# **GBP MC WG**

# Standalone MC for LUXE GBP



#### Contents

- Review of the priority tasks
- Work plan & deadlines
- Tools & manpower

# **Priorities – in short**

- 1. What is the **detector accuracy** in measuring \xi, intensity?
  - What is the impact of detector geometry (thickness) and strip layout (strip width & spacing) on detector accuracy?
  - What is the performance of the II station and the I+II stations combined?
- 2. How long can a detector work on the LUXE gamma beam? (**radiation damage**)
  - Assumed that we can perform three independent measurements of the radiation damage with:
    - electron gun and X-ray source to measure the IEL induced damage
    - high energy electron beam at Elbe to measure IEL and NIEL effects
    - neutrons produced at the TIGRA nuclear reactor (<u>link</u>) to assess purely NIEL related damage
  - Necessary steps:
    - check that NIEL is reproduced with sufficient reliability
    - data on beam configurations (generation of e-laser, gamma-laser events at LUXE)
    - check impact of threshold values and systematic effects of algorithm based on highest localized dose
- 3. What are the best operating conditions to apply to the GBP? (GBP setup)
- 4. What is the size of the beam in LUXE gamma-laser mode? ( $\gamma$ -laser)

# **Priorities divided by Tools**



# Work strategy



# Work strategy – Detector accuracy



# Work strategy – Radiation damage



### A first approach with the 2D gaussian beam Detector accuracy and radiation damage

# A first approach with 2D gaussian beam

simulation shows that most of the profile is contained in a  $2 \times 2 \text{ cm}^2$  square, although there are long tails. In the case of the beam profiler placed just out of the vacuum pipe, at about 6 m from the interaction point, the central high-energy component of the gamma beam can be approximated with a Gaussian having standard deviations  $\sigma_x = \max(1, \xi) \times 180 \ \mu\text{m}$  for  $\xi > 1$ ,  $\sigma_y = 180 \ \mu\text{m}$  (see also Sec. 2.9.5). For instance, for  $\xi = 5$ ,  $\sigma_x = 900 \ \mu\text{m}$  while for  $\xi < 1$  the spot size remains  $\sigma_x = 180 \ \mu\text{m}$ .

• A first approach, from a MC sim. with 2D gaussian beam, gave us some insights about detector performances and radiation damage.

Detector	Total E <sub>dep</sub> [GeV]	E <sub>dep</sub> @strip100 [GeV]	Dose @strip100 [Gy]	Charge @strip100 [pC]	Total average dose [Gy]	Peak energy [GeV]	Peak dose [Gy]
Upstream	85.63	8.89	0.358	507.44	0.013	1.088	0.044
Downstream	213.3	23.25	0.937	1264.15	0.032	2.648	0.107



### **Standalone MC - Geant4 approach**

# Geant4 approach

#### Description

- The simulation is based on Geant4 C++ framework. Configuration is done both by writing code and by using a meta language (macros) which call pre-coded functions.
- Detector geometry is hard coded. At the present time, it includes the GBP latest design (w. strip spacing & metallization) but it does not include pcb supports and additional geometry (which may contribute to the background)
- Physics is both hard coded and customizable with macro commands. The physics list used is emstandard\_opt4 which includes: <u>link</u>
- Source code on GitHub

#### Recorded data

- For each particle, it is recorded: its position & momentum; the energy deposition; the step length and the physical process responsible for the e.dep.
- File format: ROOT.
- The analysis macros then give us total energy deposited, strip events (with energy), dose, hit, etc.

# **Geant4 approach**

#### Latest feature

- Arbitrary uncorrelated primaries x/p distributions (using a ROOT input file)

### • Next in the schedule (for geant4MC)

- Arbitrary correlated primaries x/p distributions (neglecting px,py)
- Clone the sim. for Legnaro, ELBE, Tigra experimental setups