, differential CS, Tt asymmetries, single top,...
 - Decay: W helicity, spin corellations, ...
 - Properties: Mass, widths, lifetime, charge,...
- Much more to come at LHC, which will produce loads of tT pairs