Effect of the Neutron Absorber on Electron Beam Dump and Iron Shield

Arka Santra

Weizmann Institute of Science

March 21, 2022

Arka Santra

Neutron absorber on the dum



- Handling neutrons coming from electron beam dump can be challenging.
 - Neutrons will not create hits on tracker material, but the radiation doze will be a problem.
- Need to see how we can reduce the neutrons coming from electron beam dump.
- A thin absorber on electron beam dump added.
 - made of borated polyethylene concrete.
- Iron shielding added after calorimeter.
- Want to understand the effect of them on the background particles.

- FullSim (total 2BX) \rightarrow plots normalized to 1 BX.
- FullSim with Iron Shielding and additional neutron absorber (total 0.42 BX) \rightarrow plots normalized to 1 BX.
- FastSim (total 7.45BX) \rightarrow plots normalized to 1 BX.
- Looked at the background particles:
 - Electrons
 - Photons
 - Positrons
 - Neutrons
- Plots from the tracks intersecting the tracker plane (not necessarily making a hit in the tracker).

• Selected particles originated from the dump, air and vacuum exit window

- Background from dump with vertex requirement: (6800 mm < vtxz < 8300 mm) and (-1700 mm < vtxx < 500 mm)
- Background from window with vertex requirement: (3850 mm < vtxz < 3900 mm) and (-600 mm < vtxx < 600mm)
- Background from air if: If vertex falls within a cylinder of radius 5cm, the axis of the cylinder being a straight line connecting window and dump.
 - The straight line is drawn from these two points
 - Window: z1=3925, x1=39, y1=0
 - Dump: z2=7000, x2=102, y2=0

• First layer, first stave plot (positron side)

Background neutrons: FullSim

• Background neutrons in first layer, first stave of tracker.



• 33% less neutron with neutron absorber.

• Less number of high energetic neutron.

Arka Santra

figures

Top left: energy distribution from all sources. Top right: ratio of energy, particles from dump over particles from all sources. Bottom left: ratio of energy, particles from air over particles from all sources. Bottom right: ratio of energy, particles from exit window over particles from all sources.

Background electrons: FullSim

• Background electrons in first layer, first stave of tracker.



42% less electron with neutron absorber and iron shield.

Arka Santra

Background positrons: FullSim

• Background positrons in first layer, first stave of tracker.



53% less positron with neutron absorber and iron shield.

Background gamma: FullSim

• Background gamma in first layer, first stave of tracker.



- 52% less photon with neutron absorber and iron shield.
- The energy spectrum shapes are similar here.

• Timing distribution, Stave 0



Background timing distribution: FullSim, Stave0

• Background particles timing distribution in first layer, first stave of tracker.



Summary:

• In the tracker first layer first stave, neutron absorber and iron shielding reduces

- \sim 33% of neutron particles from the dump.
- $\bullet~\sim$ 42% of electrons.
- \sim 53% of positrons.
- \sim 52% of photons.
- Neutron timing distribution is flat.
- If we cut at 1000 ns (1 μ s- detector readout time), we can get rid of many neutrons(\gtrsim 75%).
- Similar conclusion can be drawn from the tracker last stave last layer plots (in backup).
- 2D distribution showing the source of the background particles are also in the backup.
- To do: Identify the physics processes that generate electrons and photons: see the contribution of the hadronic activities.

• Back up



• FullSim and FastSim comparison

FastSim and FullSim comparison: electron



FastSim and FullSim comparison: photons



• 2D plots, Stave 0





FullSim: Neutron. Tracker first stave first layer (Stave0)







FullSim: Electron. Tracker first stave first layer (Stave0)



• 2D plot



FullSim: Photon. Tracker first stave first layer (Stave0)





2D plot

Arka Santra

FullSim: Positron. Tracker first stave first layer (Stave0)





• Last layer, last stave plots (positron side)

Background neutrons: FullSim

• Background neutrons in last layer, last stave of tracker.



• 40% less neutron with neutron absorber and iron shield.

• Less number of high energetic neutrons.

Arka Santra

Background electrons: FullSim

• Background electrons in last layer, last stave of tracker.



- 52% less electron with neutron absorber and iron shield.
- Less number of high energetic electrons.

Background positrons: FullSim

• Background positrons in last layer, last stave of tracker.



• 60% less positron with neutron absorber and iron shield.

• Less number of high energetic positrons.

Background gamma: FullSim

• Background gamma in last layer, last stave of tracker.



- 59% less photon with neutron absorber and iron shield.
- The energy spectrum shapes are similar here.

• Timing distribution, Stave 7



Background timing distribution: FullSim, Stave7

• Background particles timing distribution in last layer, last stave of tracker.



• In the tracker first layer first stave, neutron absorber and iron shielding reduces

- $\bullet\,\sim\,40\%$ of neutron particles from the dump.
- $\sigma\,\sim$ 52% of electrons.
- \sim 60% of positrons.
- \sim 59% of photons.
- Neutron timing distribution is flat.
- If we cut at 1000 ns (1 μs detector readout time), we can get rid of many neutrons ($\gtrsim75\%$).

• 2D plots, Stave 7



FullSim: Neutron. Tracker last stave last layer (Stave7)



2D plot

Arka Santra



2D plot

Arka Santra

FullSim: Electron. Tracker last stave last layer (Stave7)









ㅁ ▷ 《 @ ▷ 《 흔 ▷ 《 흔 ▷ 이 익



FullSim: Positron. Tracker last stave last layer (Stave7)





• FullSim and FastSim comparison

FastSim and FullSim comparison: electron



FastSim and FullSim comparison: positron



FastSim and FullSim comparison: photons



FastSim and FullSim comparison: neutron



The LUXE design



Near the tracker detector

