TMD Studies at the Upcoming Electron-Ion Collider



Markus Diefenthaler



Deepening our Understanding of Nuclear Matter

Nuclear Matter Interactions and structures are inextricably mixed up



Ultimate goal Understand how matter at its most fundamental level is made

Observed properties such as mass and spin emerge out of the complex system



To reach goal precisely image quarks and gluons and their interactions



Imaging Quarks and Gluons



longitudinal structure (PDF) + transverse position information (GPDs) + transverse momentum information (TMDs)

TMDs of unpolarized nucleon

1706 (2017) 081 JHEP



Conclusions 6



In our analysis, we have included a large set of energies (4 < Q < 150 GeV) and $x (x > 10^{-4})$, see fi the low-energy data, which includes experiments E28 the high-energy data from Tevatron (CDF and D0) a proportion. To exclude the influence of power correct the low- q_T part of the data set, as described in sec. 3. of TMD distribution of TMD distribution of the data set. and D0 data. For the first time, the data from LHC



The Electron-Ion Collider: Ultimate Tool for Imaging of Quarks and Gluons



Frontier accelerator facility in the U.S.

World's first collider of:

- Polarized electrons and polarized protons,
- Polarized electrons and light ions (d, ³He),
- Electrons and heavy ions (up to Uranium).
- The EIC will enable us to embark on a **precision study of the nucleon and the nucleus at the scale of sea quarks and gluons**, over all of the kinematic range that is relevant.
- Jefferson Lab and BNL will be host laboratories for the EIC Experimental Program. Leadership roles in the EIC project are shared.
- For more information on the EIC: The <u>EIC Yellow Report</u> describes the physics case, the resulting detector requirements, and the evolving detector concepts for the experimental program at the EIC.





Measurement of TMDs: Semi-Inclusive DIS: IP \rightarrow I'P_hX

Interpreting these measurements in terms of QCD requires factorization theorems that are valid for the process and the kinematic reach of the measurement.





Measurement of TMDs: SIDIS Process: $IP \rightarrow I'P_hX$





Ratio		Definition	_
R_0	general hardness	$\max \left(\left \frac{k_i^2}{Q^2} \right , \left \frac{k_f^2}{Q^2} \right , \left \frac{\delta k_T^2}{Q^2} \right \right)$	Partonic description requires R ₀ << 1.
R_1	collinearity	$\frac{P_h \cdot k_f}{P_h \cdot k_i}$	Small for current region, large for central and target region.
R'_1	target proximity	$\frac{P_h \cdot P}{Q^2}$	Small for target region.
R_2	transverse hardness	$rac{ k^2 }{Q^2}$	Small for 2 \rightarrow 1 process $\gamma^*q \rightarrow q'$
R_3	spectator virtuality	$\frac{ k_X^2 }{Q^2}$	Small for low order pQCD to be applicable. Large for high order pQCD to be applicable.
R_4	large transverse momentum	$\max\left(\left.\left \frac{k_i^2}{k^2}\right , \left \frac{k_f^2}{k^2}\right , \left \frac{\delta k_T^2}{k^2}\right , \left \frac{k_{iT}^2}{k^2}\right \right)\right.$	Small for collinear region.



Region	R_0	R_1	R'_1	R_2	R_3	R_4
TMD	small	small	×	small	×	×
matching	small	small	×	small	×	×
collinear	small	small	×	large	small (LO $pQCD$)	small
					large (HO $pQCD$)	
target	small	large	small	×	×	×
central	small	not small	not small	small	×	×



Identifying Kinematic Regions in SIDIS



How can we apply them to a phenomenological or experimental analysis?



Sample kinematics bins for ratios based on Monte Carlo method



Box size Estimated, using existing TMD phenomenology for guidance.

Affinity = # times in / (#times in + #times out)

Affinity ranges from 0% to 100% and indicates affinity of a bin of a measurement to a particular kinematic region.





More details: New tool for kinematic regime estimation in SIDIS, JHEP 04 (2022) 084



What are the challenges in extracting TMDs...

... and how can we address them?



Experimentalists measure signals for TMDs



2

0.1

- *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 103 (2009) 152002, 378 citations
- *Phys.Lett.B* 693 (2010) 11-16, 240 citations
- JHEP 12 (2020) 010, 24 citations

Resummation, Evolution, Factorization 2022, November 1, 2022.







Avoid mismatches between experimental-theoretical analysis • E.g.:

- Some experimental analyses remove final-state hadrons originating from decay of diffractively produced vector-mesons.
- However, these final-state hadrons are not removed in factorization proofs. Removing them in the experimental analysis would result in a mismatch between the experimental-theoretical analyses.
- Treat theoretical calculations and assumptions consistently
 - E.g.:

units 2500

arbitrary

arbitrary units

2000

1500

1000

500

2500

2000

1500

1000

500

Treatment of QED radiative effects and detector smearing ٠

10⁻¹



10 -2



- Irreversible. Limits re-use and re-interpretability of experimental analysis.
- Solution: Consistent treatment • of QED effects in joint experimental-theoretical analysis.





Joint Experimental-Theoretical Analysis

N / M/N

z

N^vM/

eXperimental bin

10

Born level x

only binned in x

Born bin

QuantOm: Femtoscale Imaging of Nuclei using Exascale Platforms

Funded via SciDAC (Scientific Discovery Through Advanced Computing)



Optimize QCF parameters



Developing a workflow on the event level:

• The extraction of PDFs, TMDs, and GPDs is a multidimensional data challenge. We analyze high statistics data sets with strong correlations in five or more kinematics and with various final-state particles. Access to the data on event level allows theoreticians to studying these correlations directly.

• Developing a joint experimental-theoretical workflow:

• Extracting PDFs, TMDs, or GPDs directly from the experiment allows experimentalists and theoreticians to work closely together. This not only removes the delay in providing the experimental measurement but truly enables joint experimental-theoretical wok.

• Developing a HPC workflow:

- The extremely parallelized architecture allows to study the strong correlations in the data in an unprecedented manner, while maximizing the experimental precision at the same time.
- The accelerated hardware of the new HPC systems is ideal for AI/ML, allowing us to do the parallelized workflow at the event level in near real-time.
 - EIC will produce analysis-ready data in near real-time using streaming readout and AI/ML.





Monte Carlo Simulation of

- electron-proton (ep) collisions,
- electron-ion (eA) collisions, both light and heavy ions,
- including higher order QED and QCD effects,
- including a plethora of spin-dependent effects.

Common challenges, e.g. with HL-LHC: **High-precision QCD** measurements require high-precision simulations.

Unique challenges MCEGs for electron-ion collisions and **spin-dependent** measurements, including novel QCD phenomena (e.g., GPDs or TMDs). Will result in deeper understanding of QCD factorization and evolution, QED radiative corrections, hadronization models etc.







A lot of relevant information about MCEGs for EIC at Resummation, Evolution, Factorization 2022



mdiefent@jlab.org

The EIC will enable us to embark on a **precision study of the nucleon and the nucleus at the scale of sea quarks and gluons**, over all of the kinematic range that is relevant.

TMDs Imaging quarks and gluons within nucleons and nuclei

• Electron-Ion Collider Precision TMD studies for sea quarks and gluons.

The Foundation of the Next-Generation TMD Studies in light of the upcoming EIC:

- New affinity tool for kinematic regime estimation in SIDIS.
- **QuantOm**: Joint Experimental-Theoretical Analysis of TMDs.
- The 12 GeV Science Program at Jefferson Lab: Precision TMD studies for valence quarks.





