FIAS in TA5 – WP4

Short overview

A. Redelbach





Outline

- Summary of planned contributions
- Short overview of some relevant projects
- First plans for WP4
- \rightarrow More details in the focus of coming meetings

Overview: Some other FIAS projects

Experiments studying heavy ion collisions: ALICE (CERN), STAR (BNL), CBM (FAIR)

ALICE

Event-level processing (EP) Administration of EP nodes GPU acceleration of reconstruction code High-level trigger system Ensure resource-efficiency

STAR

Cellular automaton tracker Kalman-Filter particle finder for decay signatures Using the same algorithms for online and offline processing Starting calibration procedure as soon as data become available

CBM

First-level event selector: Timeslice interval building from all subdectors Event building and selection of "interesting" events Triggers in software instead of hardware

\rightarrow Focus on real-time processing and high-performance online reconstruction

See also related PUNCHLunches: Nov 11 2021, <u>Feb 24 2022</u>

Contributions to work programme

WP2:

D-TA5-WP2-1 (31 May 2022): Curation & metadata schemes for dynamic filtering.

D-TA5-WP2-5 (01 Mar 2026): Algorithms for massively parallel real-time sorting, clustering and pattern recognition on specialised hardware.

WP4:

D-TA5-WP4-3 (30 Jun 2025): Caching strategies for processing a set of benchmark files with the evaluated efficiencies and latencies.

D-TA5-WP4-4 (30 Jun 2026): Definition and initial implementation of an efficient real-time data processing framework

Focus: Dynamic caching

- Contributions within ErUM_Data project cooperation with GSI
- XRootD
 - high performance, scalable fault tolerant access to data repositories
 - modular software package utilizing plug-ins
 - built-in disk based caching plug-in setting a XRootD caching proxy server
 - reduce network traffic through WAN while concurring requests can be redirected internally to the cache
- XRootD plugins
 - XCache: bottleneck caused by XCache server
 - XCache with Direct Cache Access: client has direct access to cache via a shared file system
 - Disk caching on the fly: Enabling local file handling via POSIX interface
- Scalability studies
- Performance studies utilizing high-bandwidth WAN between Goethe HLR and GSI
- Integration of (singularity) container system in SLURM scheduler used at GSI

Dynamic caching: Benchmark tests

- Local cache location under BeeGFS filesystem at Goethe-HLR with infiniband fabric
- Caching node: 2x (20 core, 40 thread) CPUs, 192GB RAM, EDR link (100Gbit/s)
- "No cache" measurements with old router for 10Gbit/s link
- 10530 MB / 25990 events AOD data (CPU intensive analysis tests)
- 31457 MB / 17290 event ESD data (data intensive analysis tests)
- 1, 10, 40 and 80 client on single node; 60 clients on 2 nodes, 240 clients on 3 nodes
- Sample analysis reads a root branch from data
- \rightarrow Similar performance DCOTF and XCache DCA:

 \rightarrow AOD-level in total 17Gbit/s; ESD-level in total 47Gbit/s





Focus: Parallelization of local reconstruction





Tracks from a central 25 AGeV Au+Au collision overlaid with the STS tracking stations.

STS (Silicon Tracking Station) detector in CBM:

Reconstruction of

- Clusters
- Hits
- Tracks



Cluster finding

Algorithm used for finding clusters for digital measurements(digis) in the STS



Intersection of frontside and backside strips gives reconstructed hit coordinate

Parallelization of local reconstruction in STS

- basic algorithm used for finding clusters for digital measurements(digis) in the STS
- STS cluster finder algorithm is linear in the number of underlying measurements
- Subsequent process of determining STS hits reflecting the original interaction points of traversing particles
- Optimization:
 - Combination of clusters at frontside and backside performed only for subset of clusters within relevant time window
 - Data-level parallelisation at the level of (independent) STS modules
 - Parallelisation on CPU using OpenMP
- Result: data-level parallelization at the level of STS modules for clusters and hits implemented



Runtimes for STS reconstruction for different number of min. bias events per timeslice of Au-Au collisions New StsDigisToHits (DtH) task for serial and parallel execution at node with Intel Xeon Gold 6130 processor.

Parallelization using GPUs

- sorting of STS digis wrt. time based on parallel merge sort algorithm (on level of modules)
- channel-wise cluster finder approach: assigns one STS module to each GPU threadblock and one channel to each thread
- digi-wise cluster finding assigns one STS digi to a thread taking (advantage of coalesced memory access)
- calculation of the cluster properties analyzed for channel-wise or digi-wise thread mapping
- Some of the calculations relevant for determination of hits have been pre-drawn to the calculation of clusters
- →best performance observed as a combination of channel-wise cluster finding and digital-wise cluster calculations including predrawn cluster widths
- \rightarrow Speedup factor 6
- → speedup factor of 3 compared to original algorithms for local STS reconstruction
- \rightarrow Utilizes XPU framework

Type	ComputeUnits	Clock	Performance (SP)	Bandwidth
Intel(R) $Xeon(R)$	16 Cores	$2.1~\mathrm{GHz}$		$119~{ m GB/s}$
Gold $6130 (x2)$	(32Threads $)$			
Nvidia RTX	48 CU	$1635 \mathrm{~MHz}$	13.8 TFLOPs	$616 \mathrm{~GB/s}$
2080Ti	$(32 { m Thrd}/{ m Blk})$			
Amd Vega 20	60 CU	1400 MHz	14,2 TFLOPS	$1024~{ m GB/s}$
(Radeon VII)	$(64 { m Thrd}/{ m Blk})$			
Amd Mi100	120 CU	1502 MHz	23,1 TFLOPS	$1228 \mathrm{~GB/s}$
	$(256 \ { m Thrd/Blk})$			

Local Reconstruction (IO+Sort+Offset+FindClusters+CalculateClusters+FindHits)



Master thesis Kilian Hunold

First plans for WP4

- Present and structure some of the solutions already available
 - Efficient caching strategies: XRootD, Xcache, Disk caching on the fly
 - Data processing frameworks: FairMQ, FairRoot, FLESNet, workflows related to reconstruction
 - Data aggregation (FLESNet at CBM)
 - Asynchronous message passing API to be used in a multi-process topology: FairMQ
 - Framework for building source code on different GPU devices and CPU: XPU
- Not to re-invent the wheel
- Requirements analysis
- Basis for Postdoc position (to be filled beginning of 2023)
- Structure projects for students



CBM detector and FLES system



Fixed target heavy ion experiment at FAIR
Complex trigger signatures
Extreme reaction rates of up to 10 MHz and track densities up to 1000 tracks

Requirements for fast online processing / reconstruction:

- \rightarrow Total input data rate of 1 TByte/s
- \rightarrow Self-triggering free-streaming readout electronics





STS hit time measurement in the time-slice with one hundred min. bias AuAu UrQMD events at 25 AGeV at 10 MHz (light blue), fitted track time (black color)

Workflows: CBM FLES and data combination





mCBM prototype experiment 2020

Timeslice building: combine matching time intervals from all input links to one "timeslice" (processing interval)

Distribute different timeslices to different processing nodes

Timeslice is self-contained

No network communication required during reconstruction and analysis

Timeslice

- Two-dimensional indexed access to microslices
- Overlap allows limited timing calibration in front-end
- Interface to online reconstruction software

Microslice

- Timeslice substructure
- Constant in experiment time
- Allow overlapping timeslices

Scaling of workflows for efficient pattern recognition



https://indico.desy.de/event/33453/contributions/118429/attachments/71950/91950/Kisel%20PUNCH%20Meeting%2024.02.2022.pdf