

Impact of Axion Decay on the Cosmic Background

Sara Porras Bedmar¹, Manuel Meyer², Dieter Horns¹

1. Institute for Experimental physics, Universität Hamburg | 2. CP3-Origins, University of Southern Denmark Contact email: sara.porras.bedmar@desy.de



European Research Council Established by the European Commissior

The Cosmic Background (CB) is defined as the isotropic diffuse radiation field with extragalactic origin. Different astrophysical sources dominate the CB emission at different energies, such as stars in the optical or active galactic nuclei in X-rays. Assuming that dark matter consists of axions with masses on the order of electron volts or higher, we expect an additional contribution to the CB due to their decay into two photons. Here, we model the CB between the optical and X-rays regimes, and include the contribution of decaying axions. Through a comparison with the most recent direct and indirect CB measurements, we attempt to constrain the photon-axion coupling parameter space. We also show for which coupling values it is possible to explain the excess in the optical background observed with the LORRI instrument on the New Horizons Probe that does not contradict limits from other optical instruments.



The Cosmic Background (CB)

- Homogeneous and isotropic diffuse radiation background, extragalactic origin [1].
- Covers the entire electromagnetic spectrum.
- Focus on the range $10^{-6} 10 \ \mu m$ or $10^{13} 10^{20} \text{ Hz}$.
- Different models to describe parts of the CB, e.g. the CUBA [2] and the Finke22 [3] models in Fig. 1.

Cosmic Optical Background (COB)

- → Dominated by stellar emission, and absorption by interstellar dust.
- → Other known sources account for up to 10% of the stellar emission.
- → Direct measurements are difficult because of foreground emissions. Usually treated as upper limits (open symbols).
- → Lower limits are calculated from galaxy counts (filled symbols).

Figure 1: CB measurements and models. Data from Hill et al. [1] and Biteau et al. (in prep).

So, Axions or ALPs Anywhere?

We add the axion decay to the astrophysical CB as seen in Fig. 1, and probe the $m_a - g_{a\gamma}$ parameter space as presented in Fig. 3.

We use the NH datapoint (green star in Fig. 1):

- → As a measurement, so the decay contribution has to strictly fit to it. This means that ALP decay could explain the NH excess over lower limits. Black strip in Fig. 3 at $g_{a\gamma} \sim 10^{-10}$ – 10⁻¹¹ GeV ⁻¹, with 69% and 95% acceptance percentiles in red and blue lines.
- → As an upper limit, so it produces stronger constraints. We use it alongside the other upper limits from Fig. 1.

Different COB models are shown in the inset in Fig. 3 as brown lines, but their differences are very small.

Only a small region of axion parameter space explains the NH point as well as being accepted by the upper limits, located at $m_a \sim 4-5$ eV. This parameter space is ruled out by other experiments.

→ The New Horizons probe (NH) took a measurement at a distance of 51 AU [4]. Foreground emissions should be completely removed, however NH datapoint significantly above lower limits (represented with a green star).

Cosmic Ultraviolet Background (CUB)

- → Main components are young stars and interstellar nebulae, scattering by gas and hot intercluster gas.
- → Very low intensity due to neutral hydrogen's absorption.

Cosmic X-ray Background (CXB)

Created mainly by accretion disks around active galactic nuclei.

Axions and Axion-Like Particles

- → Pseudo-Nambu–Goldstone boson
- → Arises from the Peccei–Quinn solution to the strong CP problem [5].
- → One of the preferred dark matter (DM) candidates.
- Relaxing $m_a g_{a\gamma}$ relation, we have Axion-Like Particles (ALPs).
- $m_a \ge O(eV)$ so they decay into two photons, Fig. 2.



• Minimum mean life $\tau_{a, \min} \approx 10^{17}$ s (age of the Universe).

Figure 2: Feynman diagram of axion decay into two photons.



How to Model the COB

- We use synthetic stellar spectra to simulate the luminosities of stellar populations [7, 8].
- We propose two cases to study, model A (calibrated to starburst galaxies) [9] and model B (general stellar evolution) [3]. They differ in stellar spectra, metallicity and dust treatment.
- Freeing the stellar formation rate (sfr) parameters, combined fit of CB, emissivities and sfr to observational data. Fits in Figs. 1 and 4.
- → Our models do not incorporate dust reemission, so from ~ 3 μm they start failing.
- → Our models have fixed stellar metallicity. We compare our results with Finke22, who do incorporate this evolution in Fig. 1.

Figure 3: Axion and ALP parameter space. Our new set of constraints using the CUBA model and the axion decay are listed around the different regions of the CB. Software and literature limits from C. O'Hare [6].





Figure 4: stellar emissivity over a range of redshifs, for a list of individual wavelenghts. Data taken from [3]. Best fits for our models represented as blue and red lines (left). Sfr data compilation, also with our fitted models (right).

References

[1] R. Hill, K. W. Masui, and D. Scott, The Spectrum of the Universe, Appl Spectrosc 72, 663 (2018).
[2] F. Haardt and P. Madau, Radiative Transfer in a Clumpy Universe. IV. New Synthesis Models of the Cosmic UV/X-Ray Background, The Astrophysical Journal 746, 125 (2012).

[3] J. D. Finke, M. Ajello, A. Dominguez, A. Desai, D. H. Hartmann, V. S. Paliya, and A. Saldana-Lopez, Modeling the Extragalactic Background Light and the Cosmic Star Formation History (Data), https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7023073.
[4] T. R. Lauer et al., Anomalous Flux in the Cosmic Optical Background Detected with New Horizons Observations, ApJL 927, L8 (2022).
[5] Peccei R. D. and Quinn H. R., 1977, Phys. Rev. Lett., 38, 1440

[6] C. O'Hare, cajohare/axionlimits: Axionlimits, https://cajohare.github.io/AxionLimits/ (2020).
[7] Claus Leitherer et al., "Starburst99 v7.0.1: Space Telescope Science Institute ".
[8] M. Fioc and B. Rocca-Volmerange, PÉGASE.3: A Code for Modeling the UV-to-IR/Submm Spectral and Chemical Evolution of Galaxies with Dust, A&A 623, A143 (2019).

[9] T. M. Kneiske, K. Mannheim, and D. H. Hartmann, Implications of Cosmological Gamma-Ray Absorption - I. Evolution of the Metagalactic Radiation Field, A&A 386, 1 (2002).

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge support from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program Grant agreement No. 948689 (AxionDM) and from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy – EXC 2121 "Quantum Universe" – 390833306.